Multifamily Developer Bootcamp

phius con



ON THE MENU

Early work and Integrated Design Process
Interest in cost-effective Sustainability
The New Gravity Project
Policy and Leverage Points
Developing a Guide to Multifamily Affordable PH



5.

Tim McDonald <u>tim@onionflats.com</u> 215.783.5591

















25 YEARS











-



Home Team Services Projects Available Rentals News Contact







MARKET FLATS, 1997 mixed use residential/retail

























Bohlin Cywinski Jackson Sketches Models Buildings FARM • 126 Market Street • Philadelphia PA • 1.7 • 2.18 2000





CAPITAL FLATS, 1999: 8 "experiments in dwelling"



























RAG FLATS 2006: 11 Units

























THE GIVEN

The Philadelphia "Trinity"
















































ROW HOUSE INDUSTRIAL LOFT TRINITY PAVILLION



ROW HOUSE INDUSTRIAL LOFT TRINITY PAVILLION



































THIN FLATS, 2008: 9 units 1STLEED PLATINUM DUPLEXES IN THE USA




























VOID: polychrome trespa panel

SURFACE: monochrome trespa panel

VEIL: translucent glass











rainwater harvesting system

green roof

- Solar Thermal hot water
- Rainwater Cisterns
- Decoupled ERV
- Green roof

-

solar thermal

- High performance envelop (Closed-cell Spray foam in walls)
- 1.2 ACH50









UPPER UNIT























Energy & Atmosphere

and contribute 45% of U.S. GHG emissions





THE NEW GRAVITY



Follow the Leaders, Berlin, Germany 2011, Isaac Cordal, popularly known as "Politicians discussing global warming"

2015 United Nations Climate Conference, COP 21

PARIS, France

".....FIRST year that over 200 countries signed the agreement in global solidarity"



"NZE/CARBON NEUTRAL BUILDINGS AS STANDARD PRACTICE BY 2050"



https://www.mcc-berlin.net/en/research/co2-budget.html

"NZE/CARBON NEUTRAL BUILDINGS AS STANDARD PRACTICE BY 2050"







18 YEARS!!!

CARBON NEUTRAL BUILDINGS by 2040

18 YEARS!!!

BUILDINGS MUST GENERATE WHAT THEY NEED CARBON NEUTRAL BUILDINGS by 2040

ON THEIR OWN SITE WITH RENEWABLE ENERGY









1900 sf home 39,000 kWh/yr 2832 sf roof











"Fabric First" approach









40'

TED, 2010: FROM THE GROUND UP International Competition, Syracuse NY
Competition Requirements

\$150,000 home "Highly Sustainable"

















POSSIBLE CONFIGURATIONS





















STABLE FLATS 2015: 26 townhomes























.49 ACH 50



BUILDING LEAKAGE TEST COMPARISON

Test	:#1			Test #2	
Test File: Date of Test:	Depressurization File 7/5/2012 Onion Flats, LLC 111 West Norris Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122		Test Date of	Test File: Pressurizati Date of Test: 7/5/2012	
Customer:			Custo	mer: Onion I	Onion Flats
Phone:	215-783-5591				
Test Results					
		Test #1	Test #2	Change	Percent
1. Airflow at 50 F	Pascals:	293 CFM	201 CFM	-92 CFN	-31.4 %
		0.48 ACH	0.33 ACH	-0 15 ACH	-314 %





\$150.00 sf

* 12 Months of Measured Data

Address	TFA sf	I2 Months kWh Total	PV kWh	NET	COST \$/Yr
235 George	1908	13,088	4172	8916	\$1079 \$90/m
Typical Code		40,06 8 6	3% BETTER		\$4407
Building					\$367/m







NON-PROFIT

COMMUNITY

PHILADELPHIA REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY





BELFIELD TOWNHOMES, 2006: 3 subsidized housing units









FIRST CERTIFIED **PASSIVE HOUSE** IN PENNSYLVANIA



START: APRIL 20, 2012 CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY: JULY 20, 2012



RECIPIENT OF THE 2014 INTERNATIONAL PASSIVE HOUSE AWARD



SECOND PLACE WINNER **2015 PHIUS AWARD** "AFFORDABLE HOUSING"



AWARD





























DETAIL: FOUNDATION



Roof: R52.3 Wall: R33.6 58.4 Window: .11 SHGC: .63

ENERGY/BUILDING CONSULTANTS & ENGINEERS One Crescent Drive - Philadelphia, PA 19112 - 1-888-MAGRANN - www.magrann.com New Jersey • Pennsylvania • Kentucky • Ohio

BUILDING LEAKAGE TEST COMPARISON

Test	: #1					
Test File: Date of Test:	Depressurization File 7/5/2012		Test File: Date of Test:		Pressurization File 7/5/2012	
Customer:	Onion Flats, LLC 111 West Norris Philadelphia, Pe	LLC Customer: Onion Flats prris Street a, Pennsylvania 19122		S		
Phone:	215-783-5591					
Test Results		Test #1	Test #2	c	Change	Percent
1. Airflow at 50 I	Pascals:	293 CFM	201 CFM	2	-92 CFM	-31.4 %
		0.48 ACH	0.33 ACH	-0	15 ACH	-31.4 %



PASSIVE HOUSE MAX 0.6 ACH 50



















Power Wise				
Monitor Status: • Your Information Y Channels Y	Smart Controts	Sensors		
	Sensors	_		
Name	Last Reading	Туре		
Unit 1; LVL1-LivingRm	73"F	Temperature		
Unit 1, LVL1-Hall	75*F	Temperature		
Unit 1; LVL1-BedRm (Back)	73*F	Temperature		
Unit 2, LML2-BedRm (Back)	75*F	Temperature		
Unit 2; LVL2-Hall	73*F	Temperature		
Unit 1; LVL2-WashRm	75*F	Temperature		
Unit 2; LVL2-BedRm (Fml)	73"F	Temperature		
Unit 2; LVL3-Mech Rm	75*F	Temperature		
Unit 2, LML3-BedRm (Fmf)	73*F	Temperature		
Unit 2, LML3-Office (Back)	75*F	Temperature		
Unit 2: LVL 3 - Mech Rm; Inside Retrun Air Duct	77*F	Temperature		
Unit 2: LVL 3 - Mech Rm; Inside Retrun Air Duct	450 ppm	VOC		
Unit 2: LVL 3 - Mech Rm; Inside Retrun Air Duct	%	Humidity		
Unit 1; LVL1-Hall	453 ppm	VOC		
Unit1; LVL1-Hall	45%	Humidity		
Unit 1, LVL2-WashRm	450 ppm	VOC		
Unit 1; LVL2-WashRm	44%	Humidity		






Energy Consumption Per Load

	Number of Loads	Avg Cost per Load	Avg Energy per Load (KWH)	Avg Duration per Laed (min)
Yesterday	2	0.02	1.88	79:
Last7 Days	28	0.16	1.36	85
Last 30 Days	194	0.18	1.42	88



Energy Consumption Per Load

	Number of Loads	Avg Cost per Load	Avg Energy per Load (kWh)	Avg Duration per Load (min)
Yesterday	2	0.43	2.75	114
Last 7 Days	6	0.25	1.7B	89
Last 30 Days	43	0.22	1.75	86

Washer/condensing dryer, Hourly View for the Past Month



Energy Consumption Per Load

	Number of Losds	Avg Cost per Load	Avg Energy per Load (Wh)	Avg Deration per Load (min)
Yesterday	1	0	0.06	19
Loat 7 Days	13	0.08	0.54	40
Last 30 Days	19	0.11	0.86	84

























\$107.00 For hot water and laundry alone



\$21.00



\$22.00



CONSTRUCTION COSTS	PER UNIT	PROJECT TOTAL
GENERAL CONDITIONS	\$1,500	\$4,500
EXCAVATION & GRADING	\$3,000	\$9,000
FOUNDATIONS	\$7,000	\$21,000
HELICAL PIERS	\$6,500	\$19,500
SITE UTILITIES (WATER / SEWER / ELECTRIC)	\$10,000	\$30,000
SOLAR PV (5 KW PER HOUSE - 15KW TOTAL)	\$15,000	\$45,000
TOTAL SITE WORK	\$43,000	\$129,000
FRAMING / INSULATION / SHEETROCK / PAINT	\$50,250	\$150,750
EXT.WINDOWS & DOORS	\$9,850	\$29,550
MECHANICAL SYSTEM	\$8,500	\$25,500
PLUMBING & SPRINKLERS	\$9,500	\$28,500
ELECTRICAL	\$5,500	\$16,500
CABINETRY / COUNTERTOPS	\$5,500	\$16,500
APPLIANCES	\$6,200	\$18,600
HARDARE & FINISHES	\$9,300	\$27,900
EXTERIOR CLADDING	\$4,500	\$13,500
E-MONITORING	\$1,900	\$5,700
LABOR / INSPECTIONS / OH-P / DELIVERY / INSTALL	\$95,000	\$285,000
TOTAL MODULAR	\$206,000	\$618,000.00
TOTAL HARD COSTS	\$249,000	\$747,000.00
COST PER SQFT (1920 SQFT x 3 HOMES = 5760 SQFT)		\$129.69

Why isn't ALL **AFFORDABLE HOUSING** Built to the PH standard?



MAKE ALL AFFORDABLE HOUSING NET-ZERO-ENERGY-CAPABLE BY 2030

9% Low Income House Tax Credit (LIHTC)



Qualified Allocation Plan

POINTS-BASED SYSTEM

Total points	120
Community and Economic Impact	30
- Underserved Areas	
- Senior Occupancy Developments	
- Preservation	
Development Characteristics	25
- Smart Site Selection	
- Enterprise Green Communities	
Resident Population and Services	50
- Income and Rent Targeting	
 Designated Populations and Supportive Services 	
- Accessible Units	
- Large Families	
Development Process	15
- Noncompliance	
- Ability to Proceed	
Development Cost Savings	10

POINTS-BASED SYSTEM

fotal points	120
Community and Economic Impact	30
- Underserved Areas	
- Senior Occupancy Developments	
- Preservation	
Development Characteristics	25
- Smart Site Selection	
- Enterprise Green Communities	
- PASSIVE HOUSE	10
Resident Population and Services	50
- Income and Rent Targeting	
- Designated Populations and Supportive Services	
- Accessible Units	
- Large Families	
Development Process	15
- Noncompliance	
- Ability to Proceed	
Development Cost Savings	10

38% applied as Passive House projects **7** PH projects Funded

YEAR 1 A NATIONAL Net-Zero-Energy Initiative by **2030** 2015

		Constru	ction C	os	t S	um	ma	ary	fron	n PHFA	Applicat	ions	
						20)15	Со	sts				
			Climate	ι	Jnits ((by Bl	R Qty	r)	Total				\$/0F
	Proj. No.	County	Zone	0	1	2	3	4+	Units	Blog. Area	Constr. \$	\$ /Unit	\$/SF
	SF-1	Franklin	5A			33	21		54	70,218	7,051,522	130,584	100
	SF-2	Schuylkill	5A		3	9	5		17	21,151	2,238,725	131,690	106
	SF-3	Philadelphia	4A		5	19	31	5	60	79,795	9,363,626	156,060	117
	SF-4	Allegheny	5A			26	19		45	63,548	8,863,631	196,970	117
	SF-5	Lycoming	5A		16	34			50	66,147	8,141,437	162,829	123
	SF-6 Bradford		5A		10	24	16		50	62,956	7,964,823	159,296	127
96	SF-7	Centre	5A			20	20		40	53,652	7,523,233	188,081	140
ñ	SF-8	Lebanon	5A			46	16		62	84,168	11,742,459	189,395	140
ę	SF-9	Bradford	5A		2	26	12		40	59,954	8,369,296	209,232	140
ş	SF-10	Butler	5A		3	39	18		60	67,904	9,827,275	163,788	145
õ	SF-11	Erie	5A			9	34		43	53,454	7,870,669	183,039	147
F	SF-12	Dauphin	5A		3	3	25	4	35	61,504	9,192,750	262,650	149
>	SF-13	Berks	5A		22	20	16		58	62,097	9,305,340	160,437	150
, E	SF-14	Franklin	5A		7	25	24		56	77,469	11,791,991	210,571	152
ar	SF-15	Luzerne	5A		26	15	15		56	56,250	8,968,491	160,152	159
ц.	SF-16	Union	5A		5	12	8	6	31	43,868	7,071,066	228,099	161
-B	SF-17 Chester SF-18 Allegheny		4A		48	12			60	58,349	9,809,238	163,487	168
<u>.</u>			5A		4	30	18		52	77,351	12,979,386	249,604	168
S	SF-19	Berks	5A		10	21	11		42	57,722	9,785,000	232,976	170
	SF-20	Montgomery	4A		16	24	15		55	61,480	11,113,700	202,067	181
	SF-21	Delaware	4A		8	34	14		56	65,790	12,184,074	217,573	185
	SF-22	Philadelphia	4A			17	16	2	35	45,476	8,905,240	254,435	196
	SF-23	Allegheny	5A		14	9			23	28,205	5,552,583	241,417	197
	SF-24	Westmoreland	5A		28	8			36	43,872	8,331,567	231,432	245
	SF-25	Philadelphia	4A		10	19	11		40	46,757	11,453,809	286,345	245
	ANR-1	ll edhiidh	55A	1	34	Δı	1111	1	490	65.339	ය 300 හබන	11300 4685	ଜନ
	AR-2	Erie	54		29	1166			45	538.0021	6,002,000	11396 7/33	1116
	AR-3	Philadelphia	44A	10	554	1140			66	777 907/%	90,7/511,7/07/2	14077 7/53	1/28
	AR-4	Allegatreenw	5A	2	4199	41			555	65.577	99,551141,776541	17/2.986	1146
8	AR-5	Delaware	4/A	-	53	-			53	51.690	8:03:00.448:00	11511.5118	1158
ž	ARG	Philadelphia	4/A		4141				444	49,406	8,36,1,57,9	1900.036	169
ř.	ANR-77	Montigomenv	44/A		333	38	77		433	55,832	94,4648,84165	220,205	17/0
۲	AR-8	Philadelphia	4A		00	288	1100		388	538400	91511558903	2500.44118	11777
ŝ	AR-9	Daughin	5A	55	1177	65	<u> </u>		288	45,434	8,075,064	288,396	117/8
4	AR-10	Allegheny	55A	Ť	333	3		t	366	50,664	9,436,523	262,126	11846
P	AR-111	Philadelphia	44A		446	-		ŀ	466	566,447/68	10,795,027	234,675	11991
4	ANRR-1122	Philadelphia	44/A		27	1100			3377	448,7668	9,658,098	2651,030	198
	AR-113	Philadelphia	44A		390	2211		ŀ	5511	62,509	113,6009,683	266,857	2118
	AR-114	westnington	44A		1177	77			24	35,299	7,8556,1113	327,338	223
	AR-115	Philadelphia	44A		62				62	7700, 999911	225,9995,7441	441199,22896	366
		•				-			•	•			
	MGs-1	Northumberland	5A		355				355	440,3997	44,227765,018944	11222.117744	11016

Mar. Montgomeny 4A 33 3 7 43 56.822 9488.86 220.058 177 AR-9 Dauphin 5A 5 17 6 28 45.84 9.51.054 288.355 178 AR-10 Dauphin 5A 5 17 6 28 45.84 9.51.052 22.47.75 191 AR-11 Philadephia 4A 46 46 56.478 9.05.068 26.68.77 191 AR-13 Philadephia 4A 30 21 51 62.059 186.83 266.857 218 AR-14 Washington 4A 62 77 92.000 10.668.14 10.25.562 20.11.11 11.6 MS-2 Dauphin 5A 43 61 52 77 78.43 8458.79 182.228 118 MS-3 Dauphin 5A 44 61 52 77.78 8458.79 182.228 118 MS-4	e	74110	1 mildoipind			44				44	49,400	0,301,379	190,030	109
AR-8 Philadelphia 4A 228 10 38 53,840 9516,833 200,118 177 AR-10 Allephery 5A 5 7 6 28 45,448 80,750,042 283,333 178 AR-10 Allephery 5A 5 7 6 28 45,448 80,750,027 234,475 191 AR-11 Philadelphia 4A 27 10 37 46,788 9,658,088 261,035 198 AR-14 Philadelphia 4A 27 10 37 46,789 9,658,088 261,035 198 AR-14 Philadelphia 4A 55 35 40,397 42,76,084 122,172 106 MS-1 Northumbertand 5A 13 30 20 53 82,070 9,727,077 193,252 111 MS-5 Bair 5A 14 15 51 1738 456,719 122,475 133	CZ (AR-7	Montgomery	4A		33	3	7		43	55,832	9,468,816	220,205	170
BCD Dauphin 5A 5 17 6 28 45,434 8,075,064 228,395 178 AR-10 Philadelphia 4A 46 46 56,478 10,785,027 224,475 191 AR-13 Philadelphia 4A 46 46 56,478 10,785,027 224,675 191 AR-13 Philadelphia 4A 30 21 51 62,509 13,609,683 266,857 218 AR-15 Philadelphia 4A 30 21 51 62,7091 25,992,714 419,238 228 MS-1 Northumberland 5A 18 59 77 220,001 10,686,4711 119,528 116 MS-2 Dauphin 5A 18 50 53 82,070 127,172 18,852 116 MS-4 Loncater 5A 13 39 20 53 82,070 127,172 18,852 116 116 116 116 116<	Š	AR-8	Philadelphia	4A			28	10		38	53,840	9,515,893	250,418	177
PG AR-10 Alleghery 5A 33 3 36 50.664 9.435.53 222.126 161 AR-12 Philadephia 4A 27 10 37 46.78 10.785.03 223.675 191 AR-12 Philadephia 4A 27 10 37 46.78 10.785.08 261.030 198 AR-14 Washington 4A 27 10 37 46.78 10.785.08 261.032 198 AR-15 Philadephia 4A 62 62 70.991 25.995.711 419.28 238 MS-1 Northumberland 5A 35 153 40.307 4.270.044 122.172 108 MS-3 Dauphin 5A 46 6 52 71.758 8.456.716 183.522 1111 146 53 42.070 173.526 1168 10.522 1116 MS-5 1169 MS-5 146.753 4.98.98.964 158.016 127.77 148.176 </td <th>Ŧ</th> <td>AR-9</td> <td>Dauphin</td> <td>5A</td> <td>5</td> <td>17</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>28</td> <td>45,434</td> <td>8,075,064</td> <td>288,395</td> <td>178</td>	Ŧ	AR-9	Dauphin	5A	5	17	6			28	45,434	8,075,064	288,395	178
AR-11 Philadelphia 4A 46 56.478 10.759.027 224.675 191 AR-13 Philadelphia 4A 30 21 51 62.599 13.698.683 261.033 223 223 AR-13 Philadelphia 4A 30 21 51 62.599 7.656.113 327.338 223 233 243 55.299 7.656.113 327.338 223 234 35.2 0.2 7.0911 25.567.14 419.266 366 365 201.111 116 366 365 201.111 116 366 365 201.111 116 366 365 201.011.11 116 366 365 201.111 116 366 365 201.011.11 116 366 365 201.011.11 116 366 365 201.01 136.368.36 136.368 116 366 366 366 366 366 366 366 366 366 366 366 366 366	a	AR-10	Allegheny	5A		33	3			36	50,664	9,436,523	262,126	186
AR-12 Philadelphia 4A 27 10 37 44,768 9,658,098 266,873 218 AR-14 Washington 4A 17 7 24 35,299 7,856,113 327,338 223 AR-15 Philadelphia 4A 62 70,911 25,957,74 419,266 36 MS-1 Northumberland 5A 35 40,337 4,276,084 122,174 108 MS-2 Dauphin 5A 135 40,337 42,76,084 122,174 108 MS-3 Dauphin 5A 16 69 177 92,000 10,668,511 138,552 1118 MS-5 Blar 5A 13 32 78 88,910 116,80,162 128,171 116,82,629 118 MS-6 Chester 4A 46 15 61 78,38,946 122,471 138 128 131 MS-6 Chester 5A 20 12 27 77,	P4	AR-11	Philadelphia	4A		46				46	56,478	10,795,027	234,675	191
AR-13 Philadelphia AA 30 21 51 62,09 13,009,683 26,657 218 AR-15 Philadelphia AA 62 7,091 2,591,74 327,338 223 AR-15 Philadelphia AA 62 7,091 2,591,74 419,265 36 MS-1 Northumberland SA 22 14 14 50 88,314 10.055,562 21111 116 MS-2 Dauphin SA 426 6 52 77 92,000 10.065,562 21111 116 MS-5 Bair SA 46 6 52 77 92,000 10.065,562 116 126 177 92,000 19,727,007 185,328 119 MS-5 Bair SA 133 26 78 89,910 116,8128 149,759 131 MS-6 Dauphin SA 30 6 466 7,834 49,89,956 122,175 163 <		AR-12	Philadelphia	4A		27	10			37	48,768	9,658,098	261,030	198
AR-14 Washington 4A 17 7 24 35.29 7.89.113 327.38 223 MR-15 Philadelphia 4A 62 70.991 25.995,741 419.26 366 MS-1 Northumberland 5A 35 40.337 42.76.084 122.174 105 MS-3 Dauphin 5A 46 6 52 71.758 8.456.719 113.852 116 MS-5 Bair 5A 46 6 52 71.758 8.456.719 118.522 119 MS-6 Chester 4A 46 15 61 75.340 9.633.984 180.016 128 MS-6 Chester 53 20 62 75.87 77.738.72 131 133 128 144 14 44 14 148 149.294 155.1584 180.016 128 133 MS-10 Bradford 5A 22 11 44 44 44.8337 62.0		AR-13	Philadelphia	4A		30	21			51	62,509	13,609,683	266,857	218
AR-15 Philadeliphia 4A 62 22 70,991 25,995,741 419,280 366 MS-1 Northumberland 5A 35 1 58 40,397 4,276,084 122,174 100 MS-2 Dauphin 5A 122 14 14 50 88,314 10,085,512 201,111 114 MS-4 Lancaster 5A 46 6 52 71,758 8,467,719 182,522 119 MS-5 Blair 5A 33 20 63 82,207 9,727,007 183,528 113 MS-6 Chester 4A 46 15 61 76,440 983,984 150,016 128 MS-7 Lancastar 5A 133 32 28 778 83,910 11,681,22 133 133 134 124 134 144,887 6,341,161 147,479 141 MS-11 Cambria 5A 322 111 43 <t< td=""><th></th><td>AR-14</td><td>Washington</td><td>4A</td><td></td><td>17</td><td>7</td><td></td><td></td><td>24</td><td>35,299</td><td>7,856,113</td><td>327,338</td><td>223</td></t<>		AR-14	Washington	4A		17	7			24	35,299	7,856,113	327,338	223
MS-1 Northumberland SA 35 A SS 42,0397 42,0509 42,0509 42,076,04 122,174 106 MS-2 Dsuphin SA 18 59 77 92,000 10,065,552 22,011 133,552 116 MS-3 Dsuphin SA 18 59 77 92,000 10,065,552 22,077 183,528 119 MS-5 Blair SA 33 20 53 82,070 9,727,007 183,528 119 MS-6 Chester 4A 46 15 61 76,340 9,839,964 189,059 131 131 131 135 131 135 131 135 131 135 131 135 131 135 131 135 131 135 131 135 131 135 131 135 131 131 135 131 131 135 131 131 131 135 131 131		AR-15	Philadelphia	4A		62				62	70 991	25 995 741	419 286	366
MS-1 Northumberland SA 35 40,397 4,276,084 122,174 106 MS-2 Dauphin SA 22 14 14 50 88,314 10,055,552 201,111 114 MS-3 Dauphin SA 18 59 77 92,000 10,068,511 136,552 116 MS-4 Lancaster SA 46 6 152 71,753 8,450,719 162,622 119 MS-5 Blair SA 46 15 61 76,340 9,83,964 156,016 126 MS-7 Lancaster SA 133 39 26 78 88,101 11,881,22 149,759 131 MS-10 Bradford SA 40 40 36,743 4,898,965 122,475 133 MS-10 Bradford SA 30 4 34 44,848 6,341,616 147,479 141 MS-10 Lambrin SA 38 <											,			
MS-2 Dauphin SA 22 14 14 50 80.01 10.055.562 20.1111 114 MS-3 Dauphin SA 18 59 1 77 92.000 10.665.562 20.1111 114 MS-5 Blair SA 18 59 1 53 42.070 9727.007 183.528 119 MS-5 Blair SA 13 39 26 78 88.010 11.061.226 144.07.99 131 MS-6 Chester 4A 46 15 61 76.340 96.838.964 188.016 122.9 MS-10 Bradford SA 13 39 26 78 88.010 11.061.226 144.759 131 MS-10 Bradford SA 30 6 56 57.817 77.88.172 138.182 134 MS-10 Bradford SA 30 4 44 39.44.9 39.44.9 39.41.916 147.479		MS-1	Northumberland	54		35				35	40 307	4 276 084	122 174	106
M32 Douphin SA 12 14 SO Doughin Diageneric M53-3 Douphin SA 18 59 7 92,000 10,868,511 138,552 1116 M54-4 Lancaster SA 46 6 52 77,758 8,456,719 192,623 1118 M55-5 Blair SA 33 20 53 82,070 11,681,226 149,759 131 M56-6 Cheatriel GA 13 39 26 76 86,910 11,681,221 149,759 131 M58-6 Cheatriel GA 24 6 30 42,224 135,1584 1150,503 131 M58-10 Bradford SA 50 6 57,617 77,738,172 138,182 134 M511 Cambria SA 30 14 43 44,4887 6,334 6,341,616 147,479 141 M512 Duuphin SA 24 <td< td=""><th></th><td>MS-2</td><td>Daunhin</td><td>54</td><td></td><td>22</td><td>14</td><td>14</td><td></td><td>50</td><td>99.214</td><td>10.055.562</td><td>201 111</td><td>114</td></td<>		MS-2	Daunhin	54		22	14	14		50	99.214	10.055.562	201 111	114
MS-1 Lancaster SA 46 6 57 22,000 100,005 118,223 118 MS-5 Blair SA 46 6 52 71,788 84,456,719 182,623 118 MS-5 Blair SA 44 46 15 61 76,130 90,638,964 158,016 126 MS-7 Lancaster SA 13 30 26 78 88,910 11,881,226 149,759 131 MS-6 Cheaffeid GA 24 6 30 42,224 555,154 155,053 131 MS-10 Bradford SA 50 6 56 57,817 77,38,172 138,182 134 MS-11 Cambria SA 38 16 54 68,335 8,201,20 151,675 141 MS-11 Cambria SA 122 124 22,686 14192,325 154,777 146 MS-11 Cambria SA		MS-3	Dauphin	5A	-	10	50	14		77	00,014	10,669,511	129 552	114
M3-5 Biar 5A 33 20 55 82,070 102,220 113 MS-5 Chester 4A 46 15 61 76,340 9,832,804 158,016 126 MS-6 Chester 4A 46 15 61 76,340 9,633,804 158,016 126 MS-6 Chester 5A 13 39 26 7.8 88,910 118,97.99 131 MS-6 Chester 5A 40 40 46 36,743 4,898,996 122,475 133 MS-10 Bradford 5A 50 6 57,817 133,182 134 MS-11 Cambria 5A 32 11 43 44,887 6,341,616 147,479 141 MS-16 Lackawana 5A 12 12 24 29,586 4,192,325 174,680 142 MS-16 Lackawana 5A 12 12 14 14 48 <th></th> <td>MS-4</td> <td>Lancaster</td> <td>5A</td> <td></td> <td>46</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>52</td> <td>71 758</td> <td>8 456 719</td> <td>162 629</td> <td>118</td>		MS-4	Lancaster	5A		46	6			52	71 758	8 456 719	162 629	118
Total On On <tho< td=""><th></th><td>MS-5</td><td>Blair</td><td>54</td><td>_</td><td>33</td><td>20</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>53</td><td>82.070</td><td>9 727 007</td><td>183 528</td><td>110</td></tho<>		MS-5	Blair	54	_	33	20		-	53	82.070	9 727 007	183 528	110
Mos Lancaster SA 10 0 1 10,30 30,304 10,801,201 131 MS-7 Lancaster SA 13 33 26 N 88,901 11,881,22 149,769 131 MS-8 Clearfield SA 40 40 36,743 4,589,995 122,475 133 MS-10 Bradford SA 40 1 40 36,743 4,899,95 122,475 133 MS-10 Bradford SA 30 1 43 36,487 6,331,616 147,479 141 MS-13 Mfilin SA 30 4 34 39,447 5,559,187 163,506 141 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 44 4 48 49,460 7,159,738 149,161 145 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 54 7 61 63,949 9,314,191 142,114 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 54 1		MS-6	Chester	1A		46	15			61	76 340	9,727,007	159,016	119
Most Clearfield GA 12 33 22 76 66,910 17,239 131 MS-8 Clearfield GA 24 15 551,54 185,055 133 MS-9 Indiana SA 40 40 30 42,24 5551,54 185,055 133 MS-10 Bradford SA 20 1 43 44,884 6,341,616 147,475 141 MS-11 Cambria SA 30 4 34 38,487 6,341,616 147,475 141 MS-13 Mifflin SA 30 4 34 39,447 5,559,187 183,506 141 MS-14 Fayette SA 12 12 42 29,556 4,192,325 174,680 142 MS-14 Fayette SA 12 13 44 48 49,460 7,193,731 144 MS-16 Centre SA 37 11 448 57,95		MS-7	Lancaster	54		40	20	26		70	70,340	9,030,904	138,010	120
M3-0 John Main SA 42 6 30 42,224 93,034 42,035 133 MS-10 Bradford SA 40 4 40 36,743 4,898,985 122,475 133 MS-10 Bradford SA 50 6 56 57,817 77,783,172 138,182 134 MS-11 Cambria SA 32 11 43 44,4887 6,341,616 147,478 141 MS-11 Cambria SA 30 4 34 39,447 5,559,167 163,506 141 MS-14 Fayette SA 12 12 24 29,568 4,192,325 174,680 142 MS-15 Allegheny SA 24 12 13 49 67,340 968,641 197,631 144 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 54 7 6 163,949 9318,159 142,277 146 MS-16 Lackawanna SA		MC 0	Clearfield	AC 6A	_	13	29	20	-	20	40.054	F 554 504	149,759	131
More invalue More in the investment of the i		MS 0	Indiana	5A	_	24	0		-	30	42,254	5,551,564	100,000	131
MS-10 Labora SA SO SO <thso< th=""> SO SO <</thso<>		MS 10	Bradford	AC	_	40	0			40	36,743	4,696,995	122,475	133
MS-11 California SA S2 11 43 44,867 6,341,618 147,479 141 MS-12 Dauphin SA 33 16 54 553,33 6,201,208 151,875 141 MS-13 Mifflin SA 13 12 12 24 29,586 4,192,325 174,880 142 MS-15 Allegheny SA 12 12 14 9 67,340 9,698,634 197,931 144 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 44 4 48 49,460 7,159,738 149,161 145 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 54 7 61 63,440 176,888 146 MS-19 Chester 4A 61 3 64 70,088 10,67,052 163,440 153 MS-21 Chester 4A 66 46 56,617 9,14,790 198,52 156 MS-22 Allegheny 5A 54<		MS 11	Combria	5A		50	6			50	57,817	7,738,172	138,182	134
MG-12 Dady IIII SA 30 10 S4 58,335 8,201-200 151,875 141 MS-14 Fayette SA 12 12 24 29,586 4,192,325 174,680 142 MS-15 Allegheny SA 24 12 13 49 67,340 9,688,634 197,931 144 MS-15 Allegheny SA 24 12 13 49 67,340 9,688,634 197,931 144 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 44 4 48 49,460 7,159,738 149,161 145 MS-18 Centre SA 54 7 61 63,249 9,318,159 152,757 146 MS-20 Fayette SA 54 7 61 61,964 5407,359 225,307 150 MS-21 Chester 4A 61 3 64 70,088 10,787,052 163,440 151 MS-22 Alle		MS 12	Dounhin	AC	_	32	10		_	43	44,007	0,341,010	147,479	141
MS-13 Millin SA 30 4 34 39447 Sposy, 127 Tid, Sposy, 127 Tid, Sposy, 127 MS-13 millin Fayette SA 12 12 24 22,968 4,192,325 174,860 142 MS-15 Allegheny SA 24 12 13 49 67,340 9,698,634 197,931 144 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 44 4 48 49,460 7,159,738 149,161 145 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 64 7 61 663,949 9,318,159 152,777 146 MS-10 Chester 4A 41 3 6 49 64,287 8,00,644 176,888 146 MS-20 Føyette SA 54 12 66 70,083 10,587,500 169,461 151 MS-21 Chester 4A 61 36 4 40 40,959 6,460,530 161,513 158 </td <th></th> <td>NO 12</td> <td>Dauphin</td> <td>5A</td> <td>_</td> <td>38</td> <td>16</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>54</td> <td>58,335</td> <td>8,201,250</td> <td>151,875</td> <td>141</td>		NO 12	Dauphin	5A	_	38	16			54	58,335	8,201,250	151,875	141
MS-14 Payette SA 12 12 12 14 24 29,366 41,192,35 1174,860 142 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 12 13 14 49 67,340 9,698,634 197,931 144 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 44 4 48 49,460 7,159,738 149,161 145 MS-16 Centre SA 54 7 61 63,484 9,318,159 152,757 146 MS-19 Chester 4A 41 3 5 49 54,227 8,007,477 163,418 148 MS-20 Fayette SA 54 12 66 70,683 10,687,052 163,440 153 MS-22 Allegheny SA 40 6 46 58,617 9,14,790 199,582 156 MS-23 Allegheny SA 40 12 12 16,796 2,883,900 223,658 160 <tr< td=""><th></th><td>IVIS-13</td><td>Million Courte</td><td>5A</td><td>_</td><td>30</td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td>34</td><td>39,447</td><td>5,559,187</td><td>163,506</td><td>141</td></tr<>		IVIS-13	Million Courte	5A	_	30	4			34	39,447	5,559,187	163,506	141
MS-15 Alignerity SA 24 12 13 49 67,340 9,698,634 197,931 1444 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 44 4 48 49,460 7,159,738 143,161 145 MS-17 Lehigh SA 54 7 61 63,949 9,318,159 152,757 146 MS-18 Centre SA 37 11 48 57,959 8,490,644 176,888 146 MS-20 Fayette SA 21 3 24 36,064 5,407,359 225,307 150 MS-21 Chester 4A 61 3 64 70,088 10,787,052 163,440 153 MS-22 Allegheny SA 40 6 46 58,617 9,134,790 198,582 156 MS-22 Allegheny SA 40 12 52 55,361 9,468,440 182,085 171 MS-26 Beaver <td< td=""><th></th><td>MS-14</td><td>Fayette</td><td>5A</td><td></td><td>12</td><td>12</td><td></td><td></td><td>24</td><td>29,586</td><td>4,192,325</td><td>174,680</td><td>142</td></td<>		MS-14	Fayette	5A		12	12			24	29,586	4,192,325	174,680	142
MS-16 Lackawanna SA 44 4 48 49,460 7,159,738 149,161 145 MS-16 Lackawanna SA 44 4 48 49,460 7,159,738 149,161 145 MS-18 Centre SA 37 11 48 57,359 8,490,644 176,888 146 MS-19 Chester 4A 41 3 5 49 54,287 8,007,477 163,418 148 MS-20 Fayette SA 21 3 24 36,064 5,407,399 225,307 150 MS-21 Chester 4A 61 3 64 70,083 10,57,500 164,961 151 MS-22 Allegheny SA 64 12 66 70,688 10,787,082 168,440 153 MS-24 Wayne 6A 36 4 40 40,359 6,460,530 161,513 158 MS-26 Beaver SA <th></th> <td>MS-15</td> <td>Allegneny</td> <td>5A</td> <td></td> <td>24</td> <td>12</td> <td>13</td> <td></td> <td>49</td> <td>67,340</td> <td>9,698,634</td> <td>197,931</td> <td>144</td>		MS-15	Allegneny	5A		24	12	13		49	67,340	9,698,634	197,931	144
MS-17 Lengin SA 64 7 61 63,948 9,318,159 152,757 144 MS-18 Centre SA 43 7 61 63,948 9,318,159 152,757 144 MS-18 Centre SA 44 14 3 5 49 54,287 8,007,477 163,418 148 MS-19 Chester 4A 41 3 5 49 54,287 8,007,477 163,418 148 MS-20 Fayette SA 21 3 24 36,064 57,070 169,641 151 MS-22 Allegheny SA 64 12 66 70,688 10,787,052 163,440 153 MS-23 Allegheny SA 40 6 46 58,617 9,488,440 182,085 171 MS-25 Centre SA 51 51 51,500 8,871,635 173,954 172 MS-26 Beaver		MS-16	Lackawanna	5A		44	4			48	49,460	7,159,738	149,161	145
Ms-16 Leintre 5A 37 11 48 57,959 8,490,644 176,888 146 MS-10 Chestre 4A 41 3 5 49 55,4287 8,007,477 163,418 148 MS-20 Fayette 5A 21 3 24 36,064 5,407,359 225,307 150 MS-21 Chester 4A 61 3 64 70,088 10,787,052 163,440 153 MS-22 Allegheny 5A 40 6 46 58,617 9,134,790 198,582 156 MS-22 Allegheny 5A 40 6 46 58,617 9,134,790 198,582 156 MS-26 Beaver 5A 12 12 12 16,796 2,883,900 223,556 100 MS-26 Beaver 5A 51 51 51 51,500 8,871,635 173,954 172 MS-27 Lancaster 5A<		MS-17	Lenign	5A		54	1			61	63,949	9,318,159	152,757	146
MS-19 Chester 4A 41 3 5 49 54.287 8.007.477 163.418 148 MS-19 Chester 4A 61 3 24 36.064 5.407.377 165 MS-21 Chester 4A 61 3 64 70.083 10.557.500 164.961 151 MS-22 Allegheny 5A 40 6 46 58.617 9.134.790 198.582 156 MS-23 Allegheny 5A 40 6 46 58.617 9.134.790 198.582 156 MS-24 Wayne 6A 36 4 40 40.959 6.460.530 161.513 158 MS-25 Centre 5A 40 12 51 51.500 8.871.635 173.954 172 MS-28 Allegheny 5A 52 8 60 66.733 11.716.729 195.279 176 MS-29 Montogomery 4A 40	r	MS-18	Centre	5A		37	11			48	57,959	8,490,644	176,888	146
MS-20 Fayetle 5A 21 3 24 36,064 54,07,359 222,507 150 MS-21 Chester 4A 61 3 64 70,083 10,657,050 164,961 151 MS-22 Allegheny 5A 54 12 66 70,689 10,787,052 163,440 153 MS-23 Allegheny 5A 40 6 46 56,617 9,134,700 199,582 156 MS-24 Wayne 6A 36 4 40 40,959 6,460,530 161,513 158 MS-25 Centre 5A 40 12 52 55,361 9,468,440 182,085 171 MS-26 Beaver 5A 51 51 51,500 8,871,635 173,954 172 MS-28 Allegheny 5A 52 8 60 66,733 11,716,72 195,279 176 MS-28 Montgomery 4A 40 4	atc	MS-19	Chester	4A		41	3	5		49	54,287	8,007,477	163,418	148
MS-21 Chester 4A 61 3 64 70.083 10.557.500 164.961 151 MS-22 Allegheny SA 54 12 66 70.089 10.787.000 164.961 153 MS-23 Allegheny SA 40 6 46 58.617 9.134.790 198.582 156 MS-24 Wayne 6A 36 4 40 40.959 6.460.530 161.513 158 MS-24 Centre SA 40 12 52 55.361 9.468.440 182.065 171 MS-25 Beaver SA 40 12 52 55.361 9.468.440 182.065 177 MS-26 Beaver SA 52 8 60 66.733 11.716.739 195.279 176 MS-29 Mongomery 4A 40 4 44 44.667 8.029.015 160.580 190 MS-30 Mongomery 4A 50	Ň	MS-20	Fayette	5A		21	3			24	36,064	5,407,359	225,307	150
MS-22 Allegheny 5A 64 12 66 70.689 10.787.052 163.440 153 MS-24 Wayne 6A 36 40 6 46 58.617 9.134.790 198.582 156 MS-24 Wayne 6A 36 4 40 40.0596 6.460.530 161.513 158 MS-25 Centre 5A 40 12 12 16.766 2.683.900 223.658 160 MS-27 Lancaster 5A 40 12 52 55.361 9.468.440 182.065 171 MS-27 Lancaster 5A 51 51 51 51.000 8.871.635 173.964 172 MS-29 Moltgomery 4A 40 4 44 4.667 8.202.314 186.416 184 MS-30 Moltgomery 4A 50 50 42.265 8.022.342 199.277 192 MS-31 Crawford 5A <	iii ii	MS-21	Chester	4A		61	3			64	70,083	10,557,500	164,961	151
MS-23 Allegheny 5A 40 6 46 56,617 9134,700 199,582 156 MS-24 Wayne 6A 36 4 40 40,959 6,460,530 161,513 158 MS-25 Centre 5A 40 12 12 16,796 2,683,900 223,683 160 MS-26 Beaver 5A 40 12 52 55,361 9,468,440 182,085 171 MS-28 Allegheny 5A 52 8 60 66,6733 11,716,729 195,279 176 MS-28 Allegheny 5A 52 8 60 66,733 11,716,729 195,279 176 MS-28 Montgomery 4A 40 4 44,4687 8,029,015 180,580 190 MS-31 Crawford 5A 36 4 0 3,853 7,490,675 187,267 192 MS-32 Philadelphia 4A 58 <	/ 8	MS-22	Allegheny	5A		54	12			66	70,689	10,787,052	163,440	153
MS-24 Wayne 6A 36 4 40 40,959 6,460,530 161,513 158 MS-25 Centre 5A 12 12 16,796 2,683,900 223,858 160 MS-26 Beaver 5A 40 12 52 55,361 9,468,440 182,065 171 MS-27 Lancaster 5A 51 51 51,500 88,871,635 177,3954 172 MS-28 Allegheny 5A 52 8 60 66,733 11,716,729 195,279 176 MS-29 Montgomery 4A 40 4 44 44,667 8,202,314 186,416 184 MS-30 Montgomery 4A 50 50 42,265 8,029,015 160,580 199 MS-31 Crawford 5A 36 4 40 38,053 7,490,675 187,267 192 MS-33 Westmoreland 5A 47 47 49,080	∑	MS-23	Allegheny	5A		40	6			46	58,617	9,134,790	198,582	156
MS-25 Centre 5A 12 12 16,796 22,683,900 22,28,68 160 MS-26 Beaver 5A 40 12 52 55,361 9,468,440 182,085 171 MS-27 Lancaster 5A 40 12 52 55,361 9,468,440 182,085 171 MS-27 Lancaster 5A 51 51 51 51,500 8,871,635 179,954 172 MS-28 Allegheny 5A 52 8 60 66,733 11,716,729 195,275 176 MS-29 Montgomery 4A 40 4 44 4,687 8,202,314 186,416 184 MS-30 Montgomery 4A 50 4 262,68 0,600,755 187,267 192 MS-33 Philadelphia 4A 9 8 7 24 31,220 6,031,000 251,294 193 MS-33 Philadelphia 4A 58	to	MS-24	Wayne	6A		36	4			40	40,959	6,460,530	161,513	158
MS-26 Beaver 5A 40 12 52 55.361 94.68.40 182.085 171 MS-27 Lancaster 5A 51 51 51 51.00 8.871.635 173.954 172 MS-28 Allegheny 5A 52 8 60 66.733 11.716.729 195.279 176 MS-28 Montgomery 4A 40 4 44.46.87 8.202.314 186.416 184 MS-30 Montgomery 4A 40 4 44.46.87 8.202.314 186.416 184 MS-30 Montgomery 4A 50 50 42.265 8.029.015 180.580 199 MS-31 Crawford 5A 36 4 0 3.953 7.490.675 187.267 192 MS-33 Westmoreland 5A 47 47 49.080 9.825.22 209.047 200 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 60 56.120 11.26.762	ဂု	MS-25	Centre	5A			12			12	16,796	2,683,900	223,658	160
MS-27 Lancaster 5A 51 51 51 51 51.500 8.871.635 177.3954 172 MS-28 Allegheny 5A 52 8 60 66,733 11,716.729 195.279 176 MS-29 Montgomery 4A 40 4 44 44.687 8.029.015 160,580 199 MS-30 Montgomery 4A 50 50 42.265 8.029.015 180,580 199 MS-31 Crawford 5A 36 4 40 38,953 7,490.675 187.267 192 MS-32 Philadelpia 4A 9 8 7 24 31.20 6.031.050 251.244 193 MS-33 Westmoreland 5A 47 47 49.080 9.825.224 209.047 200 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 60 60 56.762 11,162.27 192.162 11 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A <td< td=""><th>Iti</th><td>MS-26</td><td>Beaver</td><td>5A</td><td></td><td>40</td><td>12</td><td></td><td></td><td>52</td><td>55,361</td><td>9,468,440</td><td>182,085</td><td>171</td></td<>	Iti	MS-26	Beaver	5A		40	12			52	55,361	9,468,440	182,085	171
MS-28 Allegheny 5A 52 8 60 66,733 11.716,729 195,275 176 MS-29 Montgomery 4A 40 4 44 44,687 8,202,314 186,416 184 MS-29 Montgomery 4A 40 4 44 44,687 8,202,314 186,416 184 MS-30 Montgomery 4A 50 4 265 8,029,015 160,500 199 MS-31 Crawford 5A 36 4 40 38,953 7,490,675 187,267 192 MS-32 Philadelphia 4A 9 8 7 24 31,220 6,031,050 251,294 193 MS-33 Westmoreland 5A 47 14 47 49,080 9,825,224 209,047 200 MS-33 Philadelphia 4A 58 4 62 56,120 11,1262,762 181,657 207 MS-35 Philadelphia	١٧	MS-27	Lancaster	5A		51				51	51,500	8,871,635	173,954	172
MS-29 Montgomery 4A 40 4 44.867 8,202,314 186,416 184 MS-30 Montgomery 4A 50 50 42,265 8,029,015 160,580 199 MS-31 Crawford 5A 36 4 0 39,553 7,490,675 187,267 192 MS-32 Philadelpia 4A 9 8 7 24 31,220 6,031,050 251,294 193 MS-33 Westmoreland 5A 47 47 49,080 9,825,22 209,047 200 MS-34 Philadelphia 4A 58 4 62 56,120 11,262,762 181,657 201 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 20 4 24 26,284 5,23,620 230,151 210 MS-37 Philadelphia 4A 32 11 45 42,523 8,964,723 199,216 211 MS-39 Philadelphia 4A 33	~	MS-28	Allegheny	5A		52	8			60	66,733	11,716,729	195,279	176
MS-30 Montgomery 4A 50 50 42,265 80,29,015 160,580 199 MS-31 Crawford 5A 36 4 40 38,953 7,490,675 187,267 192 MS-32 Philadelpia 4A 9 8 7 24 31,220 6,031,050 251,294 193 MS-33 Westmoreland 5A 47 24 31,220 6,031,050 251,294 193 MS-34 Philadelphia 4A 58 4 62 56,120 11,262,782 181,657 200 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 60 60 57,672 11,915,227 198,587 207 MS-36 Philadelphia 4A 20 4 24 26,284 553,2620 230,151 210 MS-37 Philadelphia 4A 32 1 45 42,623 8,964,723 199,216 211 MS-38 Philadelphia 4A 32		MS-29	Montgomery	4A		40	4			44	44,687	8,202,314	186,416	184
MS-31 Crawford 5A 36 4 40 38,953 7,490,675 187,267 192 MS-32 Philadelpia 4A 9 8 7 24 31,220 6,031,050 251,294 193 MS-33 Westmoreland 5A 477 4 47 49,080 9,825,224 209,047 200 MS-34 Philadelphia 4A 58 4 62 56,120 11,262,762 181,657 201 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 58 4 62 56,120 11,262,762 181,657 201 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 20 4 24 24,224 5,232,602 203,115 201 MS-36 Philadelphia 4A 34 11 455 42,523 8,964,723 199,216 211 MS-38 Philadelphia 4A 32 11 50 53,416 11,371,112 227,422 213 MS-40		MS-30	Montgomery	4A		50				50	42,265	8,029,015	160,580	190
MS-32 Philadelpia 4A 9 8 7 24 31,220 6,031,050 251,294 193 MS-33 Westmoreland 5A 47 47 4,080 9,825,224 209,047 200 MS-34 Philadelphia 4A 58 4 62 56,120 11,262,762 181,657 201 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 60 57,672 119,15,227 198,587 207 MS-36 Philadelphia 4A 20 4 24 26,284 5,53,560 201,161 210 MS-37 Philadelphia 4A 34 11 45 42,523 8,964,723 199,216 211 MS-39 Philadelphia 4A 32 11 45 42,523 8,964,723 199,216 211 MS-39 Philadelphia 4A 39 11 45 50 55,099 11,747,129 227,422 213 MS-40 Philadelphia 4A<		MS-31	Crawford	5A		36	4			40	38,953	7,490,675	187,267	192
MS-33 Westmoreland 5A 47 47 49,080 9,825,224 209,047 200 MS-34 Philadelphia 4A 58 4 62 56,120 11,152,762 181,657 201 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 60 60 57,672 11,915,227 198,587 207 MS-36 Philadelphia 4A 20 4 24 26,284 5,523,620 230,151 210 MS-37 Philadelphia 4A 34 11 45 42,523 8,964,723 199,216 211 MS-39 Philadelphia 4A 52 52 50,275 10,703,403 205,835 213 MS-40 Philadelphia 4A 45 5 50 55,099 11,747,289 234,945 214 MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 45 45 46,754 10,18,014 224,845 216 MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 53 53 <		MS-32	Philadelpia	4A		9	8	7		24	31,220	6,031,050	251,294	193
MS-34 Philadelphia 4A 58 4 62 56,120 11,262,762 18,1657 201 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 60 60 57,672 11,915,27 199,587 207 MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 60 24 26,284 5,523,602 230,151 210 MS-37 Philadelphia 4A 34 11 45 42,523 8,964,723 199,216 211 MS-38 Philadelphia 4A 52 52 50,276 10,703,403 205,835 213 MS-40 Philadelphia 4A 39 11 50 53,416 11,371,112 227,422 213 MS-40 Philadelphia 4A 45 5 50 55,099 11,747,289 224,445 214 MS-41 Philadelphia 4A 24 24 24,284 5,194,462 216,436 214 MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 53 53		MS-33	Westmoreland	5A		47				47	49,080	9,825,224	209,047	200
MS-35 Philadelphia 4A 60 60 57.672 11.915.27 198.587 207 MS-36 Philadelphia 4A 20 4 24 26.284 5.53.620 230.151 210 MS-37 Philadelphia 4A 34 11 45 42.623 8.964.723 199.216 211 MS-38 Philadelphia 4A 52 52 50.275 10.703.403 205.835 213 MS-39 Philadelphia 4A 39 11 50 53.416 11.371.112 227.422 213 MS-40 Philadelphia 4A 45 5 50 550.999 11.747.269 234.495 214 MS-41 Philadelphia 4A 45 45 46.754 10.18.014 224.845 216 MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 45 53 50.312 10.900.733 20.56.74 217 MS-43 Philadelphia 4A 54 53		MS-34	Philadelphia	4A		58	4			62	56,120	11,262,762	181,657	201
MS-36 Philadelphia 4A 20 4 24 26,284 5,523,620 230,151 210 MS-37 Philadelphia 4A 34 11 45 42,523 8,964,723 199,216 211 MS-38 Philadelphia 4A 52 52 50,275 10,703,403 205,835 213 MS-39 Philadelphia 4A 39 11 50 55,316 11,371,112 227,422 213 MS-40 Philadelphia 4A 45 5 50 55,099 11,747,289 234,945 214 MS-41 Philadelphia 4A 45 45 46,754 10,118,014 224,845 216 MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 45 45 46,754 10,118,014 224,845 216 MS-43 Philadelphia 4A 54 53 50,312 10,900,733 20,5674 217 MS-44 Philadelphia 4A 54 54		MS-35	Philadelphia	4A	60					60	57,672	11,915,227	198,587	207
MS-37 Philadelphia 4A 34 11 45 42,523 8,964,723 199,216 211 MS-38 Philadelphia 4A 52 52 50,275 10,703,403 205,835 213 MS-39 Philadelphia 4A 39 11 50 53,416 11,371,112 227,422 213 MS-40 Philadelphia 4A 45 5 50 55,099 11,747,269 234,945 213 MS-41 Philadelphia 4A 24 24 24,284 5,194,462 216,436 214 MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 45 45 46,754 10,18,014 224,845 216 MS-43 Philadelphia 4A 53 53 50,312 10,900,733 205,674 217 MS-44 Philadelphia 4A 54 54 48,965 10,664,381 197,489 218 MS-45 Philadelphia 4A 88 88 79,650		MS-36	Philadelphia	4A		20	4			24	26,284	5,523,620	230,151	210
MS-38 Philadelphia 4A 52 52 50,275 10,703,403 205,835 213 MS-39 Philadelphia 4A 39 11 50 53,416 11,371,112 227,422 213 MS-40 Philadelphia 4A 45 5 50 550,999 11,747,289 234,495 213 MS-41 Philadelphia 4A 45 5 42 24,284 5,194,462 216,436 214 MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 45 45 46,754 10,18,014 224,845 216 MS-43 Philadelphia 4A 53 53 503,312 10,900,733 205,674 217 MS-44 Philadelphia 4A 54 54 48,965 10,664,381 197,489 218 MS-45 Philadelphia 4A 88 88 79,650 18,005,791 204,611		MS-37	Philadelphia	4A		34	11			45	42,523	8,964,723	199,216	211
MS-39 Philadelphia 4A 39 11 50 53,416 11,371,112 227,422 213 MS-40 Philadelphia 4A 45 5 50 55,099 11,747,289 234,945 213 MS-41 Philadelphia 4A 24 24 24 24,284 5,194,462 216,436 214 MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 45 46,754 10,118,014 224,645 216 MS-43 Philadelphia 4A 53 53 50,312 10,900,733 205,674 217 MS-44 Philadelphia 4A 54 54 48,965 10,664,381 197,499 218 MS-45 Philadelphia 4A 88 88 79,650 18,005,791 204,611		MS-38	Philadelphia	4A		52				52	50,275	10,703,403	205,835	213
MS-40 Philadelphia 4A 45 5 50 55,099 11,747,269 234,945 213 MS-41 Philadelphia 4A 24 24 24 24,845 5194,462 216,436 214 MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 45 45 46,754 10,118,014 224,845 216 MS-43 Philadelphia 4A 45 53 503,12 10,900,733 205,674 217 MS-44 Philadelphia 4A 54 54 48,965 10,664,381 197,489 218 MS-45 Philadelphia 4A 88 88 79,650 18,005,791 204,611 226		MS-39	Philadelphia	4A		39	11			50	53,416	11,371,112	227,422	213
MS-41 Philadelphia 4A 24 24 24 24,284 5,194,462 216,436 214 MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 45 45 46,754 10,18,014 224,845 216 MS-43 Philadelphia 4A 53 50.312 10,900,733 205,674 217 MS-44 Philadelphia 4A 54 54 46,965 10,664,381 197,489 218 MS-45 Philadelphia 4A 88 88 79,650 18,005,791 204,611 226		MS-40	Philadelphia	4A		45	5			50	55,099	11,747,269	234,945	213
MS-42 Philadelphia 4A 45 45 46,754 10,118,014 224,845 216 MS-43 Philadelphia 4A 53 53 50,312 10,900,733 205,674 217 MS-44 Philadelphia 4A 54 54 48,965 10,664,381 197,489 218 MS-45 Philadelphia 4A 88 88 79,650 18,005,791 204,611		MS-41	Philadelphia	4A		24				24	24,284	5,194,462	216,436	214
MS-43 Philadelphia 4A 53 53 50.312 10.900.733 205.674 217 MS-44 Philadelphia 4A 54 54 48,965 10.664.381 197.489 218 MS-45 Philadelphia 4A 88 88 79,650 18,005,791 204.611 226		MS-42	Philadelphia	4A		45				45	46,754	10.118.014	224.845	216
MS-44 Philadelphia 4A 54 54 48,965 10,664,381 197,489 218 MS-45 Philadelphia 4A 88 88 79,650 18,005,791 204,014 218		MS-43	Philadelphia	4A		53				53	50.312	10,900,733	205.674	217
MS-45 Philadelphia 4A 88 88 79,650 18,005,791 204,611 226		MS-44	Philadelphia	4A		54				54	48 965	10,664,381	197 499	218
. 00 13,000 10,000,191 204,011 220		MS-45	Philadelphia	4A	88	54		-		88	79,650	18 005 791	204 611	226
					00			-		00	13,030	10,000,791	204,011	220

Notes:

103 applications were received for 2015. 18 of these were were for the preservation of existing affordable housing.

CONSTRUCTION COST OF PROPOSED PROJECTS TO PHFA



[©] Onion Flats 2016. Prepared by NK Architects









Wynne Phila, PA 51 Units



Sacred Heart Allentown, PA 61 Units

U







PHIUS

Passive House Institute US

Hillcrest Pittsburgh, PA 65 Units



Washington Square Townhomes Chambersburg, Pa 54 Units





Passive House Institute

Mann Edge Lewistown, Pa 34 Units



Passive House Projects COMPLETED

Construction Cost Change from Application to Construction Completion

> \$/S Cost

Proj. No.	Total Units	Residt'l Blde Area	ŀ	\$/SF @ Applic	
2015-443	51	62,509		\$ 218	L
2015-431	52	43,868		\$ 296	L
2015-436	23	28,205		\$ 197	L
2015-608	40	40,959		\$ 158	L
2015-810	35	61,504		\$ 149	L
2015-419	28	45,434		\$ 178	L
2015-809	37	48,768		\$ 198	
2015-612	31	43,868		\$ 161	
2015-804	88	79,650		\$ 226	L
2015-416	66	70,689		\$ 153	L
2015-466	40	53,652		\$ 140	L
2015-619	34	39,447		\$ 141	L
2015-806	49	54,287		\$ 148	L
2015-445	50	55,099		\$ 220	L
2015-439	53	51,690		\$ 155	L
2015-448	44	49,406		\$ 169	L
2015-449	61	63,949		\$ 149	L
2015-616	24	36,064		\$ 150	L
2015-620	53	82,070		\$ 119	L
2015-807	43	55,832		\$ 170	
2015-614	45	53,021		\$ 116	
2015-440	52	50,275		\$ 213	L
2015-415	56	56,250		\$ 159	L
2015-610	54	70,218		\$ 100	L
2015-467	45	63,548		\$ 139	
2015-459	12	16,796		\$ 160	

F @ :Cert	% Change
186	-15%
287	-3%
193	-2%
157	-1%
149	-1%
178	0%
199	1%
162	1%
228	1%
155	2%
144	3%
145	3%
151	3%
226	3%
163	5%
177	5%
157	5%
160	7%
129	8%
185	9%
128	10%
233	10%
176	10%
111	11%
154	11%
181	13%

NON-PASSIVE HOUSE

Application \$171 SF Completion \$176 SF 3% Higher

PASSIVE HOUSE

Application	Completio
\$161 SF	\$168 SF
	4% Higher

*NOTE 3-5% Contingency added to budgets after application

YEAR 2 2016 **10 PH projects Funded**

A NATIONAL Net-Zero-Energy Initiative by 2030

			Constru	ctior	n Cost	Sum	mary	for P	HFA 201	6 Application	IS			application, I	based o	n schema	Philadelphia	4A	45				45	23,302	7,408,602	164,63	5 318
			Climate	l	Uni	s by E	R Otv	,	Total	Resid, Bldg.	Resid.			drawings and	spees,	and subj	eet to Berks	54		40	20		60	62.149	7.432.636	123.8	7 120
	Proj. No.	County	Zone	•	1	2	2	4+	Units	Area	Constr. S	\$ / Unit	\$ / SF	change as de	tailed o	MS-02	Tioga	6A		34	6		40	48,735	5,999,734	149,9	8 123
	SE-01	Dauphin	5.0	U	14	16	15	47	60	00.625	10 419 021	172.65	105	specification	are de	veloggi.	Dauphin	5A		35	2		37	43,964	5,421,065	146,5	5 123
	3F-01 SE-02	Lobanon	5A 5A		14	22	14	15	55	78 627	8 446 000	175,03	105			MS-04	Bradford	5A		38	12 6		56	63,768	8,446,000	150,8	1 132
ŝ	SE-02	Lycoming	54		20	40	14		60	82 730	9 436 382	157,30	114	27 of the 94	project	(49%)§¢e	tilledster	5A		46	6		52	92,370	12,565,629	241,6	7 136
ling	SE-04	Columbia	54		20	7	17		24	48,499	5.669.777	236.24	117	that they wo	uld me	et Rassige	Hay lese e	5A		12	12		24	28,904	3,942,323	164,20	8 136
-li	SE-05	Philadelphia	4A		5	19	31	5	60	79,795	9,739,093	162.31	122	standards.		MS-07	Cambria	5A		32	11		43	49,491	6,879,001	159,9	7 139
Ē	SF-06	Wyoming	5A			30	12		42	72,100	9,168,380	218,29	127			MS-08	Clearfield	6A		24	6		30	41,915	5,855,263	195,1	5 140
ato	SF-07	Erie	5A		8	20	18		46	85,819	10,964,900	238,36	128	39 applicatio	ns were	aWardeo	Chester	4A		56	3		59	64,180	9,033,100	153,10	8 141
evä	SF-08	Lancaster	5A		6	33	21		60	78,825	10,259,118	170,98	130	resources fro	m PHF	.Ø\$the	se⊊e6ntweere	5A		16	34	_	50	60,912	8,666,068	173,3	1 142
/ EI	SF-09	Cumberland	5A			18	34		52	75,275	9,921,606	190,80	132	preservation	applica	tidM§:10f	Chinton	5A		28	4	_	32	37,454	5,333,806	166,6	1 142
∑.	SF-10	Centre	5A		6	24	18		48	75,737	10,193,457	212,36	135	remaining 33	succes	sfuM&d 8 li	attenseny	5A		24	12 1	3	49	67,340	9,698,634	197,9	1 144
Sto	SF-11	Lehigh	5A		19	27	16		62	71,254	9,631,860	155,35	135	10 were Pass	ive Hou	selotojiec	144381%9.	5A		32	3	_	35	44,543	6,503,636	185,8	3 146
i.	SF-12	Lancaster	5A		41	79	18		138	154,370	21,137,388	153,16	137	This represer	ts 507	Passive H	Dauphin	5A		20			20	19,157	2,803,860	140,1	3 146
₽ K	SF-13	Erie	5A			9	31		40	53,454	7,870,669	196,76	147	dwelling unit	s.	IVI3-15 MC 16	Butler	5A		15	12 0	_	68	46.095	9,821,302	144,4:	2 140
f	SF-14	Montgomery	4A			19	29		48	59,976	8,858,000	184,54	148			MS 17	Vestmoreland	5A EA		12	12 0		4 26	40,093	7 560 000	210.0	149
ŏ	SF-15	Lebanon	5A			49	13		62	82,974	12,349,192	199,18	. 149			MS-18	Northumborland	5A EA		22	12 0		30	38,240	5 789 694	180 91	151
-	SF-16	Cumberland	5A			10	30	10	50	72,707	10,865,524	217,31	149			MS-19	Centre	54		37	11		48	57,959	8,781,136	182.9	1 152
Ę.	SF-17	Schuylkill	5A		1	11	5		17	21,544	3,225,548	189,73	150			MS-20	Lackawanna	5A		14	4		48	49,460	7,493,999	156.12	5 152
an	SF-18	Berks	5A		10	21	11		42	57,722	8,755,000	208,45	152	Indic	ate≌ur	successfi	ALASSING	5A		30	34	-	64	69.605	10.837.117	169.3	0 156
e	SF-19	Berks	5A		22	20	16		58	62,097	9,440,383	162,76	152	Hous	e a g pli	cations2	Dauphin	5A		43	11		54	51.319	8.411.465	155.7	8 164
ing	SF-20	Franklin	5A		6	21	21		48	66,583	10,404,256	216,75	156		Bui	MS-23	Montgomery	4A		50			60	58,681	9,643,959	160,7	8 164
s	SF-21	Lehigh	5A		9	15	20	4	48	53,333	8,377,963	174,54	. 157	Indic	ategsu	cc eas f <u>e</u> 4 F	Pasainas	5A		39	4		43	50,532	8,515,443	198,0	1 169
	SF-22	Chester	4A		19	18	11		48	58,541	9,248,927	192,68	158	Hous	e a pli	cativ03A85	Clarion	5A		48			48	53,668	9,090,720	189,3	0 169
	SF-23	Cumberland	5A		5	22	8		35	44,186	7,656,200	218,74	173		Ш.	MS-26	Allegheny	5A		40	6		46	56,969	10,124,143	220,0	178
	SF-24	Montgomery	4A		8	21	15	6	50	65,907	11,589,411	231,78	176		2	MS-27	Allegheny	5A		28	8		36	42,500	7,582,274	210,6	178
	SF-25	Allegheny	5A		35	16	14		65	87,255	15,376,648	236,56	176	Projects are a	irrange	dbWSc∂§t	Ghester	4A		47	13		60	61,551	10,982,435	183,04	1 178
	SF-26	Delaware	4A		8	34	14		56	65,212	11,914,849	212,76	183	square foot f	or 🛱 ch	b/MSt29g	Delaware	4A		38	3		41	47,797	8,539,207	208,2	3 179
	SF-27	Philadelphia	4A			17	16	2	35	45,476	9,441,620	269,76	208		Μ	MS-30	Allegheny	5A		52	8		60	63,861	11,647,354	194,1	8 182
	SF-28	Armstrong	5A		-	24			24	28,812	6,017,450	250,72	209			MS-31	Philadelphia	4A		37	14		81	93,000	17,635,125	217,7	8 190
	SF-29 M45-46	Philadelphia	4A 4A		34	28	14		42 .45	47,964 A2,520	10,022,268	238,62	3 ,248			MS-32	Crawford	5A		36	4		40	38,953	7,552,475	188,8	2 194
	SF=30* M4S-47	Philadelphia	4A 4A		11	10	11		32	31,619	6,732,433	210,38	213			MS-33	Westmoreland	5A		47			47	49,080	9,801,657	208,54	5 200
	5F-31 M4S-48	Rinadelphia	4A		8	19	24	4	55 BD	66,383	19,011,723	345,6t 28/.4	286			MS-34	Bucks	4A	1	56	10		66	61,576	12,448,922	188,6	0 202
	1115-49	Luzerne	5A	45	30				45	23,302	7,408,602	104,03	318 3 280			MS-35	Lycoming	5A		23	11	_	34	35,437	7,169,151	210,8	7 202
	MS-50	Philadelphia	4A		48				48	46,000	12,915,822	269,0	0 281	Notori		MS-36	Philadelphia	4A		51		_	61	60,137	12,416,322	203,5	õ 206
	MC 02	T:	C A		24	~			40	40 725	5 000 734	1 40 00	122	Notes:		MS-37	Bradford	5A		40	10		50	56,580	11,852,026	237,0	209
	AR-01	Monroe	5A		36	4			40	54,215	5,753,672	143,8	2 106	100		MS-38	Philadelphia	4A		58	4	_	62	57,653	12,079,768	194,8	o 210
	AR-02	Luzerne	5A	6	54	2			62	88,489	9,900,711	159,6	9 112	109 applicatio		MS-39	Philadelphia	4A		52		_	52	46,619	9,903,739	190,4	212
	AR-03	Philadelphia	4A	12	54				66	77,978	10,123,117	153,3	1 130	45 -646		IVIS-40	Philadelphia	4A	60				60	56,672	12,1/4,301	202,90	215
dgs	AR-04	Allegheny	54		33	8	1		41	70,409	9.181.888	223.9	8 130	15 of these w		IVIS-41	Philadelphia	4A		45	_		45	48,351	10,464,750	232,5	216
8	AR-05	Butler	5A	1	44	18	1	1	62	73,114	10,046,992	162.0	8 137	of existing aff		1VI3-42	Allochopy	4A		29	4		33	42,265	9,236,729 8 284 054	251.02	219
nse	AR-06	Washington	54		24				24	41.046	6,169,663	257.0	9 150	limited scope		K THET WO	Dhiladalahia	JA 4A		16	4	-	50	46.640	10 701 164	214.01	220
Re	AR-07	Allegheny	54	2	49	4			55	65,190	10,592,039	192 5	3 162	meet Passive		MS-45	Philadelphia	44		53	-		53	50,312	11,711,200	220.9	5 229
ive	AR-08	Delaware	44	-	50	<u> </u>			50	50,548	8,727,828	174 5	7 173			MS-46	Philadelphia	44		34	11		45	42,520	10,560,747	234.6	3 248
apt	AR-09	Philadelphia	44	+	60	1	1	1	60	65,041	11.803.992	196 7	3 181	The remainin		MS-47	Philadelphia	4A		24			24	24,284	6,040,593	251,65	1 249
Adi	AR-10	Philadelphia	44	1	74	+	+	1	74	93,285	20.223.060	273.2	5 217	here.		MS-48	Philadelphia	4A		50			60	65,340	17,249,402	287,4	264
	AR-11	Philadelphia	44	20	37	1	1	1	57	63,960	14.005.881	245 7	7 219			MS-49	Luzerne	5A		36			36	27,296	7,653,000	212,58	8 280
	AR-12	Perry	54		28	3	1	1	31	36,152	8.548.665	275 7	3 236	The construct		ts phoyon	ang ladelphia	4A		48			48	46,000	12,915,822	269,0	281
	711-12	i city	JA	<u> </u>	20	1	1	1	51	30,132	3,348,005	213,1	230	preliminary fi	gures a	is listed in	the										

YEAR 2 A NATIONAL Net-Zero-Energy Initiative by 2030, and subject to change as detailed drawings and specifications are developed.

The construction co



DATA SOURCE: PENNSYLVANIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY © Onion Flats 2016. Prepared by NK Architects



Morningside Crossing Pittsburgh, PA 46 Units

Glassport **Glassport**, PA 55 Units Passive House Institute US

PHIUS Passive House Institute US

Mt. Lebanon Sr. Housing Pittsburgh, PA 60 Units

Roxbury Place Johnstown, PA 43 Units Passive House Institute US

Westminster @ Windy Phillipsburg, PA 48 Units Passive House Institute US





PH



Parade St. Commons Erie, PA 40 Units



The Willows Landisville, PA 60 Units

Muncy Green Muncy, PA 60 Units Passive House Institute US



PHIU

Montgomery Park Norristown, PA 50 Units





10 Passive House Projects *COMPLETE*

YEAR 3-4 2017-18

8 PH projects Funded

A NATIONAL Net-Zero-Energy Initiative by 2030

		Construc	tion C	ost	Su	mm	ary	of	2018	8 PHFA	Applica	tions	
	Ref. No.	County	Climate Zone	0	Unit:	s by Bl	R Qty 3	4+	Total Units	Resid. Bldg Area	Resid Constr \$	\$ / Unit	\$ / SF
	SF-01	York	5A			10	13		23	44,064	4,475,121	194,570	102
	SF-02	Dauphin	5A				22	22	44	66,603	8,409,248	191,119	126
	SF-03	York	5A		6	23	24	3	56	72,013	9,258,025	165,322	129
~	SF-04	Berks	5A			24	22		46	66,030	8,557,500	186,033	130
sea	SF-05	Lebanon	5A		18	26	16		60	76,101	10,333,056	172,218	136
sn	SF-06	Franklin	5A			7	25		32	54,375	8,150,464	254,702	150
ho	SF-07	Philadelphia	4A		2	5	11	2	20	29,503	4,490,975	224,549	152
۲N	SF-08	Lackawanna	5A		12	12	8	4	36	50,019	7,805,595	216,822	156
õ	SF-09	Franklin	5A		6	21	21		48	66,583	10,727,005	223,479	161
1	SF-10	Multiple Co's	5A	52					52	52,330	8,909,580	171,338	170
Ŋ	SF-11	York	5A		18	9	7		34	35,636	6,396,969	188,146	180
m	SF-12	Allegheny	5A		47	10			57	48,150	9,106,659	159,766	189
Fal	SF-13	Westmoreland	5A		3	6	9		18	20,489	4,108,548	228,253	201
е	SF-14	Allegheny	5A		4	7	9		20	26,198	5,407,155	270,358	206
lgı	SF-15	Armstrong	5A			24			24	29,147	6,230,195	259,591	214
Sir	SF-16	Susquehanna	6A		34	2			36	31,103	7,031,404	195,317	226
•,	SF-17	Philadelphia	4A			17	16	2	35	45,476	10,281,980	293,771	226
	SF-18	Philadelphia	4A		11	10	12		33	34,388	8,875,449	268,953	258
	SF-19	Philadelphia	4A		28	12			40	46,232	12,214,948	305,374	264
	SF-20	Philadelphia	4A		12	18	11	9	50	71,903	21,367,901	427,358	297

Construction Cost Summary of 2018 PHFA Applications												
Ref.		Climate	Units by BR Qty					Total	Resid.	Resid		
No.	County	Zone	0	1	2	3	4+	Units	Bldg Area	Constr \$	\$ / Unit	\$ / SF
MS-01	Erie	5A			45			45	100,201	8,587,936	190,843	86
MS-02	Lancaster	5A		44	18			62	76,045	8,306,538	133,976	109
MS-03	Lancaster	5A		45	15			60	68,993	8,544,047	142,401	124
MS-04	Cumberland	5A		14	12	16		42	49,581	6,440,993	153,357	130
MS-05	Berks	5A		45	12			57	59,916	7,892,757	138,469	132
MS-06	Westmoreland	5A		15	13	8		36	46,095	6,087,669	169,102	132
MS-07	Fayette	5A		18	18			36	42,820	5,679,247	157,757	133
MS-08	Dauphin	5A		35	2			37	43,928	5,896,750	159,372	134
MS-09	Bradford	5A		38	12			56	63,759	8,603,563	153,635	135
MS-10	Allegheny	5A		30	10			40	54,495	7,335,750	183,394	135
MS-11	Lancaster	5A		46	6			52	94,440	12,791,060	245,982	135
MS-12	Centre	5A		16	34			50	60,599	8,371,068	167,421	138
MS-13	Montgomery	4A		42	14			56	60,166	8,477,023	151,375	141
MS-14	Luzerne	5A		32	3			35	44,543	6,416,086	183,317	144
		1		_								

	MS-12	Centre	5A		16	34			50	60,599	8,371,068	167,421	138
	MS-14	Luzerne	5A		32	3			35	44,543	6,416,086	183,317	144
	MS-15	Clinton	5A		28	4			32	37,454	5,470,901	170,966	146
	MS-16	Dauphin	5A		20	29			49	53,976	8,066,609	164,625	149
	MS-17	Washington	5A		21	25			46	53,310	8,000,885	173,932	150
	MS-18	Franklin	5A		36	4			40	54,596	8,326,929	208,173	153
	MS-19	Chester	4A		57	3			60	60,931	9,310,170	155,170	153
	MS-20	Northumberland	5A		32	4			36	43,826	6,998,140	194,393	160
	MS-21	York	5A		16	26	8		50	63,425	10,125,538	202,511	160
~	MS-22	Allegheny	5A		27	18	9		54	64,875	10,797,000	199,944	166
g	MS-23	Westmoreland	5A		43	4			47	50,680	8,439,569	179,565	167
lin	MS-24	Clearfield	5A		24	6			30	35,984	6,065,728	202,191	169
lik	MS-25	Beaver	5A		44	8			52	57,297	9,797,660	188,417	171
B	MS-26	Northampton	5A		12	33	15		60	60,212	10,329,351	172,156	172
or	MS-27	Montgomery	4A		60				60	61,110	10,869,266	181,154	178
at	MS-28	Dauphin	5A		38	11			49	48,638	8,730,738	178,178	180
٩	MS-29	Montgomery	4A		66	8			74	74,468	13,541,230	182,990	182
Ш	MS-30	Clarion	5A		39	3			42	48,847	8,988,545	214,013	184
٧ /	MS-31	Philadelphia	4A		28	13			41	49,625	9,204,879	224,509	185
5	MS-32	Lehigh	5A		27	13			40	40,937	7,663,199	191,580	187
St	MS-33	Allegheny	5A		31	3	1		35	46,015	8,714,276	248,979	189
ti-	MS-34	Butler	5A		30	13	1		44	50,825	9,697,495	220,398	191
Iul	MS-35	Delaware	4A		58				58	57,365	11,293,126	194,709	197
2	MS-36	Bucks	4A		68	1			69	62,844	12,503,344	181,208	199
	MS-37	Delaware	4A		38	3			41	43,515	8,746,409	213,327	201
	MS-38	Blair	5A		43	2			45	47,642	9,595,216	213,227	201
	MS-39	Tioga	5A		34	6			40	32,800	6,591,082	164,777	201
	MS-40	Lycoming	5A		18	6			24	26,749	5,419,721	225,822	203
	MS-41	Philadelphia	4A		44				44	46,306	9,443,528	214,626	204
	MS-42	Philadelphia	4A		52				52	46,619	9,893,465	190,259	212
	MS-43	Crawford	5A		37	2			39	40,256	8,580,594	220,015	213
	MS-44	Allegheny	5A		46				46	48,600	10,405,629	226,209	214
	MS-45	Luzerne	5A		36				36	36,784	8,100,000	225,000	220
	MS-46	Philadelphia	4A		11	11	8		30	39,650	8,957,527	298,584	226
	MS-47	Allegheny	5A		19	13	11		43	41,797	9,558,272	222,285	229
	MS-48	Philadelphia	4A		46	4			50	48,315	11,197,257	223,945	232
	MS-49	Philadelphia	4A	60					60	57,672	13,556,215	225,937	235
	MS-50	Philadelphia	4A		45				45	48,351	11,428,626	253,969	236
	₩S-51	Philadelphia	4A		37	10			47	50,527	12,095,152	257,344	239
	MS-52	Philadelphia	4A		32	6	6		44	44,889	10,869,638	247,037	242
	MS-53	Philadelphia	4A		24				24	24,284	6,253,770	260,574	258
	MS-54	Allegheny	5A		30	20			50	37,290	9,905,483	198,110	266
	MS-55	Philadelphia	4A		20	30			50	46,110	12,718,548	254,371	276
	MS-56	Philadelphia	4A		48				48	45,000	14,294,705	297,806	318
	MS-57	I Philadelphia	I 4Δ	1	16	1	1	1	16	21 070	11 701 020	264 200	267

MS-16 Dauphin 5A 20 29 49 53,976 8,066,609 164,625 149 **YEAR** 3-4 West atron NATIONAL 2Net-Zet O-Est erg 30,385 Initiative by 2030 Franking Control of the second second

21	71	MS-1	Chester	4A	57	3	60	60,931	9,310,170	155,170	153	
		MS-20	Northumberland	5A	32	4	36	43.826	6.998.140	194.393	160	

CONSTRUCTION COST OF PROPOSED PROJECTS TO PHFA



YEAR 3-4 A NATIONAL Net-Zero-Energy Initiative by 2030 2017-18



2015 Final Design – 49 Units

W Markley (The

insulation Buffle-lives d) English & Cottyred & view free

WIGHT STYL 1998

Chilling-Fortall Frances Structures Dopperty

-

regarder Barrer Trap Plant- rated balling

23,70

Tanata Ter

In Spires

Pass No.

As Barley

I. Wall:

- Adam Cohen's BuildSmart panelized wall system
- 2x6 stud with Dense-Pack Cellulose (R3.8/inch) in cavity, OSB, 3.5" EPS, Zip Panel
- TOTAL R33
- -Windows: Come pre-installed in wall system: Klearwall Future Proof, glass has Uvalue of .11 BTU/hr/ft2, with SHGC of .61 (window muntins reduce SHGC to .57

2. Slab:

- Adam Cohen BuildSmart preformed EPS slab/foundation system, thermal bridge free.
- -TOTAL R26.3

3. Roof:

- Roof truss with 18" of Cellulose -
- ZIP panel as Air Barrier underside of truss to be fully taped at joints and to Zip panel at wall.
- A service cavity below the Air barrier with Chicago grid will allow for lighting, wiring sprinklers, etc without puncturing the Air Barrier

TOTAL: R50 4. Domestic Hot water:

- State 50 gallon Heat Pump Water Heater (HPWH) with a COP of 2.75, one per unit
- Ventilaton:
 - Three Ultimate Aire 2000DX ERV centralized and ducted system for all of the 49 apartments and corridors, ancillary areas and Community Room. The ERV should be located in attic space.
 - Addressed discrepancy between PH and Energy Star with respect to 5ach requirement by ducting the kitchen hood directly to outside with magnetic damper. Flow rates for units: Ibdrm units: 47cfm

 - 2bdrm units: 71 cfm
 - 3 bdrm units: 83 cfm
- 6. Heating/Cooling:
 - -Fuiltsu ducted mini-splits within each apartment with ganged condensers at grade. They have an HSPF between 12.2-11.5 BTU/h/W and a SEER of 19.7-21.5 depending on size of units.
- 7. Laundry
 - 5 commercial washers and dryers in common laundry room
 - Gas dryers vented to outside with magnetic dampers and gas DHW dedicated in room.
- 8. Construction costs: Not sure right now but originally at \$148sf

9. CHALLENGES

- shifting from decentralized Zehnder units, one per unit, to centralized US manufacturer. Took hit in performance.
- Shift to BuildSmart wall/foundation system but I think good move for overall coordination. Taking risk, however, because this has not been tested.



THE WHITEHALL: missionfirsthousing.org

2017

2015 Final Design – 49 Units

I. Wall:

- Adam Cohen's BuildSmart panelized wall system
- 2x6 stud with Dense-Pack Cellulose (R3.8/inch) in cavity, OSB, 3.5" EPS, Zip Panel
- TOTAL R33
- Windows: Come pre-installed in wall system: Klearwall Future Proof, glass has Uvalue of .11 BTU/hr/ft2, with SHGC of .61 (window muntins reduce SHGC to .57

2. Slab:

- Adam Cohen BuildSmart preformed EPS slab/foundation system, thermal bridge free.
- TOTAL R26.3

3. Roof:

- Roof truss with 18" of Cellulose
- ZIP panel as Air Barrier underside of truss to be fully taped at joints and to Zip panel at wall.
- A service cavity below the Air barrier with Chicago grid will allow for lighting, wiring sprinklers, etc without puncturing the Air Barrier

- TOTAL: R50 4. Domestic Hot water:

- State 50 gallon Heat Pump Water Heater (HPWH) with a
 - COP of 2.75, one per unit
- 5. Ventilaton:
 - Three Ultimate Aire 2000DX ERV centralized and ducted system for all of the 49 apartments and corridors, ancillary areas and Community Room. The ERV should be located in attic space.
 - Addressed discrepancy between PH and Energy Star with respect to 5ach requirement by ducting the kitchen hood directly to outside with magnetic damper. Flow rates for units:
 - Ibdrm units: 47cfm
 - 2bdrm units: 71 cfm
 - 3 bdrm units: 83 cfm
- 6. Heating/Cooling:
 - Fujitsu ducted mini-splits within each apartment with ganged condensers at grade. They have an HSPF between 12.2-11.5 BTU/h/W and a SEER of 19.7-21.5 depending on size of units.
- Laundry
 - 5 commercial washers and dryers in common laundry room
 - Gas dryers vented to outside with magnetic dampers and gas DHW dedicated in room.
- 8. Construction costs: Not sure right now but originally at \$148sf

9. CHALLENGES

- shifting from decentralized Zehnder units, one per unit, to centralized US manufacturer. Took hit in performance.
- Shift to BuildSmart wall/foundation system but I think good move for overall coordination. Taking risk, however, because this has not been tested.



Typ Wall Section

THE WHITEHALL: missionfirsthousing.org

2017

49units of Veteran housing



Date 1 of the farmer

-

Chilling-Fortall Frazer- Structures Sporent In Spires

Pass No.

As Barley





2017





2017



2017

PRE-DRYWALL BLOWER DOOR TEST September 26, 2016

.5 ACH50



THE WHITEHALL: missionfirsthousing.org

FINAL DRYWALL BLOWER DOOR TEST April 17, 2017 .42 ACH50



THE WHITEHALL: missionfirsthousing.org

2017

The Whitehall Utility Cost Comparison

	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR
Housing Authority Allowances	\$103.00	\$138.00	\$169.00
Calculated Passive House	\$42.37	\$60.45	\$80.97



THE WHITEHALL: missionfirsthousing.org

Impact of Utility Costs on Operating Budget

	Housing Authority	Calculated Passive
	Allowances	House
TTP For All Units	\$545,748	\$545,748
Less Utilities	\$64,548	\$27,372
Rent	\$481,200	\$518,376
Operating Costs	\$382,084	\$382,084
Net Income	\$99,116	\$136,292



CHIP: "*Understates the case: operating costs include common area utilities, which are lower in Passive House construction than in traditional construction. So the operating costs in the Housing Authority scenario would be higher, meaning that the actual difference in Net Income is higher than shown"

THE WHITEHALL: missionfirsthousing.org

2017

49units of Veteran housing

*

Impact of Utility Costs on Operating Budget

	Hous Auth Allows	sing ority ances	Calculated Passive House
Net Operating Income		\$99,116	\$136,292
Debt Coverage Ratio*		1.8	1.8
Payment (NOI/DCR)	_	\$55,064	\$75,718
Max Mortgage (30 yrs @ 5.5%)	2	\$800,000	\$1,100,000
*1.07 in Year 15			



CHIP: "Passive House lets you borrow/leverage more money to build more housing."

THE WHITEHALL: missionfirsthousing.org

2017

Impact of Utility Costs on Operating Budget

	Housing Authority	
	Allowances	Calculated Passive House
Total Development Cost	\$12,750,000	\$12,750,000
LIHTC Equity	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000
Soft Debt/Grants	\$450,000	\$450,000
Mortgage	\$800,000	\$1,100,000
Total	\$12,250,000	\$12,550,000
Deferred Fee Required	\$500,000	\$200,000
Gross Fee	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
Net Fee	\$1,000,000	\$1,300,000



CHIP: "We're a nonprofit, which means we don't put the net fee in our pockets. We put that money into new developments. Higher net fees mean we can house more people."

THE WHITEHALL: missionfirsthousing.org

2017


Opening Day June 14, 2017

THE WHITEHALL: missionfirsthousing.org

2017

49units of Veteran housing



 Energy Efficiency Goals – 10 points may be awarded to those developments which meet Passive House Certification (nationally or internationally) for energy efficiency. (See Multifamily Housing Application and Guidelines and <u>www.passivehouse.us</u> or <u>http://passiv.de/en/</u> for additional guidance.)







PENNSYLVANIA

17 COMMITTE







NYC: The House at Cornell Tech, Knickerbocker Commons, Sendero Verde



NEW HAMPSHIRE: Gilford Village Knolls





OHIO: Fairwood Commons



SOUTH DAKOTA: Student Passive House Project and Copper Pass Apartments

RESEARCH ON PASSIVE HOUSE IN QAPs

- States with differentiation from LEED get more PH projects
 - ✓ PA 23 projects (10 pt. bonus/150)
 - ✓ CT 6 projects (3 pt. bonus/104)
 - ✓ VT I project (I of 30 checks)
 - ✓ SD I project (\$500K bonus)
 - MA ~12 projects (2 points/182)- but also
 \$3K per unit MassSave incentive
- Having PH as equivalent to LEED is not effective way to incentivize PH
 - ✓ 12 States- no PH projects





WASHINGTON

....14 ON THEIR WAY!!

OREGON

NEVADA





Oregon: The Orchards at Orenco



Maine: Bayside Anchor Passive House Village Centre Passive House

Vermont: Elm Place WISCONSIN



Minnesota: West Side Flats



Missouri: Second and Delaware, Kansas City



AFFORDABLE HOUSING



AFFORDABLE HOUSING 1 1 Architects, Engineers, Builders J MARKET-RATE HOUSING

Catalyst for radical and significant transformation of the

HOUSING INDUSTRY.....

AFFORDABLE HOUSING 1 1 Architects, Engineers, Builders J J MARKET-RATE HOUSING



CAPITAL FLATS : 3 PHASES, 42 UNITS, 18 YEARS



CAPITAL FLATS : 3 PHASES, 42 UNITS, 18 YEARS



PHASE 3: THE BATTERY 2017

- 25 Apartments (500-1000sf)
- 17,400 sf
- R34 walls
- R 54 roof/floors
- .13 Uvalue windows
- .6 SHGC
- Centralized VentilationERV, 82% efficient
- Centralized Hot Water: Geothermal
- Centralized heating/cooling: Geothermal VRF
- Centralized Electric Metering
- 77 kw PV array to get to Net Zero

PHASE 3: THE BATTERY 2017





JUNE 16 2017						
PROJECT REVENUE						
CAPITAL FLATS II						
	Residential	1 BR	\$2.35			
		2 BR	\$2.35			
			DRYWALL			
			то			
			DRYWALL	BANK	BANK	BANK
	BEDS	UNIT#	NET SE	\$/SE	MONTH	YEAR
	1	101	443	\$2.35	\$1.041.05	\$12.493
	2	101	445	\$2.33	\$1,041.05	\$12,455
	2	102	038	\$2.55	\$1,340.30	\$18,330
	1	103	448	\$2.35	\$1,052.80	\$12,634
	1	201	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,595
	1	202	446	\$2.35	\$1,048.10	\$12,577
	1	203	436	\$2.35	\$1,048.10	\$12,577
	1	204	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,369
	1	205	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510
	1	206	521	\$2.35	\$1,224,35	\$14.692
1	1	207	521	\$2.35	\$1 224 35	\$14,692
	1	207	521	\$2.33	\$1,224.55	\$14,032
	1	208	634	\$2.35 62.25	\$1,469.90	\$17,879
	1	301	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,595
	1	302	906	\$2.35	\$2,129.10	\$25,549
	1	303	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,369
	1	304	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510
	1	305	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692
	1	306	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14.692
	2	307	634	\$2.35	\$1,489,90	\$17,879
	1	401	553	\$2.35	\$1,405.50	\$15,505
	-	401	333	\$2.35	\$1,255.55	\$15,555
	1	402	906	\$2.35	\$2,129.10	\$25,549
	1	403	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,369
	1	404	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510
	1	405	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692
	1	406	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692
	2	407	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879
Parking units @ \$150/m. per spa	13			\$150.00	\$1,950.00	\$23,400
Gross Revenue					\$35,378,75	\$424,545
Less Vacancy	5.0%				-\$1,768,94	-\$21,227
Gross Rent				\$ 2.12	\$33,609,81	\$403,318
dross nem				Y 2.122	\$55,005.01	\$405,510
Taxos		(during 10	wear tay abate	mont)		¢10.705
lasurance		(uuring 10	year tax abate	mency		\$10,703
Maintenance Peserve		20/				\$6,000
Constructed and the reserve		5%				\$12,100
Snow Rémoval						\$800
Grounus & Landscaping						\$500
Irash Collection						\$4,800
Common Area Utilities						\$1,200
Accounting / Taxes						\$6,000
Management Fee		3%				\$12,100
Total Expenses					\$3.16	\$56,204
Operating Ratio	13.94%					
Cash Flow Before Debt Service						\$347,113
Permanent Debt Service						
Beginning Loan Balance						\$3,429,480
Loan Term (Years)						\$25
Interest Bate						Śſ
Payments per Year						\$17
Annual Debt Service Payment						\$222 046
Annual Debt Service Payment						<i>\$222,</i> 540
Not Cosh Flow						6124.400
Net Cash Flow						\$124,168
						4
Project Value at Stabilization				Cap Rate	6.00%	\$5,785,224
Debt Service Coverage Ratio	1.56					

AVERAGE RENT: \$1337/MONTH \$2.35 SF

AVERAGE RENT: \$1337/MONTH \$2.35 SF



DEBT SERVICE RATIO: **1.56**

JUNE 16 2017						
PROJECT REVENUE						
CAPITAL FLATS II						
	Residential	1 BR	\$2.35			
		2 BR	\$2.35			
			DRYWALL			
			то			
			DRYWALL	BANK	BANK	BANK
	BEDS	UNIT #	NET SF	\$/SF	MONTH	YEAR
	1	101	443	\$2.35	\$1,041.05	\$12,49
	2	102	658	\$2.35	\$1,546.30	\$18,55
	1	103	448	\$2.35	\$1,052.80	\$12,63
	1	201	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,59
	1	202	446	\$2.35	\$1,048.10	\$12,57
	1	203	436	\$2.35	\$1,048.10	\$12,57
	1	204	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,36
	1	205	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,51
	1	206	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,69
1	1	207	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,69
	1	208	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,87
	1	301	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,59
	1	302	906	\$2.35	\$2,129.10	\$25.54
	1	303	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15.36
	1	304	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15.51
	1	305	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14.69
	1	306	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14.69
	2	307	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17.87
	1	401	553	\$2.35	\$1,405.50	\$15.59
	1	402	300	\$2.55	\$2,129,10	\$25,55
	1	402	500	\$2.33	\$1,125.10	\$25,54
	1	403	545	\$2.35	\$1,200.75	\$15,50
	1	404	500	\$2.35	\$1,232.30	\$13,51
	1	405	521	\$2.55	\$1,224.55	\$14,05
	1	400	521	\$2.55	\$1,224.55	\$14,05
Parking units @ \$150/m par cos	12	407	034	\$2.55	\$1,465.50	\$17,673
	1.5			<i>J</i> JJJUUU	\$1,550.00	<i>\$23,40</i>
Cross Pouronue					625 278 75	6424 54
Less Vacancy	5.0%				-\$1 769 04	2424,54
Gross Bont	3.0%			\$ 2 12	\$22,600,91	\$402.21
				<i>Ş</i> 2.12	\$55,005.81	Ş403,31
Taxes		(during 1)) year tay aboto	ment)		\$10.70
Idaes		Turing 10	year tax abate	ment)		\$10,70
Maintenance Reserve		2%				\$6,00
Snow Removal		3%				¢12,10
Grounds & Landscaping						580 \$50
Trash Collection						\$4.80
Common Area Utilities						\$1.200
Accounting / Taxes						\$6.00
Management Fee		3%				\$12.10
Total Expenses		,,,,			\$3.16	\$56,204
Operating Ratio	13.94%					
Cash Flow Before Debt Service						\$347,11
Permanent Debt Service						
Reginning Loan Balance						\$3 470 49
Loan Term (Years)						\$3,423,48
Interest Bate						é
Payments ner Vear						ې د 1
Annual Debt Service Payment						\$777 04
Annual Debt Scivice Payment						<i>\$222,</i> 74
Net Cash Flow						\$124,16
Project Value at Stabilization				Cap Rate	6.00%	\$5,785,224
Debt Service Coverage Ratio	1.56					

AVERAGE RENT: \$1337/MONTH \$2.35 SF



DEBT SERVICE RATIO: **1.56**

IUNE 16 2017						
PROJECT REVENUE						
CAPITAL FLATS II						
			44.44			
	Residential	1 BR	\$2.35			
		2 BK	\$2.35			
			DRYWALL			
			10			
			DRYWALL	BANK	BANK	BANK
	BEDS	UNIT #	NET SF	\$/SF	MONTH	YEAR
	1	101	443	\$2.35	\$1,041.05	\$12,493
	2	102	658	\$2.35	\$1,546.30	\$18,556
	1	103	448	\$2.35	\$1,052.80	\$12,634
	1	201	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,595
	1	202	446	\$2.35	\$1,048.10	\$12,577
	1	203	436	\$2.35	\$1,048.10	\$12,577
	1	204	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,369
	1	205	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510
	1	206	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692
1	1	207	521	\$2.35	\$1,224,35	\$14,692
-	1	208	634	\$2.35	\$1,489,90	\$17,879
	1	301	553	\$2.35	\$1 299 55	\$15,595
	1	302	300	\$2.35	\$2,129.10	\$25 549
	1	202	500	\$2.35 \$2.2F	\$1 290 75	\$15,343
	1	303	545	\$2.35 \$2.25	\$1,200.75	\$13,309 \$15,509
	1	304	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510
	1	305	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692
	1	306	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692
	2	307	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879
	1	401	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,595
	1	402	906	\$2.35	\$2,129.10	\$25,549
	1	403	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,369
	1	404	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510
	1	405	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692
	1	406	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692
	2	407	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879
Parking units @ \$150/m. per spa	13			\$150.00	\$1,950.00	\$23,400
Gross Povenue					¢25 279 75	\$424 E4E
	E 0%				¢1 769 04	\$424,343
Gross Ront	3.0%			\$ 2 12	\$22,000.94	\$402 219
Gross Kent				Ş 2.12	\$55,005.81	J403,310
-		(dualant f	and the second sec			640
Idates		Turing 10	year tax abate	ment)		\$10,705
Maintenance Record		201				\$8,000
From Romoural		3%				\$12,100
Show Removal						\$800
Track Collection						\$500
Common Area Utilities						\$4,800
Accounting / Taylor						\$1,200 \$6,000
Management Eee		20/				\$0,000
Total Evnenses		5%			\$2.16	\$12,100
Operating Ratio	13.94%				<i>\$</i> 3.10	\$30,204
	10.54%					44.44
Cash Flow Before Debt Service						\$347,113
Permanent Debt Service						
Beginning Loan Balance						\$3,429,480
Loan Term (Years)						\$25
Interest Rate						\$0
Payments per Year						\$12
Annual Debt Service Payment						\$222.946
						,,,,,,,,,
Net Cash Flow						\$124,168
Project Value at Stabilization				Cap Rate	6.00%	\$5,785.224
Debt Service Coverage Ratio	1 56					,
		_				

	CAPITAL 2 COSTS	
	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	SCHEDULED VALUE
1	Concrete/Site/Excavation	\$230,724.00
2	Windows/Doors/Panelized	\$313,454.47
3	Rough Carpentry	\$216,616.00
4	Finish Carpentry	\$102,143.00
5	Cabinetry/Appliances/Fixtures	\$237,376.00
6	Insulation	\$88,655.00
7	Roofing Greenroof	\$157,682.00
8	Exterior Cladding	\$209,205.00
9	Drywall, Metal Studs	\$177,251.00
10	Interior Doors/Frames/Hardware	\$61,873.00
11	Flooring	\$130,875.00
12	Paint	\$92,520.00
13	Specialties: Steel	\$79,371.00
14	Fire Sprinklers	\$44,100.00
15	Plumbing	\$173,700.00
16	HVAC	\$150,975.00
17	Electric	\$161,411.00
18	General Reqs	\$232,511.00
19	OH& Profit	\$315,998.44
20	Soft Costs	\$305,000.00
21	Contingencies	\$183,545.53
What the BANK was ²	Solar Racking and Panels	
willing to lend	TOTAL	\$3,664,986.44

ILINE 16 2017

AVERAGE RENT: \$1337/MONTH \$2.35 SF



DEBT SERVICE RATIO: **1.56**

PROJECT REVENUE							
CAPITAL FLATS II							
	Residential	1 BR	\$2.35				
		2 BR	\$2.35				
			DRYWALL				
			то				
			DRYWALL	BANK	BANK	BANK	
	0500	LINUT #	NETCE	¢ lor	MONITU	NCAD.	
	BEDS	UNIT#	NET SF	\$/5F	MUNTH	TEAR	
	1	101	443	Ş2.35	\$1,041.05	\$12,493	
	2	102	658	\$2.35	\$1,546.30	\$18,556	
	1	103	448	\$2.35	\$1,052.80	\$12,634	
	1	201	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,595	
	1	202	446	\$2.35	\$1.048.10	\$12.577	
	1	202	426	\$2.25	\$1.049.10	\$12 577	
	1	203	4J0	\$2.35	\$1,040.10	\$12,377	
	1	204	343	\$2.55	\$1,260.75	\$15,509	
	1	205	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510	
	1	206	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
1	1	207	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
	1	208	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879	
	1	301	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15 595	
	1	302	000	\$7.25	\$2 129 10	\$25.540	
	1	302	906	\$2.35	\$2,129.10	\$15,349	
	1	303	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,369	
	1	304	550	Ş2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510	
	1	305	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
	1	306	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
	2	307	634	\$2.35	\$1,489,90	\$17.879	
	1	401	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,595	
	-	402	0.06	62.25	\$2,120.00	¢26,656	
	1	402	906	\$2.55	\$2,129.10	\$25,549	
	1	403	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,369	
	1	404	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15;6°k0	g units @ \$150/m. per space
	1	405	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
	1	406	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
	2	407	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879	
Parking units @ \$150/m. per spa	13			\$150.00	\$1,950.00	\$23,400	
Gross Revenue					\$35.378.75	\$424,545	
Less Vacancy	5.0%				-\$1 769 04	-\$21,227	1
Gross Bont	5.0%			\$ 2.12	\$22,600,91	\$402 219	
Gross Reite				7 2.12	\$55,005.81	9403,310	-
							-
-							-
Taxes		(during 10) year tax abate	ment)		\$10,705	-
Insurance						\$8,000	+
Maintenance Reserve		3%				\$12,100	1
Snow Removal						\$800	1
Grounds & Landscaping						\$500	1
Trash Collection						\$4,800	1
Common Area Utilities						\$1,200	1
Accounting / Taxes			-			\$6.000	1
Management Fee		3%				\$12,100	1
Total Expenses					\$3.16	\$56,204	
Operating Ratio	13.94%				40.00	11	
Cash Flow Before Debt Service						\$347,113	
Permanent Debt Service						I	-
Periodical con Palance						62 420 400	ł
beginning Loan Balance						\$3,429,480	1
Loan refm (rears)						\$25	+
Interest Rate						\$0	1
Payments per Year						\$12	1
Annual Dobt Service Payment						\$222.046	1
Annual Debt Service Payment						\$222,946	
Net Cash Flow						\$124.168	1
						¥11-1/100	
Project Value at Stabilization				Cap Rate	6.00%	\$5,785,224	1
Debt Service Coverage Ratio	1.56						1

SF/UNIT

ACTUAL RENTS

10-5411	10-Jun	10-Juli	
\$/SF	PRICING	YEAR	,
\$2.93	\$1,300.00	15,600.00	
\$2.74	\$1.800.00	21.600.00	
\$2.90	\$1,300.00	15.600.00	
\$2.89	\$1,600,00	19 200 00	
\$3.14	\$1,000.00	16 800 00	
\$3.21	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$3.21 \$3.75	\$1,400.00	10,800.00	
\$2.73	\$1,300.00	18,000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500.00	16,000.00	
\$2.09	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	10,800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.89	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.98	\$2,700.00	32,400.00	
\$2.75	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.89	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.98	\$2,700.00	32,400.00	
\$2.75	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.79	\$1,950.00	23,400.00	
		6 400 COD 00	
		\$498,600.00	
		-\$21,227	
		\$519,827.25	
		\$56,204	
		\$463,622.93	
		6333.040	
		\$222,946	
		\$240 677 36	Net Cash Flow
		¥240,077.30	Het Cash Flow
		\$7,727,048 75	Project Value at Stabilization
		¥7,727,040.73	reject value at stabilization

\$2.35 SF

1.56

JUNE 16 2017

PROJECT REVENUE CAPITAL FLATS II

Residential BR \$2.35 2 BR то DRYWALL BANK **BANK** BANK BEDS UNIT # NET SF Ś/SF MONTH YEAR 443 \$2.35 \$1,041.05 \$12,493 1 10 2 102 658 \$2.35 \$1,546.30 \$18,556 \$1,052.80 1 103 448 \$2.35 201 553 \$2.35 \$1,299.55 \$15,595 1 202 446 \$2.35 \$1.048.10 \$12.577 1 203 436 \$2.35 \$1.048.10 \$12,577 545 \$1,280.75 1 204 \$2.35 \$15,369 1 205 550 \$2.35 \$1.292.50 \$15.510 \$1.224.35 1 206 521 \$2.35 \$14,692 207 521 \$2.35 \$1,224.35 \$14,692 1 208 634 \$2.35 \$1,489,90 \$17.879 1 301 553 \$2.35 \$1.299.55 \$15.595 906 \$2.35 \$2,129.10 \$25,549 1 302 \$15,369 545 \$2.35 \$1,280.75 1 303 1 304 550 \$2.35 \$1.292.50 \$15.510 521 \$2.35 1 305 1 306 521 \$2.35 \$1,224.35 \$14,692 **AVERAGE RENT:** 307 2 634 \$2.35 \$1,489,90 \$17,879 401 553 \$2.35 \$1,299.55 \$15,595 402 906 \$2.35 \$2,129.10 \$25,549 \$1337/MONTH 1 403 545 \$2.35 \$1,280,75 \$15.369 1 \$1,292.50 \$1B;8k0; 1 404 550 \$2.35 405 521 \$2.35 \$1,224.35 \$14,692 1 \$2.35 \$1.224.35 1 406 521 \$14,692 407 634 \$2.35 \$1,489.90 \$17,879 2 Parking units @ \$150/m. per spa 13 \$1,950.00 \$150.00 \$35,378.75 \$424,545 Gross Revenue 5.0% -\$1,768.94 -\$21,227 Less Vacancy Gross Rent \$ 2.12 \$33,609,81 \$403,318 \$10,705 Taxes (during 10 year tax abatement) Insurance \$8,000 \$12.100 Maintenance Reserve Snow Removal \$800 \$500 Grounds & Landscaping \$4,800 Trash Collection \$1,200 Common Area Utilities \$6,000 \$12,100 Accounting / Taxes Management Fee \$3.16 \$56,204 Total Expenses **Operating Ratio** 13.94% 11 \$347,113 Cash Flow Before Debt Service Permanent Debt Service Beginning Loan Balance \$3,429,480 pan Term (Years) Ś25 **DEBT SERVICE RATIO:** nterest Rate \$0 \$12 Payments per Year Annual Debt Service Payment \$222,946 \$124,168 Net Cash Flow \$5,785,224 Project Value at Stabilization Cap Rate 6.00% Debt Service Coverage Ratio 1.56

SF/UNIT

ACTUAL RENTS

15% INCREASE

- Integrated project Team
- More efficient
- Better design:

units @ \$150/m. per space

- Solar canopy and array
- Rent includes utiliites
- Basement storage space
- Electric car charging -
- Interactive building

16-Jun	16-Jun		16-Jun	
\$/SE	PRICING		VEAR	
\$2.03	\$1,300,00		15 600 00	
\$2.35 \$0.74	\$1,500.00		21,000.00	
\$2.74	\$1,800.00		21,000.00	
\$2.90	\$1,500.00		15,600.00	
\$2.89	\$1,600.00		19,200.00	
\$3.14	\$1,400.00		16,800.00	
\$3.21	\$1,400.00		16,800.00	
\$2.75	\$1,500.00		18,000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500.00		18,000.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00		16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00		16,800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00		19,200.00	
\$2.80	\$1,600,00		19 200 00	
\$2.00	\$2,000.00		22,400,00	
\$2.90	\$2,700.00		32,400.00	
\$2.75	\$1,500.00		18,000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500.00		18,000.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00		16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00		16,800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00		19,200.00	
\$2.89	\$1,600.00		19,200.00	
\$2.98	\$2,700.00		32,400.00	
\$2.75	\$1.500.00		18.000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500,00		18,000,00	
\$2.10	\$1,500.00		16,000.00	
\$2.03	\$1,400.00	-	16,800.00	
\$2.09	\$1,400.00		10,800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00		19,200.00	
\$2.79	\$1,950.00		23,400.00	
			\$498,600.00	
			-\$21,227	
			\$519,827.25	
			\$56,204	
			\$463,622.93	
			\$222.046	
			\$222,940	
			6240 677 26	Net Ceal 71
			\$240,077.36	Net Cash Flow
				Budden and a second
			\$7,727,048.75	Project Value at Stab

ilization

AVERAGE RENT:

\$1337/MONTH

0

\$2.35 SF

1.56

JUNE 16 2017 PROJECT REVENUE CAPITAL FLATS II \$2.35 \$2.35 Residential BR 2 BR DRYWALL то DRYWALL BANK **BANK** BANK BEDS UNIT # NET SF Ś/SF MONTH YEAR 443 \$2.35 \$1,041.05 \$12,493 1 10 \$2.35 \$18,556 2 102 658 \$1,546.30 \$2.35 \$1,052.80 1 103 448 1 201 553 \$2.35 \$1,299.55 \$15,595 1 202 446 \$2.35 \$1.048.10 \$12.577 203 436 \$2.35 \$1,048.10 \$12,577 1 545 \$1,280.75 \$15,369 1 204 \$2.35 1 205 550 \$2.35 \$1.292.50 \$15.510 \$1,224.35 1 206 521 \$2.35 \$14,692 207 521 \$2.35 \$1,224.35 \$14,692 1 1 208 634 \$2.35 \$1,489,90 \$17,879 1 301 553 \$2.35 \$1.299.55 \$15.595 906 \$2.35 \$2,129.10 \$25,549 302 \$15,369 545 \$2.35 \$1,280.75 1 303 1 304 550 \$2.35 \$1.292.50 \$15.510 521 \$2.35 \$14,692 1 305 \$14,692 1 306 521 \$2.35 \$1,224.35 307 2 634 \$2.35 \$1,489.90 \$17,879 401 553 \$2.35 \$1,299.55 \$15,595 402 906 \$2.35 \$2,129.10 \$25,549 1 403 545 \$2.35 \$1,280.75 \$15,369 1 \$1B;8k0 g 1 404 550 \$2.35 \$1,292.50 405 521 \$2.35 \$1,224.35 \$14,692 1 \$2.35 \$1.224.35 1 406 521 \$14,692 407 634 \$2.35 \$1,489.90 \$17,879 2 Parking units @ \$150/m. per spa 13 \$1,950.00 \$150.00 \$35,378.75 \$424,545 Gross Revenue 5.0% -\$1,768.94 -\$21,227 Less Vacancy Gross Rent \$ 2.12 \$33,609,81 \$403,318 Taxes (during 10 year tax abatement) Insurance \$8,000 \$12,100 Maintenance Reserve Snow Removal \$800 \$500 Grounds & Landscaping Trash Collection \$4,800 \$1,200 Common Area Utilities \$6,000 \$12,100 Accounting / Taxes Management Fee \$56,204 \$3.16 Total Expenses **Operating Ratio** 13.94% TT \$347,113 Cash Flow Before Debt Service Permanent Debt Service Beginning Loan Balance \$3,429,480 pan Term (Years) \$25 **DEBT SERVICE RATIO:** nterest Rate \$0 \$12 Payments per Year Annual Debt Service Payment \$222,946 \$124,168 Net Cash Flow \$5,785,224 Project Value at Stabilization Cap Rate 6.00% Debt Service Coverage Ratio 1.56

AVERAGE: \$1337.00

urits @ \$150/m. per space

AVERAGE: \$1584.00 Still below Market Rate!!

SF/UNIT

	10-5411	10-5411	10-3411	
	\$/SF	PRICING	YEAR	
	\$2.93	\$1,300.00	15,600.00)
	\$2.74	\$1,800,00	21 600 00	
	\$2.00	\$1,300,00	15,600,00	
	\$2.80	\$1,500.00	 19,000.00	
	\$2.03	\$1,000.00	 16 800.00	
	\$3.14	\$1,400.00	 10,800.00	
	\$3.21	\$1,400.00	 16,800.00	
	\$2.75	\$1,500.00	 18,000.00	
	\$2.73	\$1,500.00	 18,000.00	
	\$2.69	\$1,400.00	 16,800.00	
	\$2.69	\$1,400.00	 16,800.00	
	\$2.52	\$1,600.00	 19,200.00	
	\$2.89	\$1,600.00	 19,200.00	
	\$2.98	\$2,700.00	32,400.00	
	\$2.75	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
	\$2.73	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
	\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
	\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
	\$2.52	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
	\$2.89	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
	\$2.98	\$2,700.00	32,400.00	
	\$2.75	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
	\$2.73	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
	\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
	\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
	\$2.52	\$1,600.00	19,200.00)
!	\$2.79	\$1,950.00	23,400.00	
			\$408 600 00	
			 -\$21 227	
			\$519.827.25	
			\$56 204	
			+,	
			\$463,622.93	
			 6222.040	
	-		 \$222,946	
			\$240 677 36	Net Cash Flow
			y=+0,077.30	Net Cash Flow
			\$7.727.048.75	Project Value at Stabilization
	k		, _, _,	,

	JUNE 10 2017							
COMPS aareed	PROJECT REVENUE							
conn o ugreeu	CAPITAL FLATS II							
to be DAAU			1.00	40.05				
το ον βάνκ		Residential	1 BR	\$2.35				
			2 01	DRYWALL				
				то				
				DRYWALL	BANK	BANK	BANK	
		BEDS	UNIT #	NET SF	\$/SF	MONTH	YEAR	
		1	101	443	\$2.35	\$1,041.05	\$12,493	
		2	102	658	\$2.35	\$1,546.30	\$18,556	
		1	103	448	\$2.35	\$1,052.80	\$12,634	
		1	201	203	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$12,595	
		1	202	436	\$2.35	\$1,048.10	\$12,577	
		1	204	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,369	
		1	205	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510	
		1	206	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
	1	1	207	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
		1	208	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879	
		1	301	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,595	
		1	302	545	\$2.55	\$1,280,75	\$15,369	
		1	304	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510	
		1	305	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
AVEDACE DENIT.		1	306	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
AVERAGE RENT:		2	307	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879	
		1	401	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,595	
<i>\$1337/МОМТН</i>		1	402	906	\$2.35	\$2,129.10	\$25,549	
		1	403	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,309 \$1 Ratki r	g units @ \$150/m_ner snace
62.25.55		1	405	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14.692	g units @ \$150/ini per space
52.35 SF		1	406	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
,		2	407	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879	
	Parking units @ \$150/m. per spa	13			\$150.00	\$1,950.00	\$23,400	
				-				
	Gross Revenue					\$35,378.75	\$424,545	
	Less Vacancy	5.0%				-\$1,768.94	-\$21,227	
	Gross Rent				\$ 2.12	\$33,609.81	\$403,318	
	Taxes		(during 10) year tax abate	ment)		\$10,705	
	Insurance						\$8,000	
	Maintenance Reserve		3%				\$12,100	
	Snow Removal						\$800	
	Trash Collection						\$500	
	Common Area Utilities						\$1,200	
	Accounting / Taxes						\$6,000	
F N TA	Management Fee		3%			44.14	\$12,100	
	Operating Ratio	12 9/9/				\$3.16	\$56,204	
	Operating Natio	13.5470						
	Cash Flow Before Debt Service						\$347,113	
							1	
	Permanent Debt Service						40.000.000	
	Beginning Loan Balance	 					\$3,429,480	
DFBT SFRVICE RATIO:							225	
	Interest Rate						\$0	
	Payments per Year						\$12	\$116.0
1 56	Annual Debt Service Payment						\$222,946	
T' 20	Net Cash Flow						\$124,168	n i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
							÷11-7,100	L
	Project Value at Stabilization				Cap Rate	6.00%	\$5,785,224	
	Debt Service Coverage Ratio	1.56						

\$/SF	PRICING	YEAR	
\$2.93	\$1,300.00	15,600.00	
\$2.74	\$1,800.00	21,600.00	
\$2.90	\$1,300.00	15,600.00	
\$2.89	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$3.14	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$3.21	\$1,400.00	16.800.00	
\$2.75	\$1,500.00	18.000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500,00	18 000 00	
\$2.69	\$1,500.00	16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400,00	16 800 00	
\$2.50	\$1,400.00	19,000.00	
\$2.32	\$1,000.00	19,200.00	
\$2.09	\$1,000.00	22,400,00	
\$2.90	\$2,700.00	32,400.00	
\$2.75	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.89	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.98	\$2,700.00	32,400.00	
\$2.75	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.79	\$1,950.00	23,400.00	
		\$498,600.00	
		-\$21,227	
		\$519,827.25	
		Arc	
		\$56,204	
		\$462 622 02	
		\$403,022.93	
		\$222 0/6	
		y222,340	
		\$240,677.36	Net Cash Flow
		42.10,077.00	
		\$7,727,048 75	Project Value at Stabilization
		Y, J, 27, 540.75	, stor and at stashization

16-Jun

16-Jun

Cap Rate

\$116,000.00 More NET Revenue

SF/UNIT

	JUNE 16 2017							
COMPS aareed	PROJECT REVENUE							
conn o ugreeu	CAPITAL FLATS II							
to bu DANIK		Desidential	4.00	62.25				
το by baink		Residential	2 BR	\$2.35				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			DRYWALL				
				то				
				DRYWALL	BANK	BANK	BANK	
		BEDS	UNIT #	NET SF	\$/SF	MONTH	YEAR	
		1	101	443	\$2.35	\$1,041.05	\$12,493	
		2	102	658	\$2.35	\$1,546.30	\$18,556	
		1	201	448	\$2.35	\$1,052.80	\$12,034	
		1	201	446	\$2.35	\$1,235.33	\$12,535	
		1	203	436	\$2.35	\$1,048.10	\$12,577	
		1	204	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,369	
		1	205	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510	
	-	1	206	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
	1	1	207	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
		1	208	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879	
		1	301	906	\$2.55	\$1,299.33	\$25,595	
		1	302	545	\$2.35	\$1,280.75	\$15,369	
		1	304	550	\$2.35	\$1,292.50	\$15,510	
		1	305	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
		1	306	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
AVERAGE KENT.		2	307	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879	
		1	401	553	\$2.35	\$1,299.55	\$15,595	
\$1337/MONTH		1	402	906	\$2.35	\$2,129.10	\$25,549	
<i>Ş</i> 1337710101111		1	403	540	\$2.55	\$1,280.73	\$15,505 \$1 R ;#kh	g units @ \$150/m_ner snace
62.25.55		1	405	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14.692	g units @ \$150/in: per space
52.35 SF		1	406	521	\$2.35	\$1,224.35	\$14,692	
,		2	407	634	\$2.35	\$1,489.90	\$17,879	
	Parking units @ \$150/m. per spa	a 13			\$150.00	\$1,950.00	\$23,400	
	Gross Revenue					\$35,378.75	\$424,545	
	Less Vacancy	5.0%				-\$1,768.94	-\$21,227	
	Gross Rent				\$ 2.12	\$33,609.81	\$403,318	
	Taxes		(during 10	vear tax abate	ment)		\$10,705	
	Insurance		1(,			\$8,000	
	Maintenance Reserve		3%				\$12,100	
	Snow Removal						\$800	
	Trash Collection						\$500 \$4 900	
	Common Area Utilities						\$1,200	
	Accounting / Taxes						\$6,000	
	Management Fee		3%				\$12,100	
	Iotal Expenses	12.04%				\$3.16	\$56,204	
	Operating Katio	15.5470						
	Cash Flow Before Debt Service						\$347,113	
							1	
	Permanent Debt Service						40.400.400	
	Beginning Loan Balance		-				\$3,429,480	
DFBT SFRVICE RATIO:	courrienn (rears)						323	
	Interest Rate						\$0	
	Payments per Year						\$12	
1 56	Annual Debt Service Payment						\$222,946	l In
1.30	Net Cash Flow						\$124 169	
	Net Cash now						\$124,108	
	Project Value at Stabilization				Cap Rate	6.00%	\$5,785,224	
	Debt Service Coverage Ratio	1.56						

\$1,941,825.00 **Increased Valuation**

SF/UNIT

16-Jun	16-Jun	16-Jun	
\$/SF	PRICING	YEAR	
\$2.93	\$1,300.00	15,600.00	
\$2.74	\$1,800.00	21,600.00	
\$2.90	\$1,300.00	15.600.00	
\$2.89	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$3.14	\$1,000.00	16 800 00	
\$0.14 \$2.01	\$1,400.00	16,000.00	
\$3.21	\$1,400.00	10,800.00	
\$2.75	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500.00	16,000.00	
\$2.09	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.89	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.98	\$2,700.00	32,400.00	
\$2.75	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.89	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.98	\$2,700.00	32,400.00	
\$2.75	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.73	\$1,500.00	18,000.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16,800.00	
\$2.69	\$1,400.00	16.800.00	
\$2.52	\$1,600.00	19,200.00	
\$2.79	\$1,950.00	23,400.00	
	, ,		
		\$498,600.00	
		-\$21,227	
		\$519,827.25	
		\$56,204	
		\$463,622.93	
		\$222,946	
		\$240,677.36	Net Cash Flow
		-	
		\$7,727,048.75	Project Value at Stabilization





Decision Making





Typical Design Process

Broad Decisions

Detailed Decisions

Programming... Massing....

Systems...

Passive House Design Process

Broad Decisions

Detailed Decisions

Programming... Massing...

Envelope Systems...












STEP #2 Define your Systems Path





Metering Strategy Will Affect:

Equipment Selection

- Space Planning
- Maintenance Schedule
- Fire Protection
- Rental Agreements



One Meter



CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

IMPLIES CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering

Ground-Sourced Heat Pump



One Meter



CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS IMPLIES CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering

Air-Sourced Heat Pump



Ground-Sourced Heat Pump

(Geo-thermal)



The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017, OPEN LOOP, "STANDING COLUMN" GEO WELLS

One Meter



CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

IMPLIES CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering

HEATING/COOLING Ground-Sourced Heat Pump (Geo-thermal)



Ground-Sourced Heat Pump (Geo-thermal)



LAUREL STREET (10° - 20° - 10°) CITY PLAN LEGALLY OPEN - ON CITY PLAN

PARKING D

GEOTHERMAL 1000' Deep section



ALL heating/cooling and domestic hot water for ALL 25 apartments

BASEMENT MECHANICAL ROOM

Ground-Sourced Heat Pump (Geo-thermal)







WATER-TO-REFRIGERANT HEAT PUMPS



WATER-TO-REFRIGERANT HEAT PUMPS



11100

WATER-TO-WATER HEAT PUMPS

Ground-Sourced Heat Pump

(Geo-thermal)





Ground-Sourced Heat Pump

(Geo-thermal)



HOT WATER FLOW METER PER APARTMENT



DHW METERING

Process of



- Leak in the refrigerant lines; system must be shut down for 1-5 days to find and fix

- Leak in the refrigerant lines; system must be shut down for 1-5 days to find and fix

- VRF heat pumps seized in summer of 2020 from "clogging" of internal heat exchanger, causing shut down of entire building for 4 WEEKS: parts took weeks to order

- Leak in the refrigerant lines; system must be shut down for 1-5 days to find and fix

- VRF heat pumps seized in summer of 2020 from "clogging" of internal heat exchanger, causing shut down of entire building for 4 WEEKS: parts took weeks to order

- Geo wells are pulling up EXTREMELY dirty water, which meant needing to put QUADRUPLE the amount of filtration from original design; requires daily maintenance; clogging causing required flow rate of 80GPM to be HALFED...

- Leak in the refrigerant lines; system must be shut down for 1-5 days to find and fix

- VRF heat pumps seized in summer of 2020 from "clogging" of internal heat exchanger, causing shut down of entire building for 4 WEEKS: parts took weeks to order

- Geo wells are pulling up EXTREMELY dirty water, which meant needing to put QUADRUPLE the amount of filtration from original design; requires daily maintenance; clogging causing required flow rate of 80GPM to be HALFED...

Geo wells are stratifying, NOT bringing in 55 degree water but more like 68 degrees

- Leak in the refrigerant lines; system must be shut down for 1-5 days to find and fix

- VRF heat pumps seized in summer of 2020 from "clogging" of internal heat exchanger, causing shut down of entire building for 4 WEEKS: parts took weeks to order

- Geo wells are pulling up EXTREMELY dirty water, which meant needing to put QUADRUPLE the amount of filtration from original design; requires daily maintenance; clogging causing required flow rate of 80GPM to be HALFED...

Geo wells are stratifying, NOT bringing in 55 degree water but more like 68 degrees

Very difficult to BALANCE the balanced ventilation system

- Leak in the refrigerant lines; system must be shut down for 1-5 days to find and fix

- VRF heat pumps seized in summer of 2020 from "clogging" of internal heat exchanger, causing shut down of entire building for 4 WEEKS: parts took weeks to order

- Geo wells are pulling up EXTREMELY dirty water, which meant needing to put QUADRUPLE the amount of filtration from original design; requires daily maintenance; clogging causing required flow rate of 80GPM to be HALFED...

Geo wells are stratifying, NOT bringing in 55 degree water but more like 68 degrees

Very difficult to BALANCE the balanced ventilation system

Hot water flow meter data irrelevant; VRF downloadable data on individual unit refrigerant use is unusable; complicated energy monitoring system was expensive and ultimately unreliable

- Leak in the refrigerant lines; system must be shut down for 1-5 days to find and fix

- VRF heat pumps seized in summer of 2020 from "clogging" of internal heat exchanger, causing shut down of entire building for 4 WEEKS: parts took weeks to order

- Geo wells are pulling up EXTREMELY dirty water, which meant needing to put QUADRUPLE the amount of filtration from original design; requires daily maintenance; clogging causing required flow rate of 80GPM to be HALFED...

Geo wells are stratifying, NOT bringing in 55 degree water but more like 68 degrees

Very difficult to BALANCE the balanced ventilation system

Hot water flow meter data irrelevant; VRF downloadable data on individual unit refrigerant use is unusable; complicated energy monitoring system was expensive and ultimately unreliable

ZERO redundancy: need to literally buy TWO of everything: extra heat pumps, extra flat plate heat exchanger in case of failure



IMPLIES CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering

HEATING/COOLING Air-Sourced Heat Pump

Semi-Centralized VRF (one per floor)



The House at Cornell Tech, Roosevelt Island, NYC, PHI certified; Handel Architects LP



HOT WATER

Ground-Sourced Heat Pump

(Geo-thermal)



The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017, DHW tanks for 25 units

Air-Sourced Heat Pump



One Meter



CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

IMPLIES CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering

HOT WATER: CENTRALIZED vs SEMI-CENTRALIZED



HOT WATER

- SEMI- Decentralized
- Heat pumps on roof
- FAST recovery time: less storage -> ALL Tanks located on 4th floor



INITIAL Domestic Hot Water Strategy: CENTRALIZIED



INITIAL Domestic Hot Water Strategy: CENTRALIZIED

Table 9	MAX. TRAP ARM DISTANCE [T3105.1] & {T10-1}	
Trap Arm (in.)	[IRC] Distance Trap to Vent	{UPC} Distance Trap to Vent
11/4	5ft.	2ft. 6in.
1 ¹ /2	6ft.	3ft. 6in.
2	8ft.	5ft.
3	(12ft.)	6ft.
4 or larger	16ft.	10ft.







Single Stack System for a Six Story Building

HOT WATER: SEMI-CENTRALIZED



FINAL Domestic Hot Water Strategy: FOLLOW THE VENT STACK !!



FINAL Domestic Hot Water Strategy: SEMI-CENTRALIZED



PIPE-IN-PIPE Recirculation Loop Strategy



HOT WATER



2 – 80 gallon HPWH for 6-7 Apartments

HOT WATER: HORIZONTAL STRATEGY WITH OFF-THE-SHELF HPWH



Copper Flats: 88 units
HOT WATER: HORIZONTAL STRATEGY WITH OFF-THE-SHELF HPWH



HOT WATER: HORIZONTAL STRATEGY WITH OFF-THE-SHELF HPWH



Copper Flats: 88 units



HOT WATER: HORIZONTAL STRATEGY WITH OFF-THE-SHELF HPWH

VENTILATION ERV/HRV - Centralized

One Meter



- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering

VENTILATION ERV/HRV - Centralized



The House at Cornell Tech, PHI certified Centralized roof-mounted ERV

Energy Recovery Ventilator





VENTILATION ERV/HRV – Semi Centralized



The Whitehall, Semi Centralized Ventilation System





The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017; 77 KW "BIFACIAL" PV ARRAY WITH ONE METER

Roof: Curb for ERVs



Balanced/Centralized Ventilation, 2 Ventacity 2000cfm ERVs







8

I 1/2" = 1'-0"



Balanced/Centralized Ventilation, 2 Ventacity 2000cfm ERVs

SOLAR



The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017; 77 KW "BIFACIAL" PV ARRAY WITH ONE METER

One Meter



- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering





The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017; 77 KW "BIFACIAL" PV ARRAY WITH ONE METER

One Meter



- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering





















FRONT FLATS, 2019; 176 KW "BIFACIAL" PV ARRAY on façade AND ROOF WITH ONE METER

- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering





-

010

In mr.











PHIUS+ 2015 SOURCE ENERGY REPORT

Project name	Front Flats - Residential Only	
Climate	hiladelphia International AP	
Туре	tesidential	
Interior conditioned floor area	15,588.9 ft ^a	
Number of units	28	
Occupants	50	
Source energy use	723,022.7 kBtu/yr	
Specific source energy use	46.4 kBtu/ft ² yr	
Source energy use	211,917.9 kWh/yr	
Source energy use per person	4,238 kWh/Person yr	
Net source energy use (with 100% renewables)	-824,970.6 kBtu/yr	
Specific net source energy use (with 100% renewables)	-52.9 kBtu/ftªyr	
Net source energy use (with 100% renewables)	-241,798.9 kWh/yr	
Specific source energy use per person (with 100% renew	vables) -4,836 kWh/Person yr	
PHIUS+ Source Zero	YES	

PHIUS+ Source Zero



Renewable electricity production

Miscellaneous Loads

PRODUCTION

156,698 kWh/yr

1



-**PROJECTED 20% MORE THAN NEEDED**



SOLAR PRODUCTION 165,260kWh/yr PROJECTED 156,698 kWh/yr

RESIDENTIAL CONSUMPTION 138,501 kWh/yr

PROJECTED 131,648 kWh/yr



TOTAL BUILDING CONSUMPTION 168,904 kWh/yr

MONITORED ACTUAL 2020 CONSUMPTION/PRODUCTION

		Total
	Solar	Building
2020	Generation	Usage
Month	kWh	kWh
Jan	12,207	9,819
Feb	11,346	9,106
Mar	15,290	9,412
Apr	14,797	9,124
May	15,290	10,065
Jun	18,708	17,237
Jul	16,156	21,471
Aug	13,866	21,706
Sep	15,411	17,411
Oct	12,262	15,399
Nov	11,749	14,593
Dec	8,177	13,562
Total	165,260	168,904

NET **POSITIVE**

ENERGY PRODUCTION 26, 758 kWh/yr

RESIDENTIAL

PROJECTED

25,050 kWh/yr



SOLAR PRODUCTION 165,260kWh/yr PROJECTED

RESIDENTIAL **CONSUMPTION** 138,501 kWh/yr PROJECTED 131,648 kWh/yr

OFFICE/STORAGE CONSUMPTION 30,402 kWh/yr

TOTAL BUILDING CONSUMPTION 168,904 kWh/yr NET **POSITIVE** RESIDENTIAL **ENERGY PRODUCTION** 26, 758 kWh/yr

PROJECTED 25,050 kWh/yr

UTILITIES AS REVENUE

\$40/month x 28 x 12 = \$13,440.00

26,758 kWh x \$.12= \$3211.00

\$16,651.00 **ADDITIONAL** REVENUE



- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering

MONITORING VS METERING

TENANTS CHARGED FLAT UTILITY FEE OF \$40/month



MONITORING VS METERING



The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017; 77 KW "BIFACIAL" PV ARRAY WITH

MONITORING VS METERING

TENANTS CHARGED FLAT UTILITY FEE OF \$50/month



ELECTRICITY IN



The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017; Overall building consumption and electricity production

- Centralized heating/cooling
- Centralized Hot water
- Centralized ventilation
- One solar array
- Monitoring vs metering
MONITORING VS METERING

INCENTIVIZE + EDUCATE



MONITORING VS METERING

INCENTIVIZE + EDUCATE

WHO'S WHO???







Electric Commercial Service 0-100kW

Service Period 07/30/2018 to 08/25/2018 - 26 days

PECO ELECTRIC DELIVERY		\$355.18	
Customer Charge		18.19	
Distribution Charges	40.00 kW X 8.31000	332.40	
Distribution Charges	7,120 kWh X -0.00190	-13.53	••••
Distribution System Improvement		1.55	
Charge			
Energy Efficiency Charge	9,920 kWh X 0.00167	16.57	
ELECTRIC SUPPLY		\$498.46	
Generation Charges	7,120 kWh X 0.06248	444.86	
Transmission Charges	40.00 kW X 1.34000	53.60	
TAXES & FEES		\$68.25	
State Tax Adjustment		-0.04	
Sales Tax		68.29	
Total Current Charges		\$921.89	

DEMAND CHARGE



100 kWh storage: \$145,000

The case for bidirectional EV charging is growing stronger

Bidirectional charging through vehicle-to-grid technology could help boost resiliency for the grid as well as EV fleet owners.

By Audrey Henderson

August 5, 2022



Ford touts the Ford 150 - Lightning's bidirectional grid-integration capabilities as a key benefit of the vehicle. Pictured, Chris Ashely, an owner in Washington, D.C. Photo courtesy of Ford

98 kWh storage: \$70,000





100 kWh storage: \$145,000

The case for bidirectional EV charging is growing stronger

Bidirectional charging through vehicle-to-grid technology could help boost resiliency for the grid as well as EV fleet owners.

By Audrey Henderson

August 5, 2022



Ford touts the Ford 150 - Lightning's bidirectional grid-integration capabilities as a key benefit of the vehicle. Pictured, Chris Ashely, an owner in Washington, D.C. Photo courtesy of Ford

98 kWh storage: \$70,000





100 kWh storage: \$145,000

The case for bidirectional EV charging is growing stronger

Bidirectional charging through vehicle-to-grid technology could help boost resiliency for the grid as well as EV fleet owners.

By Audrey Henderson

August 5, 2022



Ford touts the Ford 150 - Lightning's bidirectional grid-integration capabilities as a key benefit of the vehicle. Pictured, Chris Ashely, an owner in Washington, D.C. Photo courtesy of Ford

135 kWh storage: \$80,000





PROS

- Less cost and space required for electric meters (one)
- Owner pays distribution charges on only one meter
- Owner receives the benefit of the solar electricity generation
- Less penetrations in envelope and less filter maintenance on Centralized ventilation system
- No coordination with tenants needed in order to perform routine maintenance on Centralized systems (clean or replace filters 3-4 times per year)

One Meter



CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

CONS

- Potential over-use of energy by tenants if "Incentive/Penalty" program is not put in place by owner.
- Owner has more management costs related to billing tenants
- Fire dampers required on ventilation supply and returns as they pass through the horizontal 1 hour assembly unless ERV/HRVs are located on each building floor serving only that floor
- When a Centralized system needs repair, all tenants may be without an essential service



DE-CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS IMPLIES DE-CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS





DE-CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

IMPLIES DE-CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

- Hot Water (Individual Heat Pump water heaters in each unit)
- Ventilation (Individual ERV/HRV in each unit)
- Heating/Cooling (Individual Mini-split heat pumps within each unit, one condenser per unit





Top: Stables Townhomes, bottom: Belfield Townhomes, PHI Certified 2012

IMPLIES DE-CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

- Hot Water (Individual Heat Pump water heaters in each unit)
- Ventilation (Individual ERV/HRV in each unit)
- Heating/Cooling (Individual Mini-split heat pumps within each unit, one condenser per unit
- Solar array per unit



Energy Consumption Per Load

	Number of Loads	Avg Cost per Load	Avg Energy per Load (kWh)	Avg Duration per Load (min)
Yesterday	2	0.43	2.75	114
Last 7 Days	6	0.25	1.7B	89
Last 30 Days	43	0.22	1.75	86

Washer/condensing dryer, Hourly View for the Past Month



Sensors Name Last Reading Туре Onit1; LYL1-LivingRm 73*F Temperature 75*F Temperature Unit 1: LVL1-Hal 73*F Unit1; LVL1-BedRm (Back) Temperature Unit 2. LVL2-BedRm (Back) 75*F Temperature Unit 2: LVL 2-Hall 73*F Temperature Unit 1: LVL2-WashRm 75*F Temperature Juit 2: LVL 2-BedRm (FmD) 73"F Temperature 75*F Temperature 73*F Unit 2; LML3-BedRm (Fmt) Temperature 75°F Temperature Juit 2: LVL3-Office (Back) 77°F Temperature Unit 2: LVL 3 - Mech Rm; Inside Retrun Air Duct Unit 2: LVL 3 - Mech Rm: Inside Retrun Air Duct 450 ppm VOC Unit 2: LVL 3 - Mech Rm; Inside Retrun Air Duct Humidity 453 ppm Linits: Di Linits VOC Linit 1:1VL1-Hall 45% Humidity Unit1:LVL2-WashRm 450 ppm VOC Unit 1: LVL2-WashRm 44% Humidity

IMPLIES DE-CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

- Hot Water (Individual Heat Pump water heaters in each unit)
- Ventilation (Individual ERV/HRV in each unit)
- Heating/Cooling (Individual Mini-split heat pumps within each unit, one condenser per unit
- Solar array per unit
- Monitoring vs metering

Belfield Townhomes, PHI Certified 2012, Circuit-by-circuit monitoring



PROS

- Owner is not managing or paying for utilities, tenants are.
- Simplified ductwork piping and fire protection.
- If one system needs maintenance, the rest of the tenants are unaffected.



CONS

- Requires more maintenance for owner.
- Filters in HVAC & DHW systems need to be maintained 3-4 per year.
- Coordination challenge of maintenance with all tenants because systems are often inside their units.



CONS

- Requires more maintenance for owner.
- Filters in HVAC & DHW systems need to be maintained 3-4 per year.
- Coordination challenge of maintenance with all tenants because systems are often inside their units.
- HVAC / DHW equipment takes up space in apartments (+ cold air!)



CONS

- Requires more maintenance for owner.
- Filters in HVAC & DHW systems ٠ need to be maintained 3-4 per year.
- Coordination challenge of ٠ maintenance with all tenants because systems are often inside their units.
- HVAC / DHW equipment takes up ٠ space in apartments (+ cold air!)
- Two punctures in the envelope per ٠ ERV/HRV (1 supply and 1 exhaust for each unit) - labor intensive & potential for air leakage.

>Distance = <Efficiency





C-03E-02



CONS

- Requires more maintenance for owner.
- Filters in HVAC & DHW systems ٠ need to be maintained 3-4 per year.
- Coordination challenge of ٠ maintenance with all tenants because systems are often inside their units.
- HVAC / DHW equipment takes up ٠ space in apartments (+ cold air!)
- Two punctures in the envelope per ERV/HRV (1 supply and 1 exhaust for each unit) - labor intensive & potential for air leakage.

>Distance = <Efficiency



DOB Special Permission Solution

10' distance between exhaust and supply



STEP #2 Define your Systems Path





Sourced











Affordable

Housing

CONDENSER???



Performance

Multi Family Affordable

Housing



ALL-IN-ONE??? NO OUTSIDE CONDENSER???











ALL-IN-ONE??? NO OUTSIDE CONDENSER???

THE ILLUSIVE "MAGIC BOX"













ALL-IN-ONE??? NO OUTSIDE CONDENSER???

THE ILLUSIVE "MAGIC BOX"

AZ85H12DAC

GE® Zoneline Single Package Vertical Heat Pump

Features and Benefits

- 11,700/11,500 BTUH Cooling Capacity
- 10,400/10,300 BTUH Heating Capacity
- 10.6/10.6 E.E.R. (BTUH/Watt)

• 3.4/3.4 C.O.P.

- R-410A Refrigerant
- Vertical Design Optimizes Floor Space—Unique Sleeve Design Makes It Easier to Install
- Freeze Sentinel[™] Protects Unoccupied Rooms Against Freeze-Up Requires RAVRMS kit|
- Three-Way, Slide-Out Chassis with Specially Designed Case Makes Maintenance and Service Quick and Easy
- Full Corrosion Treatment on Outside Coils and Other Components is Standard







WALL UNIT (AKA PTAC)

AZ85H12DAC

GE® Zoneline Single Package Vertical Heat Pump

Features and Benefits

- 11,700/11,500 BTUH Cooling Capacity
- 10,400/10,300 BTUH Heating Capacity
- 10.6/10.6 E.E.R. (BTUH/Watt)

• 3.4/3.4 C.O.P.

- R-410A Refrigerant
- Vertical Design Optimizes Floor Space—Unique Sleeve Design Makes It Easier to Install
- Freeze Sentinel[™] Protects Unoccupied Rooms Against Freeze-Up Requires RAVRMS kit|
- Three-Way, Slide-Out Chassis with Specially Designed Case Makes Maintenance and Service Quick and Easy
- Full Corrosion Treatment on Outside Coils and Other Components is Standard









COMPONENTS:

AHU: GE Zoneline Thru-wall Heat Pump ERV: ULTIMATE AIR RecoupAerator 200DX





RETURN

MECH ROOM LAYOUT

AHU: GE Zoneline Thru-wall Heat Pump ERV: ULTIMATE AIR RecoupAerator 200DX



COMPONENTS:

AHU: GE Zoneline Thru-wall Heat Pump ERV: ULTIMATE AIR RecoupAerator 200DX




BELFIELD TOWNHOUSES: 2010-2012







BELFIELD TOWNHOUSES: 2010-2012





STABLES 2015: 27 townhomes



Basement Mech room





Basement Mech room





Basement Mech room





ar

MODULAR





Second Floor level interior

- 28 Apartments (300-500sf)
- 24,141 sf
- R34 walls, panelized system
 R 54 roof/floors
- DE-Centralized VentilationERV
- **DE-Centralized** heating/cooling
- SEMI-Centralized Hot Water
- Centralized Electric Metering
- 174 kw PV array





Original 450sf, 1-bed unit



Original 450sf, 1-bed unit



NEW 450sf, 1-bed unit

Marcel Duchamp's door at Rue Larrey that is both open and closed

1927





NEW 450sf, 1-bed unit





NEW 450sf, 1-bed unit











HEATING, COOLING, VENTILATION, DEHUMIDIFICATION MINOTAIR

- Decentralized
- Combination ERV, heating, cooling, dehumidification
- Condenser self-contained
- No separate HVAC needed for hallways













2ND-4TH FLOORS



Common Area HVAC strategy



HVAC Closet merged with Kitchen cabinetry

















GROUND FLOOR



2-4 FLOORS



2-4 FLOORS





athrooms



Commercial	473
SCOPE	TOTAL
Demolition	\$0
Foundation	\$124,908
Underpin	\$0
Shoring	\$9,000
Structual Steel	\$104,090
Framing material	\$250,398
Framing labor	\$90,422
Roof (TPO)	\$25,600
Roof deck	\$0
Solar Panels	\$0
Exterior Iron Work	\$11,000
Brick	\$70,400
Metal Clad	\$62,400
Glazed Tile Exterior	\$43,200
Concrete Deck	\$0
СМИ	\$0
Windows	\$74,100
Entry Doors	\$20,800
Garage Door	\$5,500
Commercial Glass	\$15,500
Elevator	\$0
Trash Chute	\$0
Water Service	\$24,500
Mechanical	\$110,500
Electrical	\$171,000
Plumbing	\$168,000
Fire Suppressant	\$99,000
Fire Alarm	\$12,000
Communications	\$8,000
Insulation	\$34,778
Insulation - Steel	\$0
Drywall	\$83,466
Gypcrete (Sound)	\$20,753
Concrete Flat	\$21,600
Painting	\$23,198
Stairs/ Landings	\$25,600
Mill Work	\$55,644
Tile material	\$19,200
Tile labor	\$24,000
Floors material	\$36,694
Floors Labor	\$20,968
Glass (shower doors)	\$10,400
Cabinetry (kitchen/vanity)	\$72,000
Countertops	\$28,800
Railings - Interior handrails	\$12,800
Plumbing Fixtures	\$25,600
Electrical fixtures	\$9,600
Appliances (inc W/D)	\$56,000
Hardware	\$6,400
Site Conditions	\$27,822
General Conditions/Punch	\$69,555
General Contractor Fees	\$200,000
ΤΟΤΑΙ	\$2 385 195
IVIAL	5000,195

13,911

16

16.0

- 13,911 SF - 16 UNITS
- \$2.4 MILLION BUDGET - \$172 SF

BUDGET







Linden: 32 units Madison, WI

Switch from Ephoca to mini-splits

Copper Flats: 88 units Phila, PA

Switch from Minotair to mini-splits

Fairweather: 600 units Salem, MA

Switch from Ephoca to Centralized HEX



Copper Flats: 88 units Phila, PA

Switch from Minotair to mini-splits and ERVs







Fairweather: 600 units Salem, MA

Switch from Ephoca to Centralized HEX



Achieve Performance & Durability Through A Holistic Approach¹³
FAIRWEATHER SALEM





127 unit, 73,920 sf, 6 stories Feasibility Study Deep Energy Retrofit

Goals:

- **1.** Research 7 Panelized manufacturers for most cost-effective, factorybuilt, high performance envelop, including new roof
- 2. Research 3-4 HVAC strategies for most cost-effective approach to bringing heating, cooling and ventilation to every apartment and communal space
- 3. Research all-electric centralized Domestic Hot Water Systems to replace gas boiler
- 4. Eliminate all gas equipment and appliances from building for allelectric building
- 5. Create WUFI model of proposed design to meet the Passive House standard.
- 6. Incorporate as much PV renewable energy as possible with goal of Net Zero Energy.
- 7. Create preliminary budget for DER





Fairweather: 600 units Salem, MA

FAIRWEATHER SALEM



Fairweather: 600 units Salem, MA

Switch from Ephoca to Centralized HEX





Ηνας/ΠΗΜ SYSTEMS	Vertical sta	ick			Heating, Cooling, & Ve	ntilation	
	-			~	Heating & Cooling Options	Ventilation Options	Ventilation & Ducting Requirement
Achieve Performance & Durability Through A Holistic Approach	1.	encode encode	R DE	H	1. Ephoca Vertical Sta supplemental electric winter.	ck: all-in-one. Requires duct heaters during	Through wall ventilation; horizontal exhaust bathroom and kitchen, supply at Ephoca unit
% ephoca					2. Ephoca Through-	a. Central Rooftop	i. Vertical duct riser cored internally in units
		and be			wall, no integral ventilation. Requires	ERV	ii. Vertical duct riser external to building, within enclosure
	2.				supplemental electric heaters during winter.	b. Unitary ERV	i. Through wall ventilation; horizontal exhaust bathroom and kitchen, supply at ERV
			+	or 🧃	3. VRF with Heat Recovery, branch controllers, and wall hung FCUs	a. Central Rooftop ERV	i. Vertical duct riser cored internally in units
	3.	VH					ii. Vertical duct riser external, within enclosure
						b. Unitary ERV	i. Through wall ventilation; horizontal exhaust bathroom and kitchen, supply at ERV
4.		VRF		Image: Second state of the second s	4. VRF without Heat Recovery and wall	a. Central Rooftop ERV	i. Vertical duct riser cored internally in units
	4.		+ or				ii. Vertical duct riser external, within enclosure
		1 ale	1 miles		b. Unitary ERV	i. Through wall ventilation; horizontal exhaust bathroom and kitchen, supply at ERV	
		WSHP System 2-Pipe Design		æ		a. Central Rooftop	 Vertical duct riser cored internally in units
5	5.		+ or	r	5. HEX, Condenser Loop, WSHP/Bulldog	ERV	ii. Vertical duct riser external, within enclosure
						b. Unitary ERV	i. Through wall ventilation; horizontal exhaust bathroom and kitchen, supply at ERV
					Domestic Hot Water		

Fairweather: 600 units Salem, MA

Switch from Ephoca to Centralized HEX

Ontion	Equinment
Option	Equipment
1. Central Heat Pump DHW	Outdoor CO2-based condenser, storage and swing tanks, recirculation pump(s)

BUILDING EVOLUTION CORPORATION Achieve Performance & Durability Through A Holistic Approach⁽¹⁾

1. Ephoca Vertical Stack

PROS

- Single heating/cooling/ventilation solution
- Easy to schedule installation
- Can exhaust bathroom and kitchen area
- Does not require fire-stopping and smoke dampers
- Reduced risk of refrigerant leak in apartments

CONS

- Added maintenance costs due to individual unit filters
- Higher operating cost compared to centralized heat recovery VRF system
- Requires two penetrations through enclosure per apartment
- More work in occupied rehab compared to wall hung FCUs and central ventilation system
- Lower ERV efficiency compared to central ventilation system
- Will require electric resistance heating for winter design conditions

Vertical stack

% ephoca









BUILDING EVOLUTION CORPORATION Achieve Performance & Durability Through A Holistic Approach¹¹¹

2. Ephoca Wall mounted + De-coupled ventilation

PROS

- Re-use existing enclosure penetration locations
- Does not require fire-stopping and smoke dampers
- Easy to schedule installation
- Reduced risk of refrigerant leak in apartments

CONS

- Added maintenance costs due to individual unit filters
- Higher operating cost compared to centralized heat recovery VRF system
- Requires two penetrations through enclosure per unit without benefit of ventilation
- May not be adequately sized for common spaces
- May require electric resistance heating for winter design conditions
- Does not address ventilation needs









Figure 6: Rooftop layout with internal supply duct risers

Ventilation



3. VRF w/Heat Recovery and wall hung FCUs + De-coupled ventilation

PROS

- Lowest overall operating cost with heat recovery benefit
- Wall-mounting FCUs does not take away real estate in apartments
- Improved comfort with independent control of heating and cooling
- System can scale to heating/cooling load requirements
- Central maintenance (condensers, controls)

CONS

- Reduces roof space availability for solar array
- Limited space within building to mount branch controllers, may have to be installed on roof in purpose built semiconditioned space
- Vertical chases for refrigerant lines may still require fire-stopping
- Externally run refrigerant lines will still require maintenance access





ERV

SUPPLIES

SUPPLIES

FL 5 & 6

TOTAL ROOT BUREAUE "EARLIPT

SUPPLIES FL 1 & 2

Ventilation

4. VRF WITHOUT Heat Recovery and wall hung FCUs + De-coupled ventilation

PROS

- Lower cost compared to heat recovery VRF option
- Does not require branch controllers
- Wall-mounting FCUs does not take away real estate in apartments
- System can scale to heating/cooling load requirements
- Central maintenance (condensers, controls)

CONS

- Higher operating cost compared to heat recovery VRF option
- Reduces roof space availability for solar array
- Reduced comfort without independent control of heating and cooling
- Vertical chases for refrigerant lines may still require fire-stopping
- Externally run refrigerant lines will still require maintenance access





Ventilation

SUPPLIES

SUPPLIES

FL1&2

SUPPLIES

FL 5 & 6

BUILDING EVOLUTION CORPORATION Achieve Performance & Durability Through & Holletic Approach¹

5. HEX, Condenser Loop, WSHP/Bulldog + De-coupled ventilation

PROS

- Simultaneous heating and cooling with heat recovery
- Reduced refrigerant running through occupied space
- CUs can be located at grade in a central location to serve the entire building.
- May be less expensive than other central options as contractors are familiar with WSHPs, and two pipe hydronic systems

CONS

- Lower efficiency compared to VRF system
- Loss of real estate in apartments





Ventilation





Heating/Cooling

STUDIO

STUDIO

Initially chosen as best strategy

HVAC Options:

- 1. Unitary Ephoca vertical stack with ERV: \$3,076246 [\$42/sf]
- 2. Ephoca thru-wall with centralized ERV:
 - a. ERV ductwork through internal shaft/core: \$4,311,600 [\$58/sf]
 - b. ERV ductwork at exterior: \$3,759,900 [\$51/sf]
- 3. VRF with heat recovery with centralized ERV:
 - a. ERV ductwork through internal shaft/core: \$3,163,900 [\$43/sf]
 - b. ERV ductwork at exterior: \$3,575,200 [\$48/sf]
- 4. HEX refrigerant-water heat exchangers, VRF, condenser loop and water source heat pumps, with centralized ERV:

Norformance & Durability Through & Holiei

- a. ERV ductwork through internal shaft/core: \$3,514,700 [\$47/sf]
- b. ERV ductwork at exterior: \$3,926,000 [\$53/sf]





FINAL chosen strategy

HVAC Options:

- 1. Unitary Ephoca vertical stack with ERV: \$3,076246 [\$42/sf]
- 2. Ephoca thru-wall with centralized ERV:
 - a. ERV ductwork through internal shaft/core: \$4,311,600 [\$58/s]
 - b. ERV ductwork at exterior: \$3,759,900 [\$51/sf]
- 3. VRF with heat recovery with centralized ERV:
 - a. ERV ductwork through internal shaft/core: \$3,163,900 [\$43/sf]
 - b. ERV ductwork at exterior: \$3,575,200 [\$48/sf]
- 4. HEX refrigerant-water heat exchangers, VRF, condenser loop and water source heat pumps, with centralized ERV:

Performance & Durability Through A Holietic

a. ERV ductwork through internal shaft/core: \$3,514,700 [\$47/sf]

b. ERV ductwork at exterior: \$3,926,000 [\$53/sf]





HVAC Options:

- 1. Unitary Ephoca vertical stack with ERV: **\$3,076246 [\$42/sf]**
- ² MAIN REASONS FOR SHIFT:
 - L. Concern over untested Ephoca unit in this climate
 - 2. Concern over total cost of Ephoca unit
 - 3. Minimal interior work with HEX system
 - 4. Ventilation from outside so tenants are not interrupted
- 4. HEX 5. Relocation of tenants would cost over \$1million source heat pumps, with centralized ERV:
 - a. ERV ductwork through internal shaft/core: \$3,514,700 [\$47/sf

b. ERV ductwork at exterior: \$3,926,000 [\$53/sf]

UILDING EVOLUTION CORPORATION

thieve Performance & Durability Through A Holistic Approach







Linden: 32 units Madison, WI

Switch from Ephoca to mini-splits



IN COLLABORATION WITH Knothe Bruce Architects



















Conditi

Conditioning & Ventilation System: Apartment Multifunction Heat Pump/Ventilator

Heating, Cooling, and Ventilation will be provided by a multi-function heat pump ventilator in each apartment. No equipment is required on the roof for the apartments, all heat rejection is done through the exhaust stream. Fresh air and Exhaust air to the outside are ducted to louvers on the exterior of the building, exhaust from the apartment is ducted to the bathrooms, and supply air is ducted to the living spaces. The unit heats, cools and ventilates in a single packaged unit located in a closet near the exterior wall.

Apartment Sizing:

Basis of design for the (10) 1 bedroom and (11) efficiency apartments is a multifunction HVAC Unit are the vertically mounted EPHOCA AIO Vertical Stack with a capacity of 45 CFM ventilation air and 8,565 Btu/hr cooling load and 1,800 W of electric backup heat.

Basis of design for the (15) 2 bedroom multifunction HVAC Unit are the vertically mounted EPHOCA AIO Vertical Stack with a capacity of 60 CFM ventilation air and 8, 565 Btu/hr cooling load and electric backup heat. 2 Bedroom units will require supplemental heating and cooling utilizing a wall mounted EPHOCA AIO indoor with internal ERV and 900 W strip heat. The upstairs for the split level apartments will require a supplemental bathroom exhaust fan to provide code required exhaust from the bathrooms.

Alternate #1: For alternate #1, the second bedrooms in 2-BR units will be conditioned by ducted air from the AIO Vertical Stack unit, and the wall mounted unit will be eliminated.



MULTIFUNCTION HVAC UNIT



***NOTES:**

- Initially looked at Minotair but Ephoca is more efficient at colder temps
- Ephoca has built-in "passive" ERV core, Minotair has "active" ERV

STAENGL

ENGINEERING







EQUIPMENT COSTS AFTER FREIGHT (from Italy), total cost: \$9000/unit

Panasonic

Intelli-Balance[™] 100 Energy Recovery Ventilator





FV-10VEC2 (Cold Climate) FV-10VE2 (Temperate Climate)

SVZ-KP12NA & SUZ-KA12NAHZ 12,000 BTU/H MULTI-POSITION AIR HANDLER 12,000 BTU/H HYPER-HEATING UNIVERSAL OUTDOOR UNIT



REPLACE WITH PANASONIC ERV AND MINISPLITS

REPLACE WITH PANASONIC ERV AND MINISPLITS: SAVED \$250,000.00



Multiple Meters

PROS

- Tenants pay their own utilities.
- Simplified ductwork piping and fire protection.
- Much easier to "balance" and commission than Centralized system
- If one system needs maintenance, the rest of the tenants are unaffected.



DE-CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

CONS

- Requires more maintenance for owner.
- Filters in HVAC & DHW systems need to be maintained 3-4 per year.
- Coordination challenge of maintenance with all tenants because systems are often inside their units.
- HVAC / DHW equipment takes up space in apartments (+ cold air!)
- Two punctures in the envelope per ERV/HRV (1 supply and 1 exhaust for each unit) - labor intensive & potential for air leakage.

```
>Distance = <Efficiency
```



AIR BARRIER





STEP #3 Define your Envelop Path







AIR BARRIER





WINDOWS, DOORS

- Triple pane, Thermally-broken
- Tilt & Turn, UPVC
- U-values 0.16 0.18
- Liquid Flashing System
- Expanding Foam Tape

STEP #3 Define your Envelop Path











WINDOWS, DOORS



- Aluminum frames
- Thermally broken frames
- Double pane glazing, verified with PHPP -
- U-value 0.24, SHGC 0.39
- American doors avoided conflicts with electronic hardware















CONVENTIONAL CONSTRUCTION



Sacred Heart Residences, Pennrose Properties, Kitchen & Ass., WRT PH Consultant: foundation challenges

CONVENTIONAL CONSTRUCTION



THE COMMUNITY BUILDERS RDL ARCHITECTS

Hillcrest Senior Residences: Notice NO SUB-SLAB insulation!!, conventional foundation, thermal analysis

CONVENTIONAL CONSTRUCTION



Hillcrest Senior Residences: Notice NO SUB-SLAB insulation!!, conventional foundation, thermal analysis



PREFABRICATION



The Whitehall, PHI Certified 2017, Mission First Housing: Prefabricated foundation system, Buildsmart



G OLOGIC: Typical Slab edge detail

Roof





The Whitehall, PHI Certified 2017, Mission First Housing



PROS

- Cost Effective
- With prefabricated forms, a monolithic pour of footing/foundation and slab is possible
- Conventional construction skills






The Whitehall, PHI Certified 2017, Mission First Housing



PROS

- Cost Effective
- With prefabricated forms, a monolithic pour of footing/foundation and slab is possible
- Conventional construction skills



CONS

- No basement for storage or utilities
- All sub-grade services (plumbing, electric) need to be precisely located

















South Point, Innova Development services



The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017: Basement OUTSIDE of the thermal envelop



The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017: Basement OUTSIDE of the thermal envelop







PROS

- Space for storage and utilities
- Can have basement INSIDE or OUTSIDE of the thermal envelop
- Conventional construction skills

CONS

• Expensive, especially if basement is INSIDE thermal envelop









Above: wall section of Edgewaterhaus, Green design Studio, Double stud wall with air barrier on INTERIOR Right: 475 detailing of double stud wall with Intello plus air-barrier membrane on interior, Mento WRB on exterior



SITE BUILD



Above: wall section of Edgewaterhaus, Green design Studio, Double stud wall with air barrier on INTERIOR Right: Health Net Zero





ECOCOR

Above: wall section of Edgewaterhaus, Green design Studio, Double stud wall with air barrier on INTERIOR Right: Ecocor, prefabricated I-JOIST wall system.









Above: wall section of Edgewaterhaus, Green design Studio, Double stud wall with air barrier on INTERIOR Right: Ecocor, prefabricated I-JOIST wall system for Gerard Haus.







VAPOR OPEN

- Dense-packed cellulose/fibre-glass in cavity
- Rigid insulation on exterior fully open drying potential (Rockwool, Gutex, cork...)

Drying Mechanisms

- Evaporation: Liquid water transported by capillary action to the inside or outside
- 2. Vapor transport via diffusion and/or effusion
- 3. Drainage of unabsorbed water driven by gravity
- Convection through intentional (or unintentional) vented air cavities

Wetting Mechanisms

- 1. Bulk Water: Adsorption of driving rain and splash-back at grade
- Bulk Water: Liquid and bound groundwater, driven by capillary suction, redistribution and gravity
- Built-in and stored moisture, esp. in wood and concrete
- Vapor transport via infiltration/exfiltration and/or diffusion



Diagrams by Ecocor





DOUBLE STUD I-JOISTS



Walls

PROS

- Less global warming potential for non-foam insulations used
- Insulation maintains R-value in extreme temperatures
- Manages moisture through dispersion and diffusion

CONS

- Expensive intelligent membranes: labor and materials
- Interior Air-Barrier difficult and costly to detail
- Not common construction and therefore significant training required
- Construction sequencing issues









Hillcrest Senior Residences: Typical wall/floor connection

SITE BUILD

SITE BUILD





THEC^MMUNITY

Sacred Heart Residences, Pennrose Properties, Kitchen & Ass., WRT PH Consultant: SITE BUILD WALLS



BUILD SMART

ter and the second s

The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017: Buildmart prefabricated wall system, windows/doors installed

PREFABRICATED



PREFABRICATED Under roof in ONE MONTH!



The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017: Buildmart prefabricated wall system, windows/doors installed



VAPOR CLOSED

- Dense-packed cellulose, spray foam, fibre-glass in cavity: Vapor in cavity drys to the INSIDE

"The Perfect Wall", Building Science Corp

Vapor Profile







PROS

- Most Cost Effective
- Air Barrier is on OUTSIDE, which reduces penetrations
- Everyday framing techniques, little training needed
- With prefabrication, quality control is greatly enhanced

CONS

- Exterior insulation adds costs and labor
- Some foams degrade in R-value over time.









The Whitehall, PHI Certified 2017, Mission First Housing: Critical air barrier juncture at ceiling



PITCHED

Cost Effective roof system Everyday framing techniques, ٠ little training needed

PROS

٠

Pitch of roof to be used for ٠ maximizing solar PV pitch

CONS

- Limited aesthetic possibilities ٠
- Need for additional layer for air ٠ barrier, most costly













The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017: FLAT ROOF, green roof, roof sheathing doubles as Air Barrier



The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017: FLAT ROOF, green roof, roof sheathing doubles as Air Barrier



The Battery, PHIUS + Certified, 2017: FLAT ROOF, green roof, roof sheathing doubles as Air Barrier



FLAT



PROS

- Air Barrier to double as roof sheathing, cost effective
- Widens aesthetic possibilities
- Has potential for green roof and stormwater management
- Ease of installation, most cost effective

CONS

 More costly water proof membranes compared to asphalt shingles



Wynne Senior Residences - 4 Stories, 51 Units, 44,000 SF

- Multi Meter
- Decentralized Heating / Cooling: Ducted Mini Split
- Decentralized ERVs
- Centralized Gas DHW
- Centralized Electric Dryers
- Site Built Foundation and 2x6 Wall Systems w/Exterior Insulation
- Flat Roof









The Whitehall - 3 Stories, 49 Units, 43,000 SF

- Multi Meter
- Decentralized Heating / Cooling: Ducted Mini Split
- Decentralized DHW: 50 gal HPWH/Unit
- Centralized ERV
- Centralized Gas Dryers
- Prefabricated Build Smart Foundation and Wall Systems
- Pitched Roof



STEP #3

Define your Envelop Path





STEP #1 Define your Energy Path

The Battery - 4 Stories, 25 Units, 17,000 SF

- SINGLE Meter: ALL-ELECTRIC BUILDING
- Centralized Heating / Cooling: Geothermal, Non-Ducted Mini Split
- Centralized DHW: Geothermal
- Centralized ERV
- De-Centralized Heat Pump Dryers
- Basement with Build Smart Wall Systems
- Flat Roof

STEP #2 Define your Systems Path **STEP #3**

Define your Envelop Path







A Zero Emissions All-Electric Multifamily Construction Guide



Domestic Hot Water

The following section provides electric alternatives to gas water heaters, from tiny tanks to water heaters for high rises. This list includes tankless water heaters one might use in commercial bathrooms, heat pump water heaters with integrated tanks (common in new homes), heat pump water heaters using remote tanks (helpful for retrofits), and larger water heaters for whole-house hydronic HVAC.

Large Building Applications (240V-480V)

Apartment buildings, hotels and large commercial facilities usually heat water in a central plant and plumb it throughout the building. These large heat pumps range from 10 tons to 260 tons (1 ton = 12,000 BTUh) and like any central system they require careful design of the pumps, heat exchangers and storage tanks to optimize energy use and heat pump operation. The range of operating

On the cost of large central heat pumps: "It is very difficult to get contractors to provide pricing for subsets of work within a larger scope, below is some of the best data we have to date – \$1,359/Apt, an incremental cost of \$600/apartment more than using a gas boiler, but the estimate does not include the savings from eliminating gas service, which can be \$600-\$1000/apartment." -Shawn Oram, Ecotope

temperatures is important-each

product has a different maximum output temperature, between 120F and 180F, and a minimum operating temperature between 5F and 45F before it switches off the heat pump and uses resistance to heat the water. Resistance heating, which is 100% efficient vs. the heat pump which is 200-400% efficient should be minimized in order to get the maximum efficiency of heat pump water heaters. Distributed central systems offer an alternative to larger central systems, they are more flexible and reduce the size of heat pumps and the distribution system.

Large Applications Domestic Hot Water (240V-480V)

	Colmac	Colmac	Mayekawa	Aermec	AO Smith	Nyle
	CxA	CxV	Unimo "Eco	ANK	CHP-120	(C25A-CSA250A)
		Ø	Cute" HE-HWA-2HTC	(030,045,050)	ĕ	
Description	Air source heat pump water heater	Air source heat pump water heater	Water source heat pump water heater	Multipurpose heat pump - single unit	Heat pump water heater w/ 112 gal tank	Air source heat pump water heater
Voltage (V)	230/460	230/220	400	208/230	208/240	208/230
Dimension (ft)	зw	-	4.1W x 6.2L x 6.8H	4.2H x 4.8W x 1.5D	5.7H x 1.91W x 3.25D	7L x 4.6W x 5.6H
Ref. Type	R134a	R134a	R744 (CO2)	R134a	134a	134a
Ambient Temp. Range (F)	10 (low)	-4 – 120 (cold climate)	14 - 110	45 - 113	20 - 110	40 - 120
Power (W)		4,900 - 6,300		2,810 - 4,520	2,350	-
Amps (A)	21.1 - 86.5	36.8	120	45	67	6.2 -23.9
Heating Cap. (BTUh)	137,500 - 419,400	31,200 – 77,900	200,600 - 284,200	37,670 – 57,598	37,977	27,450 - 272,450
Cooling Cap. (BTUh)	109,700 - 334,100	17,300 - 60,700	-	30,120 - 48,240	-	21,200 - 218,000
Heating (COP)	4.0 - 4.2	1.8 - 3.7	3.40 - 3.89	3.1-4.4	4.2	4.45 - 5.18
Cooling (COP)	3.2 - 3.5	1.0 - 2.9	2.62 - 3.28	-	-	3.88 - 4.20

20

Individual Heat Pump Water Heaters (240V)

The below water heaters all rely upon heat pumps - no resistance models are shown due to their inefficiency. These products rely on 30-80 gallons of water storage and collect 2.4 – 3.8 units of heat for every one unit of electricity powering the air source heat pump. Some have a 4000 BTU compressor integrated on top of the tank, others use a 12,000-36,000 BTU separate compressor outside that produces more BTUs at a higher efficiency. These models can be used as either serving one dwelling unit or can be combined in a distributed central plant to feed multiple units.



Small Demand and Low Voltage Applications (120V)

Electric resistance water heaters are best used where hot water is needed in small amounts or when a project requires strict voltage limitations. Tankless water heaters can be used in a restaurant or office bathroom, or a 120 sf tiny house that has no room for a 50-gallon tank or that is not sharing water system with other tiny homes. Electric resistance uses 2 - 4 times more energy than a heat pump but can be the right size for the right demand and they are helpful when there is no 220V electricity available. The 2 to 7-gallon tanks on the market use 120V, while anything larger uses 240V for more heating capability.

Description Tankless, Mini tank, Mini ta	nk,
Point of use Point of use Point of	use
Gallons 0.21 (gpm) 6, 4, 2.7 7, 4, 2	.7
Voltage (V) 120/110 110/120 120	
Dimension (ft) 6H x 7W x 3D 20H x 15W x 15D 17H x 17W	x 14D
Power (W) 1,800 1,300 1,44	5
Max Amps (A) 15 11.3 12	
Heating (COP) 0.98 0.98 0.98	

Best Practices for Heat Pumps Central Domestic Hot Water Systems⁶⁴

Using heat pumps to provide space cooling dates to the 1920s, for space heating to the 1940s, but using compressors to heat domestic hot water for cafeterias, apartment complexes, dairies⁶⁶ and other large uses dates only to the 1970s, and has advanced further in Asia where efficiency is more valued. Consequently, there is less familiarity among North American designers of both the products and practice of designing commercial hot water systems using heat pumps. Below is helpful guidance from the engineers at Ecotope of Seattle, the most experienced designers (25 systems so far) of central domestic hot water heat pumps in North America.

- Heat pumps are not boilers. Do not oversize the central heat pump for faster recovery, which leads to both higher construction costs and equipment failure. Instead use a series of dispatchable 5-15 ton heat pumps, rather than one larger (e.g. 60 ton) heat pump, and favor hot water storage over hot water production.
- 2. When designing hot water systems, split the pipe recirculation heat loss load from the usage load. Temperature maintenance of recirculating water is ideal for "multi-pass" heat pumps that handle 110F incoming water (e.g. Aermec, Daiken) and perform 10F temperature bump-ups, while meeting peak loads is best done with a "single pass" heat pump (e.g. Sanden, Colmac) that uses cold incoming water, not recirc water, to efficiently lift temperatures from 50F to 150F.
- 3. Install "heat traps" on both hot and cold water sides of storage tanks to prevent migration and mixing.
- Reduce pipe surface area to greatest extent possible. Insulate remaining pipes with 1"-4" of foam, depending on space availability. Insulate tanks to at least R-19, same as an outside wall, due to the even more extreme heat loss than found in a wall.
- Design diagnostics into crucial points in the heat plant and distribution system—electrical gauges to
 measure power quality, temperature gauges to monitor heat gain and loss, and control valves on the
 discharge side of pumps to measure pump flow
- 6. Provide redundancy in heat pumps and choose electric resistance storage tanks for a durable, dependable design for the eventuality that system components need maintenance.
- Consider adding drain line heat recovery to save energy while improving the hot water delivery capacity. This is a simple heat exchanger to transfer heat from the drain line to the incoming cold water input to the water heater.



Figure 12: Ecotope Case Study "RCC" system for 194 unit Multifamily building, using best practices in central heat pumps for domestic hot water, from ACEEE presentation by Shawn Oram.⁵⁴

A Zero Emissions All-Electric Multifamily Construction Guide Redwood Energy 2019

A Zero Emissions All-Electric Multifamily Construction Guide Redwood Energy 2019

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning

The following guide gives an overview of heating and cooling electric systems that are widely used in multifamily buildings. The sample of products shown includes large central heat pumps, mini-split heat pumps and small packaged terminal heat pumps.

Large Application Heat Pumps (240V - 480V)

Larger application heat pumps are most typically used for central air and water systems. In some cases, apartment heating, cooling and ventilation needs are met by individual units (like PTHP's). These larger systems are used for the common space loads.

	Mitsubishi	Mitsubishi	Mitsubishi	Spacepak
	City Multi Y-Series	City Multi W-Series	City Multi S-Series	Solstice
	(PUHY: P72TKMU,	(PQRY: P72TLMU,	(PUMY: P36NHMU,	(Extreme)
	P96TKMU, P120TKMU,	P96TLMU, P120TLMU,	P48NHMU, P60NKMU)	
	P144TKMU)	P144TLMU)		
Description		Water source heat		
	Air source heat pump	pump, variable		Air to water, bydropic
	variable refrigerant flow	refrigerant flow, (use	Air source heat pump	heating and cooling
	variable reingerant now	w/ air source to reach		neating and cooling
		ideal temps.)		
Voltage (V)	208/230	230	460	230
Dimension (ft)	5.4H x 5.7W x 2.4D	3.6H x 2.9W x 1.8D		4.0H x 4.5W x 1.5D
Ref. Type	410A	410A	R410a	R410a
Ambient Temp.	-13 – 60 / 12 – 115	E0 112/E0 112	0 - 60	-8 - 105
Range (H/C) (F)	(cold climate)	50 - 115 / 50 - 115	(cold climate)	(cold climate)
Power (W)	5,700 - 12,200	3,000 - 8,100	12,000 - 16,100	3,880 - 5,963
Max Amps (A)	23 - 53	12 - 35		23.5 - 31
Heating Cap. (BTUh)	80,000 - 160,000	80,000 - 137,00	42,000 - 66,000	42,240 - 66,480
Cooling Cap. (BTUh)	72,000 - 144,000	72,000 - 144,000	36,000 - 60,000	40,000
Heating (COP)	3.56 - 3.95	4.90 - 5.77	3.30 - 3.90	2.12 - 3.26
Cooling (COP)	3.72 - 4.22	5.50 - 6.05	3.25 - 4.16	2.43

Mini-Splits (240V)

Mini split systems are comprised of a compressor outside the building and a fan inside the building. Mini split systems can also have many fans inside the building, commonly referred to as multi split systems, where one outside unit serves multiple fans or zones inside the building. Having multiple zones in the building allows for a more controlled, versatile arrangement of installations and temperature settings compared to a typical split HVAC system. Zones can be at different temperature settings while still being served by one outside unit. Multi/mini split systems can be ductless (where refrigerant lines move heat around the building) or they can have mini ducts where air is moved around the building. There are pros and cons of ducts versus no ducts - having no ducts prevents duct leakage energy losses but having many refrigerant lines running through the building can cause problems if they leak. In general, mini/multi split systems are more efficient than typical HVAC systems. No ducting also has an advantage because of reduced fan loads. Larger variable refrigerant flow systems are also a form of a multi split system but on a larger scale (Mitsubishi City Multi Y-Series above).

	Fujitsu Halcyon Series	Mitsubishi HyperCore FH50	LG LMU18CHV	Gree TERRA 09HP230V1AO
Description	2 – 4 indoor units, XLTH models		2 zones	1 zone
Dimension (ft)	39H x 38W x 14D	36H x 9W x 12D	2.08H x 2.83W x 1.17	2.25H x 2.92W x 1.19D
Ref. Type	R410a	R410a	R410a	R410a
Ambient Temp. Range (H/C) (F)	-15 – 75 / 14 – 115 (cold climate)	-15 / 115 (cold climate)	-4 – 64 F / 14 – 118 C (cold climate)	5 – 75 / 5 - 118
Power (W)	1,330 - 2,700	1,380 - 1,480	1.31 - 2.04	60 - 65
Max Amps (A)	16.4 - 26	13.6	11.09	7.0
Heating Cap. (BTUh)	22,000 - 36,400	10,900 - 30,700	17,000	9,800
Cooling Cap. (BTUh)	18,000 - 35,200	8,500 - 26,600	15,600	9,000
Heating (COP)	3.60 - 4.04	3.07 - 4.85	3.0	3.2 - 3.8
Cooling (COP)	3.52 - 3.60	3.31 - 5.10		3.66 - 4.25

Ducted Minisplit Heat Pumps

	Carrier	Mitsubishi	Senville	Gree
	38MGQC183	MXZ3C24NAHZ2	SENA/18HF/ID	MULTI18HP230V1BO
		0	03	
Indoor Unit Dimension (in)	36.2 x 8.3 x 25.0	37.4 x 16.4	34.7 x 28.5 x 8.27	35.4 x 7.9 x 24.2
Outdoor Unit Dimension (in)	33.3 x 27.6 x 12.6	41.3 x 37.4 x 13.0	33.3 x 14.3 x 27.64	38.0 x 27.6 x 15.6
Ref. Type	R410A	R410A	R410A	R410A
Ambient Temp. Range	4 - 122	-13 - 115	-22	-4 - 118
(F)		(cold climate)	(cold climate)	(cold climate)
Max Amps (A)	20	40	25	25
Heating Cap. (BTUh)	18,500	25,000	18,000	19,000
Cooling Cap. (BTUh)	17,500	22,000	17,000	18,000
Heating (COP)	2.8	2.6	3.0	2.6
Cooling (COP)	5.7	4.5	5.9	4.1
Per Indoor Unit Piping Length (ft)	98	82	98	65
Price for Outdoor Unit	\$1,830	\$3,110	\$1,350	\$1,400

24

	LG	Mitsubishi	Fujitsu	Pioneer
	LD127HV4	MXZ2C20NAHZ2	12RLFCD	YN012GMFI22RPD
		0		HO
Indoor Unit Dim. (in)	7.5 x 27.6 x 38.3	16.4 x 37.4	7.8 x 27.6 x 24.4	27.5 x 17.75 x 7.9
Outdoor Unit Dim. (in)	33.03 x 21.53 x 12.59	41.28 x 37.41 x 13.0	24.5 x 31.08 x 11.3	27.5 x 17.75 x 7.88
Ref. Type	R410A	R410A	R410A	R410A
Ambient Temp Range	-4 - 118	-13 - 115	-5 - 115	-13 - 122
(F)	(cold climate)	(cold climate)	(cold climate)	(cold climate)
Max Amps (A)	15	29.5	15	15
Heating Cap. (BTUh)	16,000	13,700	16,000	12,000
Cooling Cap. (BTUh)	11,600	18,000	12,000	12,000
Heating (COP)	10.5	9.5	11.5	11.5
Cooling (COP)	19.6	15	20	21.5
Per Indoor Unit Piping Length (ft)	66	82	66	82

This is an interview from 2018 between Sean Armstrong of Redwood Energy and Jonathan Moscatello of the Heat Pump Store in Portland, Oregon. Jonathan had just returned from China where he has direct import relationships for ductless mini-split heat pumps, with decades in the business.

Sean: A lot of people are not clear about how heat pumps are sold in the market. Could you explain to us? Jonathan: Sure, it's not that complicated, but it's true that most people aren't exactly sure how it works. The process starts with the Manufacturer--they sell to Distributors. I don't know what the Manufacturer pricing is, and generally it's not possible to buy directly from the Manufacturer. When you are a Contractor who wants to install a heat pump, you buy from the Distributor. Then you sell it the Client, and at each step there is a markup of 25 to 50%.

Sean: If the contractor is fair and the labor is well-trained and fairly paid, what is the total cost of installing a ductless mini-split with one fancoil?

Jonathan: The lowest cost for a 1 ton, with one fancoil, that you'll see where someone can stay in business is \$4,200. For a 2-ton, \$5,500 is the lowest price you would see. I did this business for a number of years, and contractors take a lot of risks and work hard in difficult work environments.

Sean: How much does it cost to buy just the materials for a 1 ton mini split heat pump?

Jonathan: What the Contractor pays from the Distributor is \$800 and \$1,400 a ton, with the average around \$1,200. Mitsubishi is an example of a \$1,400 per ton product, while \$1,200 a ton is found in products from Daikin, Panasonic, LG, and Aurora. What the contractor charges a client is 40% (e.g. Mitsubishi's written recommendations to

contractors) to 50% more than their price. So \$800-\$1400 to the Contractor is \$1100-\$2100 to the Client, plus labor and additional materials.

Sean: Can you tell us about the cost for buying and installing a heat pump with multi-zone system, where there are 2-5 fan coils scattered in different rooms?

Jonathan: Well, if a 1-ton mini-split cost about 51,200, a 1.5 ton with two fan coils cost 51,600 to 51,800, and a 2-ton compressor with three fancoils cost about 53,200. Of course, this is marked up 40%-50% when sold to a client. The inside fancoils each cost about 5450, while the compressor goes up in cost at about \$800/ton.

Sean: What about the Labor costs for installing a ductless mini-split?

Jonathan: Labor is a constrained resource. For a full-time job, labor is paid \$25 an hour to \$35 an hour, and sold to the client at \$42 an hour to \$60 an hour. To install a 1 ton heat pump by market leading contractors takes 2 to 4 hours, and for contractors who do not install ductless on a daily basis that same work takes 4 to 8 hours because of contractor inefficiency, likely due to their relative inexperience.

Ducted Heat Pumps (240V)

Ducted air conditioning systems are usually driven by a central compressor that pumps air through ducts to vents in different areas throughout the building. These systems pair an outdoor heat pump unit with an indoor evaporator coil and air handler unit, with exception of the Friedrich (G.E. not pictured) product below, which is a packaged system that has all components in one box.

	Friedrich VRP12K	Goodman GSZC180481C	Fujitsu FO2414R	York YZH02412C	Carrier Infinity 25VNA036A003
Description	Packaged and Ducted	Split and Ducted	Split and Ducted	Split and Ducted	Split and Ducted
Dimension (in)	26W x 25D x 50H	35W x 35D x 38H	26.25H x 23.63L x 23.63D	34H x 42W x 23D	35W x 44H x 28D
Ref. Type	R410a	R410a	R410a	R410a	R410a
Ambient Temp. Range (H/C) (F)	15 - 70	-5 – 115 (cold climate)	55 - 125	-10 – 115 (cold climate)	-4 – 68 (cold climate)
Power (W)	923 - 991	4,830 - 4,840	7,030	2,500 - 3,412	1,050 - 1,240
Heating Cap. (BTUh)	7,100 - 115,00	22,000 - 59,500	17,060 - 60,053	18,000 - 59,000	25,000
Cooling Cap. (BTUh)	4,000 - 23,524	23,000 - 56,500	17,300 - 60,500	19,000 - 58,000	36,000
Heating (COP)	0.64 - 1	1.47 - 6.77	3.6 - 3.8	2 -4	2.3 - 4
Cooling (COP)	3.22 - 3.81	3.66 - 4.10	3.37	4 - 4.4	4-4.4

26

Energy Management Systems and Electric Car Charging

Limited transformer, service and breaker panel capacities are often found in older neighborhoods and buildings, and can be expensive to upgrade, or delay construction to address. In response, multifamily design teams may select lower power draw equipment (e.g. 15 amp HVAC vs. 30 amp HVAC), reduce energy loads (e.g. more insulation = less HVAC power need), or put some loads on a battery and use power management systems. Batteries are also important for essential services like elevators in multifamily buildings and are being used in high-end condo housing as a sale feature. Below are case studies and a variety of technical solutions.

Electricity is delivered to plug-in electric vehicles to ensure the safe recharge of depleted batteries. Chargers have three levels of power supply:

 Level 1 plugs into a regular home outlet (120V/15Amps) and charge 8-11 miles/hour. It is the least cost solution.
 Level 2 requires the 240V electricity



used by most laundry dryers and electric stoves. With 20amps the car charges at 11-15 miles/hour, 30 amps charges at 16-23 miles/hour, and 40 amps at 22-30 miles/hour.

• Level 3 requires commercial grade electricity at 480V at 125amps, but can charge 200-300 miles/hour.66

Some chargers have capabilities especially attractive to multifamily developers-- ChargePoint's dynamic charging of multiple vehicles avoids panel upgrades, while EVOBOX's Elvi model is designed to charge all types and brands of electric vehicles. When Alameda County's vehicle fleet garage ran out of power capacity in 2017 to support charging the existing 70 vehicles, let alone another 30 to be delivered later that year, they installed ChargePoint products to cost-effectively schedule, prioritize and balance charging demands rather than invest in a new power supply.⁶⁷

Utilizing Electric Vehicles for Building Back-Up

was deployed in Japan after the 2011 tsunami closed the nation's nuclear power plants. Nissan pioneered the "Vehicleto-Home" practice with a charger that isolates a building from the grid while it relies on vehicle battery power. This can be enough to operate a building for days, or longer if rooftop solar is available to recharge the vehicle, like the Sol Lux example above. The island of Maui, with its constrained grid, and the Los Angeles Air Force Base⁶⁹, with its need for resilience during emergencies, began using Nissans for Vehicle-to-Building and Vehicle-to-Grid chargers in 2014.⁷⁰ Honda, Mitsubishi, Toyota and other car manufacturers with standard CHAdeMO-certified Level 2 charging plugs can now support bi-directional charging. Tesla is also expected to release a V2B charging system for its cards in late 2019 or early 2020.



Figure 13: Nissan unveils the U.S. commercial offering of Vehicle to Home charging in Los Angeles for 2019 deployment in the U.S., using battery-powered Leaf cars and Fermata Energy bi-directional charging. 68

28

66 Kettles, D. (2015). Electric Vehicle Charging Technology Analysis And Standards. Electric Vehicle Transportation Center. http://www.fsec.ucf.edu/en/publications/pdf/FSEC-CR-1996-15.pdf

A Zero Emissions All-Electric Multifamily Construction Guide Redwood Energy 2019

Sol Lux Alpha⁷¹ is a four story, all-electric, "nanogrid" condo development built in San Francisco in 2018 that can go off-grid indefinitely during spring, summer and fall with (Figure 14):

- Three "Tesla Powerwall 2" batteries for each condo (42kWh)
- Pre-wiring for "Vehicle to Home/Building" bidirectional electric car chargers planned for installation in the fall of 2019, which allows an electric car to provide battery back-up to each condo and also common loads, tripling on-site battery storage in an emergency. Each long-range electric car stores 60kWh-120kWh of available battery per car.
- 12kWh of Blue Ion batteries for the elevator and incidental common loads.
- 8kW PV of solar array wired to each apartment's dedicated battery system, making 32 kWh/day on average, but usually more during the 8 sunniest months of the year in San Francisco
- Passive House design, high performance heat pumps and Bosch induction ranges



Figure 14: The Sol Lux Alpha apartment complex can operate as a "nanogrid" using the Tesla Powerwall 2, and vehicle to home charging.

Energy Management System

One recent innovation in circuit breaker panels is the addition of small computers to monitor and control electricity use. Addressing loads in all-electric multifamily developments is particularly important because there can be multiple large power draws happening simultaneously (like EV charging and laundry) that can be prioritized, rather than upgrading power to supply both.

ms	Eaton ⁷²	Thermolec ⁷³	
cuit	Energy Management Circuit Breaker (EMCB)	DCC-9	
n or			
ic			
se			
aina	Smart Panel, Smart Circuit Breaker	EV Power Management	
5"'6	 Programmable breakers to prioritize loads in power outage scenarios, control shedding of lighting and plug loads Remote cycling of HVAC, WH, to offset energy demands and save money Case cancert uith calce monitoriae home 	 Connects EV charger to panel to manage energy loads real-time reading of total power consumption of electrical panel; if the panel exceeds 80% rated loading, then the it temporally de-averaging the 	
	 Can connect with solar monitoring, home networks and demand response 	the it temporarily de-energizes the vehicle charger. Reconnects	
	 In the future could simplify EV charging. 	automatically when other loads allow.	

⁶⁷ Dao, T. (2017) "EV Charging Pilot Minimizes Calif. County's Energy Use Spikes. Government Fleet. https://www.government-fleet.com/142785/ev-charging-pilot-minimizes-calif-county-senergy-use-spikes

⁶⁸ Lambert, F. (2018). "Nissan launches 'Nissan Energy' to commercialize vehicle-to-home/building with the Leaf. Electrek. https://electrek.co/2018/11/28/nissan-energy-leaf-vehicle-to-home/building/ vehicle-to-home-building/>

⁶⁹ Princeton Power Systems (2014). Case Study, L.A. Air Force Base EV Charging Stations. Retrieved from Princeton Power: https://www.princetonpower.com/pdfnew/LAAFB_Case_StudyC.pdf

⁷⁰ Hawaiian Electric (2018). Electrification of Transportation: Strategic Roadmap. Retrieved from Energy and Environmental Economics: https://www.ethree.com/wpcontent/uploads/2018/04/201803_EOT_roadmap.pdf

⁷¹ Passive House Buildings. (2018). "Sol Lux Alpha – Carbon-Neutral Nanogrid Living" https://passivehousebuildings.com/magazine/fall-2018/sol-lux-alpha-carbon-neutral-nanogrid-living/

⁷² Eaton. (2019). "Energy management circuit breaker" https://www.eaton.com/us/en-us/markets/innovation-stories/energy-management-circuit-breaker.html 73 DCC. (2018). "DCC-9" < https://dcc.technology/dcc-9/>
Electric Cooking



The LED "flame" of a Samsung induction stove (at left) is an example of how intuitive it can be to transition to cleaner, faster and safer all-electric cooking. Gas stoves cause unhealthy levels of Nitrous Oxides that would be illegal if it were from a gas power plant. After just twenty minutes of cooking and a sunny window, a kitchen can have actual smog and trigger asthma and lung ailments. Gas cooking

appliances are 25-40% efficient, while electric cooking appliances are 70-95% efficient, meaning electric kitchens use 1/3rd as much energy and require only 1/3rd as much cooling. Using electric appliances avoids the construction costs and costs to run extra gas venting equipment. In addition to being more efficient, induction cooking appliances are faster, provide more temperature control and cause less kitchen fires than gas or radiant electric stoves.⁷⁴ Multifamily housing comes in all sizes and layouts, as do the commercial kitchens found in mixed-use apartment buildings. Below are products that facilitate both retrofits and new construction with high performance cooking equipment. Countertop products do not require any installation retrofits and plug into a standard wall outlet. Drop-in cooktops, on the other hand, are installed into a cut-out of the countertop and hard-wired to a 120V or 240V outlet. Electric cooking comes in a variety of technologies, standard electric, glass top radiant electric, and induction.

Glass Top Radiant Range (Less than \$550)



Glass Top Radiant Range (Greater than \$500) (9600W, 240V using a 40amp circuit)



Slide-In Induction Range (9600W, 240V using a 40amp circuit)

Make/Model	Frigidaire Gallery FGIS3065PF	LG LSE4617ST	GE Profile PHS930SLSS	Café CHS985SELSS	Samsung NE58K9560WS
Price	\$2,760	\$3,000	\$2,440	\$3,420	\$2,240

30

Single Burner Countertop Induction (1800W, 120V and using a 15amp circuit)

Make/ Model	Avantco	Avantco	Eurodib	NuWave	Vollrath
	ICBTM-20	IC1800	C1823	PIC Platinum	Mirage Cadet
	Light Duty	Heavy Duty			59300
Price	\$50	\$120	\$160	\$200	\$270
Temp. Range	140°F - 460°F	140°F - 460°F	150°F - 450°F	100°F-575°F	100°F - 400°F

Single Burner Drop-In Induction (1800W, 120V and using a 15amp circuit)

Make/Model	True Induction	Avantco	Adcraft	Spring	Bon Chef
	TI-1B	DC1800	IND-DR120V	SM-651R	12083
Price	\$140	\$170	\$190	\$440	\$500
Temp. Range	150°F-450°F	140°F-464°F	Up to 464°F	145°F-185F°	150°F-450°F

Double Burner Countertop Induction (1800W, 120V and using a 15amp circuit)

Make/Model	Make/Model Eurodib S2F1 S2F1			Avantco IC18DB	NuWave PIC Double
Price	\$200	\$200	\$150	\$150	\$200
Temp. Range	150-450 F	140F-460F	176° F-460° F	140-460 F	100 – 575 F

Multi Burner Induction Stovetops (9600W, 240V using a 40amp circuit)

Make/Model	Empava	Empava KitchenAid		Samsung	Frigidaire
	IDC-36 36"	KCES556 HSS 36"	NETP068SUC 30"	NZ36K7880UG 36"	FPIC3677RF 36"
	200			$=$ (\circ) \circ	
	요즘 이	G			-
Price	\$900	\$1,300	\$1,300	\$2,300	\$2,500

⁷⁴ See the Induction Cooking Fact Sheet by Tom Lent: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1qiGX6-tFdawfA6Nqp8SYifRbtucX9RzqAdjZ_SNdwBE/edit



Figure 15: All-Electric Culinary leaders in New York City include many diners, an upscale oyster bar, induction ranges for each customer's Mongolian hot pot and fine Italian dining made with induction woks.

Commercial Electric Kitchens in Mixed Use Buildings

Urban multi-family buildings often have restaurants on the ground floor that benefit from faster, cleaner safer, more efficient all-electric equipment.⁷⁵

- Electric cooking equipment delivers heat three times as effectively than gas equipment—heat delivery efficiency is between 60% and 90%, compared to gas equipment at 25-35% efficient. ⁷⁶ In addition, energy star commercial electric cooking equipment can reduce loads.
- Faster heat delivery is important during rush hours—at a fast food restaurant an electric fryer produces six more baskets of fries per hour than a gas fryer, directly impacting sales, labor efficiency and profitability.
- Gas inefficiency triples kitchen air conditioning and ventilation loads, and gas combustion pollution (NO2, Formaldehyde) makes kitchens inherently less healthy for chefs.
- Induction electric cooking offers precise temperature control, while gas burns at 3400F and then relies upon
 inefficiencies in heat transfer, or liquids in the pan to cool it. Induction stoves protect chefs from high
 temperature burns when they bump cookware.

Commercial Electric Ranges

Make/Model	Bertazzoni PRO304INMXE	Garland SS686	Vulcan EV3654FP1HT2	AGA Elise AEL48IN-SS	Lang R36C-APA	Garland SS684
Price	\$3,000	\$6,490	\$8,440	\$8,930	\$10,100	\$10,400
Amp/Wattage	45.5 / 12.4	78/19	13kW	50 / 14.9	103.8/21.6	33kW
Volts	240	240	208	240	208-240	208-240
Heating Type	Induction	Radiant	Radiant	Induction	Radiant	Radiant
Temp. Range	NA	150°F - 550°F	200°F-500°F	NA	150°F - 450°F	150°F - 550°F
Burner Diameter	7"(2x)/ 5"/ 8"	6 ½"(x3)/ 8 ½"(x3)	NA	Flattop	24" griddle/8" element(x4)	NA

75 Kostuch Media Ltd. (2017). Why Induction Cooking is the Hottest Trend to Hil Restaurant Kitchens. Food Service and Hospitality. 76 Source:-andre Saldwar, Foodservice Technology.center, Southere - California Edison

32

Commercial Ovens (208V)

Make/Model	Bakers Pride	Vulcan	Blodgett	Garland
	BCO-E1	VC5ED-11D1	BDO-100-E	SUME-100
	"	1 1	1. 1	1. 4
Price	\$3,324	\$3,715	\$3,810	\$4,630
Kilowatts	10.5kW	12kW	11kW	10.4kW

Commercial Single Burner Countertop Induction Cooktops (1800 W / 15 Amps / 120V)

Make/Model	Update	Eurodib	Waring	ChangBERT	Vollrath
	International IC	C1813	WIH200		6950020
	* 275 * 8				
Price	\$200	\$90	\$150	\$250	\$610
Temp. Range	140°F-460°F	150°F-450°F	Up to 450°F	NA	NA

Electric Induction Woks (240V / 15A)

Make/Model	Spring	Garland	APW	Vollrath	Garland
	SM-351WCR-8	GI-SH	Wyott IWK	6958301	GI-SH/WO/IN
		S.	The		
Price	\$1,470	\$1,760	\$1,950	\$2,200	\$2,440
kW	3.5	3.5	3	3	5

Electric Fryers

Make/Model	Dean SR114E	Imperial Range IFS-40-E	Frymaster RE14C-SD	Anets AEH14X	Garland 36ES11	Vulcan CEF40
Amp/Wattage	14kW	14kW	39A/14kW	58.3A/14kW	51A/12kW	47A/17kW
Volts	208V	208-240V	208V	240V	240V	208V
Price	\$1,650	\$1,820	\$5,280	\$4,140	\$5,960	\$4,340

Induction Catering / Buffet Equipment

Make/Model	Garland	Spring USA	Vollrath	Bon Chef	Bon Chef
	GI-HO 1500	QS7230 Warming	7552280	50120 Induction Buffet	50102 96" Buffet Table
	Induction	table	60" Buffet Table	Case	
	Warmer			Alitablean sinsing.	
		HI	1		
Price	\$2,250	\$5,700	\$6,520	\$11,630	\$16,120
Amp/ Wattage	NA / 1500W	20A/650W	11.25A / 1350W	50A/NA	30A / 3200W
Voltage	120	120V	120V	220V	110 V

Electric Laundry Dryers

As our building systems become more efficient, the energy use of appliances becomes more apparent. Laundry loads in multifamily housing can sometimes be the largest load, so ensuring that the most efficient equipment is used is important. More surprising may be that the first cause of high consumption is convenience—households with in-unit laundry run twice as many loads as households with only access to a central laundromat.⁷⁷ While washing machines and clothes dryers use about the same amount of motor energy per load, boiling the water out of wet laundry uses 81% of all the energy in an average laundry load in 2010⁷⁸, assuming one is using a standard ~30% efficient gas dryer, rather than a ~250% efficient electric heat pump dryer.

Energy Star Electric Dryers

Energy Star, a building science program led by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), aims to inform consumers and businesses on how to cut down on operating costs by listing and ranking energy efficient products⁷⁹. Until recently, both residential and commercial/coin-operated clothes drying machines were excluded from the list of Energy Star rated appliances because of their consistently high-power demand between all products available on the market. Innovative technologies like moisture sensing, heat pumps and condensation drying have led to a rise in the availability of residential-grade Energy Star rated dryers⁸⁹, although there are no commercial-grade Energy Star listings as of 2019. Some examples of residential-grade Energy Star washers and dryers are shown below. Commercial grade, coin-operated products must be independently evaluated for efficiency, often by requesting that of the company placing laundry machines on-site as a service. All products below are Energy Star.

Standard Electric Dryers

Energy Star ranked Laundry Dryers use a variety of strategies to better eliminate water from clothes, such as fans, humidity sensors and heating technologies. Electric resistance dryers require a vent, while condensing dryers do not. The following products use electric resistance to dry clothes.

	Samsung DV45K76E	LG DLE1501	GE GTD65EB	Maytag MED3500W	Whirlpool WED75HEFW	Electrolux EFME417
	Ó				Ö	
Price	\$400	\$450	\$500	\$650	\$650	\$700
Drum						
Capacity	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	8.0
(cu. ft)						
kWh/year	607	607	608	608	608	608

77 Baylon et. al. (2013). "Residential Building Stock Assessment: Multifamily Characteristics and Energy Use." Ecotope, Inc. for NEEA.

34

Combination Condensing Washer & Dryer

Condensing Washer/Dryer combine both space and energy efficiency and are ventless—laundry water instead goes down the drain. They are most common in retrofitted apartments in Europe, and run on 1200 outlets, using as much energy as a hair dryer on medium and stresses fabrics less. After washing the clothes, the same machine dries the laundry using a condenser. A laundry cycle, from loading to unloading, takes 2-3 hours.

	Magic Chef MCSCWD20W3	Haier HLC1700AXW	Summit SPWD2201SS	Deco DC4400CV	LG WM3488HW	Whirlpool WFC8090GX
		6	0		0	6
Price	\$720	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,200	\$1,300	\$1,500
kWh/year	85 kWh/year	65kWh/year	65kWh/year	96kWh/year	120 kWh/year	180kWh/year
Drum						
Capacity	-	2.0	2.0	3.5	2.3	2.8
(cu. ft.)						
Volts/Amps	-	120V/10A	115V/12A	110V/15A	120V/15A	240V/30A

Heat Pump Dryers

Heat pump dryers are also ventless but maintain a higher temperature than a condensing dryer and lower than that of electric resistance, and therefore dry clothing at a rate between the two. Note that smaller drum sizes hold less clothes, and consequently take less time to dry.

	Samsung DV22N685H	Blomberg DHP24400W	Kenmore Elite 81783	Beko HPD24412W	Whirlpool WED9290FC	Miele TWI180WP
Price	\$1,000	\$1,100	\$1,100	\$1,300	\$1,700	\$1,900
kWh/year	145kWh/year	149kWh/year	-	149kWh/year	531kWh/year	133kWh/year
Drum Capacity (cu. ft.)	4.0	4.1	7.4	4.1	7.4	4.1
Cycle Time (min)	60	46	-	46	75	35

⁷⁸ Korn & Dimetrosky, (2010). "Do the Savings Come Out in the Wash? A Large Scale Study of In-Situ Residential Laundry Systems." The Cadmus Group. ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings

⁷⁹ U.S. Department of Energy. (2017). "Saving Energy and Money with Appliance and Equipment Standards in the United States" https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2017/01/f34/Appliance%20and%20Equipment%20Standards%20Fact%20Sheet-011917 0.pdf>

cntps://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/ites/2017/01/154/Appliances/20ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20cqupments/203ards/20ards/20ards/20cqupments/20ards/20cqupments/20ards/20a

Electric Landscaping



Powerful commercial-grade electric landscaping equipment uses lightweight batteries and efficient motors that are half as loud as gas equivalents, produce no local air pollution, and are easier to maintain. Modern batteries now offer comparable length of operating time to gas tanks, and batteries are safer to store than gasoline, oil and rags.



36

*Prices will vary - visit retailers for the most current cost information.

Electric Fireplaces

Swirling, fire-like mist lit with LEDs and a log fire's worth of heat: these are the new electric fireplaces. They're less expensive than gas stoves, safer, cleaner, and plug into a normal 120V wall outlet. They provide heat in a more efficient and smokeless way – a 3,000-Watt electric fireplace can warm spaces up to 800 feet and look great doing it. From convincing to dramatic, electric fireplaces are ready to match the tastes of any owner. Outdoor electric space heaters are similarly versatile and ready to replace headache-inducing propane burners.

Indoor Electric Fireplaces

	ClassicFlame Felicity	Amantii Zero Clearance	Modern Flames CLX Series	
	أفليخص			
Size	46.6"W, 19.75"H,5"D	29.5"W, 38.75"H, 8.5" D	144" W,25.5" H, 5.3" D	
Price	\$350	\$1,300	\$7,500	
Voltage/Amps	120V/12.5A	120V/12.5A	120V	
Heat Output	1,500W	1,500W	1,500W	

	Dimplex	Napoleon	Dynasty	
	Opti-Myst Pro 1000	See-thru	DY-BT79	
	<u>รปเสียมสายสีของสีของสี</u>	Mada		
Size	40.1" W, 9.5"H, 12" D	50"W, 18.4"H, 9"D	80"W, 19.3" H, 7" D	
Price	\$2100	\$2,000	\$1,300	
Voltage/Amps	120V	120V/240V	120V/10.8A	
Heat Output	460W	3,000W	1,300W	

Outdoor Electric Fireplaces/Heaters

	Dimplex	Touchstone	EnerG+	
	Opti-Myst Pro 500	Sideline	Patio Heater	
	266712	-	FIR	
Size	20" W, 9.5"H, 12" D	50"W,17.9"H ,6"D	11" W, 4'3"H,11"D	
Price	\$1300	\$574	\$186.99	
Voltage/Amps	120V/3.83A	120V/11A	110V/13A	
Heat Output	230W	1,500W	1,500W	

⁸¹ STIHL (2019) "AP Series" https://www.stihlusa.com/products/battery/ 82 Husgvarna. (2019). "Battery Series" https://www.husgvarna.com/us/products/battery/ 83 RYOBI. (2019). "Lawn and Garden" https://www.ruspitools.com/outdoor

Electric Barbeques

Electric BBQ grills heat up much more quickly than charcoal or gas grills and distribute heat more evenly over the entire grill area. With no charcoal fumes and no propane gas burning, they are safer and can be used indoors in inclement weather. Electric grills are cheaper to operate, clean up easier, need little maintenance and can also be used in high rise buildings where typical combustion grills are not allowed due to fire code restrictions.



	Electri Chef	Electri Chef	Electri Chef	Kenyon	Kenyon
	The Safire 115V	Emerald 24"	Ruby 32" Built-in	B70590	B70060
Size (sq. in.)	224	336	448	115	115
Price	\$700	\$3,600	\$3,500	\$1200	\$650
Voltage	115V	220V	220V	120V	120V

	Weber	Char-Broil	Kuma	Americana	Maverick
	55020001	804142	Profile 150	9359U8.181	E-50S
		A		T	
Size (sq. in.)	280	240	145	200	173
Price	\$320	\$200	\$220	\$245	\$180
Voltage	120V	120V	110	120V	120V

Electrically Heated Swimming Pools and Hot Tubs

Many commercial buildings (e.g. hotels, corporate campuses) have swimming pools and hot tubs. Utilizing a heat pump can be an efficient way to address the energy demands of heating a pool. To size a heat pump pool heater, assume the heat pump must produce 4 to 6 BTUs/Hour for each gallon of heated pool water, with higher productivity needed when the incoming water is colder in the winter. In addition, solar thermal can an efficient way to heat pools.



Figure 16: Pacific Companies Zero Net Energy apartment complexes built in 2014 with heat pumps for the hot tub and swimming pools. (left King Station Apartments, King City, CA and right Belle Vista Senior Apartments, Lakeport, CA.)

Pool and Hot Tub Heat Pumps

Listed briefly below are heat pump specifically designed for pools and cost \$2400-\$4200 for 90,000 BTUs/Hr to 140,000 BTUs/Hr of heating, about 1/10th the price of a similar-sized solar thermal pool heater. Heat pumps significantly reduce construction costs compared to solar thermal while providing the same ~80% offset of energy use by using ambient heat in the air, while working all 12 months of a year, compared to 5 to 8 months of renewable pool heating with solar thermal panels.



IN SUMMARY.....

- 1. Encourage Prefabrication, it WORKS!
- 2. Encourage Vertical Integration, it WORKS!
- 3. KISS: Keep It Simple Stupid
- 4. Educate tenants/owners on systems and utilities
- 5. Leverage utility savings to build more housing!!
- 6. HFA's should incentivize Passive House MORE than other sustainable standards
- 7. Require ALL-ELECTRIC BUILDINGS
- 8. Include PV + PH as part of your capital stack
- 9. Policy over Pilot Projects!

Multifamily Developer Bootcamp

phius con

Co-developed by David Salamon

Tim McDonald <u>tim@onionflats.com</u> 215.783.5591